



NAME REDACTED	OBJECT	Submission ID: 214044
Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Social impacts, Visual impacts, design and landscaping, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic, Other issues</i>	
Location: New South Wales 2210		
Attachment: N/A		

Submission date: 11/14/2024 11:25:00 PM

I HAVE WORKED IN NSW HEALTH FOR OVER 40 YEARS.

NSW GOVERNMENT ARE AWARE OF THE HEALTH IMPACT OF CHEMICALS FROM PLASTICS AND THE IMPACT ON HEALTH AND THE IMPORTANCE OF AIR QUALITY

NSW GOVERNMENT ARE ALSO SURELY AWARE, ESPECIALLY AS A RESULT OF COVID, THE IMPORTANCE OF MENTAL HEALTH.

HAS NOT THE NSW GOVERNMENT STRONGLY ENCOURAGED POPULATION INTO THE REGIONAL COMMUNITIES, SPROUTING CLEANER AIR, A SLOWER PACED LIFESTYLE AND VISUALLY PLEASING OUTLOOKS?

PUTTING THIS FACILITY IN MOSS VALE AREA :

- 1)THREATENS A PRISTINE AREA,*
- 2)THREATENS THE CATCHMENT AREA OF SYDNEY WATER, ONE OF THE CLEANEST WATERS IN THE WORLD,*
- 3)THEREFORE THREATENS THE HEALTH OF MILLIONS OF PEOPLE,*
- 4)WILL MAKE PEOPLE THAT EVEN I HAVE CONVINCED TO USE TAP WATER NOT BOTTLE, RETURN BACK TO USING BOTTLED WATER,*
- 5)ANOTHER HIGHER BURDEN ON RECYCLING OF PLASTIC BOTTLES THAT THIS NEW FACILITY MAY NOT BE ABLE TO PROCESS AND POSSIBLY MORE POLLUTION INTO WATERWAYS,*
- 6)BOTTLED WATER CONTAINS MICROPLASTICS, AGAIN AFFECTING THE HEALTH OF INDIVIDUALS.*
- 7)SURELY THIS ADDS TO CARBON POLLUTION? ESPECIALLY AS MOST OF THE PLASTICS USED COME FROM THE SYDNEY METROPOLITAN AREA AND THIS WILL MEAN MORE TRUCKS ON THE ROAD, CONTRIBUTING TO MORE CARBON USE BUT ALSO WEAR ON THE MAIN ROADS, EXTRA VEHICLES ON THE ROADS ALSO CONTRIBUTING TO A POSSIBLY HIGHER ACCIDENT ROAD TOLL.*

*IT IS HARD TO FATHOM THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS ALLOWING A ***** COMPANY TO POLLUTE AUSTRALIA???*

RECYCLING IS SUCH AN IMPORTANT ISSUE IN THE WORLD, I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY IT IS NOT A PRIORITY OF THE GOVERNMENT TO BUILD ITS OWN FACILITY WITH TAX PAYERS MONEY, CERTAINLY WOULD HAVE BEEN BETTER THAN THE SCHOOL BUILDING AND PINK-BATT SCHEME PREVIOUSLY!!!

HOWEVER I AM PERPLEXED THAT THE GOVERNMENT HAVE NOT CONSIDERED TENDERING A CONTRACT TO AN AUSTRALIAN COMPANY OR GIVEN COLES AND WOOLWORTHS INCENTIVES TO BUILD THEIR OWN RECYCLING PLANTS.

I CANNOT BELIEVE THE NSW GOVERNMENT HAS NOT MADE IT CLEAR THAT THIS FACILITY CANNOT MAKE ANY IMPACT ON THE ENVIRONMENT AS FAR AS HEALTH AND AESTHETICS.

HAS THE GROUND BEEN ARCHAEOLOGICALLY REVIEWED FOR POSSIBLE ARTEFACTS OF SIGNIFICANCE FROM THE ABORIGINAL AND EARLY COLONIAL PERIOD?



THE PROPOSAL ACTUALLY STATES THAT FOR THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY, THEY HAVE TO LIMIT THEIR TIME OUTSIDE. HOW CAN THE GOVERNMENT ACCEPT THIS?

THIS COMMUNITY IS IN A COUNTRY TOWN WHERE MANY JOBS ARE IN THE OUTDOOR ENVIRONMENT.

ALSO COUNTRY LIFESTYLE OCCURS OUTSIDE, THAT'S WHY THESE FAMILIES HAVE CHOSEN TO REMAIN OR MOVE TO THIS AREA.

IF FAMILIES' HEALTH WILL BE AFFECTED AND THOSE EMPLOYED IN OUTSIDE ENVIRONMENTS, IS THIS NOT JUST AS BAD AS ASBESTOS AND SILICA? MORE INSIDIOUS AS THIS CAN AFFECT ALL OF THE COMMUNITY IN THE LOCAL AREA BUT ALSO INCLUDING ALL THOSE COMMUNITIES WHO DRINK THE WATER FROM THIS CATCHMENT AREA?

IS THIS ANOTHER REALISTIC FIGHT FOR ERIN BROCKOVICH?

IF THE GOVERNMENT ALLOWS THIS FACILITY TO BE BUILT HAVE THEY ALLOWED THE MULTI-MILLION DOLLAR PAYOUT THAT WILL OCCUR WHEN HEALTH IMPACTS OCCUR IN THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITY?

WHERE WILL THE NEW EMPLOYEES BE COMING FROM? WHERE WILL THESE WORKERS BE HOUSED AND WHAT TYPE OF VEHICLES WILL THESE 140 LOWER PAID WORKERS BE DRIVING?

HOW LARGE IS THE FIRE & RESCUE SERVICE IN THIS AREA, HOW MANY TANKERS WILL IT TAKE TO CONTROL A FIRE AT THIS FACILITY AND HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE TO ARRIVE? BY THIS TIME HOW CONTAMINATED WOULD BE THE LAND AS THIS SOOT FALLS BACK TO THE GROUND? WILL THESE SOOT PARTICLES BEEN FULL OF TOXIC WASTE KNOWN TO BE CARCINOGENIC? WILL THIS SOOT LAND IN THE CATCHMENT AREA FURTHER CONTAMINATING SYDNEY WATER?

IF THE FACTORY IS SAFE, WHY IS IT NOT PLACED WITHIN THE SYDNEY METROPOLITAN AREA:

- 1)WHERE THE HIGHEST USAGE OF PRODUCT USE OCCURS,*
- 2)WHERE THERE IS A LARGE UNEMPLOYMENT COMMUNITY,*
- 3)WHERE THERE IS LESS DISTANCE FOR THE RUBBISH TO TRAVEL TO*

AUSTRALIA ALREADY HAS RECYCLING FACILITIES TO RECYCLE THIS TYPE OF PLASTIC, WILL THESE BUSINESSES CLOSE AS A RESULT OF THIS FOREIGN COMPANY BEING ALLOWED IN AUSTRALIA BY THE GOVERNMENT?

SHOULD THERE NOT BE A CLAUSE IN THE AGREEMENT THAT THEY HAVE TO RECYCLE THE SOFT PLASTICS THAT ARE STORED IN LARGE VOLUMES OR FILLING THE WASTE CENTRES?

I UNDERSTAND THAT THE COMPANY DIRECTORS OF PLASREFINE HAVE AN IDEAL POSITIVE ATTITUDE TOWARDS RECYCLING BUT I DO NOT BELIEVE THEY ARE CONSIDERING ALTRUISM BUT PROFIT. WILL THEIR PROFIT BE A NEGATIVE FOR THE AUSTRALIAN PEOPLE?

WILL THE PROFITS IN AUSTRALIA STAY IN AUSTRALIA?

HAS THE GOVERNMENT GIVEN SPECIAL INCENTIVES OR TAX BREAKS TO THIS PLASREFINE COMPANY? IS THERE OPEN DISCLOSURE REGARDING THE GOVERNMENT'S CONSIDERATION TO THIS PLASREFINE COMPANY COMMENCING IN AUSTRALIA?

I UNDERSTAND THAT NSW GOVERNMENT, ESPECIALLY IN THE METROPOLITAN AREA, IS RUNNING OUT OF WASTE FACILITY AREA, THEREFORE BUILD THE FACTORY IN THIS AREA TO CUT DOWN ON THE AMOUNT OF WASTE GOING INTO THESE ENVIRONMENTS, INCLUDING THE SOFT PLASTICS.

I WONDER HOW SHORT SIGHTED THE INDIVIDUALS ARE WHO ARE CONSIDERING THIS TO BE THE IDEAL AREA TO PLACE THIS PLASREFINE FACILITY?



WHAT RISK ASSESSMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE?

HOW MUCH WILL IT COST TO IMPROVE WATER QUALITY WHEN IT BECOMES CONTAMINATED? IS THIS ANOTHER INCREASE IN WATER RATES THAT THE NSW POPULATION WILL HAVE TO FACE?

HAS THE GOVERNMENT DECIDED TO BUILD THIS FACILITY IN THIS AREA TO PREVENT THE SHADOW GOVERNMENT GOING AHEAD WITH THE PROPOSAL OF INCREASING THE WARRAGAMBA DAM AND CATCHMENT AREA?

I WOULD THINK, HAVING GROWN UP IN A COUNTRY TOWN MYSELF, THAT THE DEVELOPMENT OF THE MVEC WOULD HAVE BEEN ASSUMED BY THE LOCAL TOWNSFOLK, TO INVOLVE BUSINESSES INSPIRED BY THE CHARACTER AND NEEDS IN THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA AND REMAIN AS LIGHT INDUSTRIAL RATHER THAN A MASSIVE FACTORY OF CHEMICAL WASTE.

WHEN I HEARD THAT THIS PROPOSAL WAS LIKELY TO BE APPROVED IN THIS AREA, AS AN AUSTRALIAN INDIVIDUAL, I WAS SO DISAPPOINTED AND SHOCKED THAT A SMALL COMMUNITY CALLED MOSS VALE COULD BE SO DOWNTRODDEN AND NOT ACKNOWLEDGED. THAT DOES NOT BODE WELL FOR OTHER SMALL COMMUNITIES IN AUSTRALIA. IT SOUNDS LIKE MOSS VALE IS BEING SACRIFICED TO BECOME AN INDUSTRIAL WASTE TOWN INSTEAD OF A QUIET RELAXING COUNTRY TOWN. HOW SHAMEFUL IS THAT.
