



NAME REDACTED

OBJECT

Submission ID: e28

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: N/A
Location: Redacted	
Attachment: Attached overleaf	

Submission date: 11/25/2024 1:51:00 PM

Good afternoon

My husband is unable to submit his proposal today and has asked me to do so on his behalf.

He advises that he does not consent to his name or State being published.

He is a member of the local Community with a view regarding the proposal, making a submission on his own behalf and is objecting on "other" reasons to those set out on your online submission page, primarily the complete lack of detail in the Applicant's application.

He understands that any personal details contained within the submission will be published.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED] - on behalf of

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Thank you for the opportunity to object to the Plasrefine proposal.

I, like Mr Derek White, who spoke to you on 28 October 2024, am an engineer with forty years experience in project management and endorse everything that he has submitted to you in the following three areas: “ the paucity of operational details provided by the proponent and its consultants, the strategic plan for the Southern Highlands Innovation Park, otherwise known as a SHIP, and the very doubtful economics of the project.”

In particular I note these comments of his:

“ No detailed equipment description and or specification, no analysis of the likely feed materials, chemical additives or implant material flows. No detailing of the likely flue gas streams and resulting emissions or dust management, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera..... There are far too many examples of “she’ll be right on the night mate”, on the apparent assumption that a proponent with absolutely no track record in the industry will be able to work all this out in a timely and effective manner starting from ground zero.”

I further fear the effects of the very likely event of a fire of this huge quantity of highly combustible material where there are no appropriately equipped specialized fire fighting appliances in the local area and where there are only 2 sides of these massive buildings which will provide access for fire equipment and are only 6 metres wide providing inadequate protection to the firefighters nor angle of trajectory for the fire extinguishing materials to reach the building satisfactorily.

Indeed there is no access road on the eastern side between the site and the precious Garvan Institute Mouse breeding Research Facility. Their assets should NEVER be put at risk.