



NAME REDACTED

OBJECT

Submission ID: 218349

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Social impacts, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic</i>
Location: <i>New South Wales 2577</i>	
Attachment: N/A	

Submission date: 11/25/2024 3:12:07 PM

Dear Commissioners,

I am writing to express objections to the recommendation for approval of the proposed Moss Vale Plastics Recycling Facility (SSD-9409987) by the Department of Housing and Infrastructure. In addition to the concerns previously raised during my submissions to the EIS and RtS, I wish to highlight several critical issues that have not been adequately addressed in the proposal:

1. Use of Residential Roads (Braddon Road)

The proposal fails to adequately consider the impact of increased heavy vehicle traffic and light vehicles associated with the proposal on residential roads. This oversight poses significant unassessed noise impacts safety risks to local residents and will likely lead to increased noise pollution and road degradation in residential areas, that were never to be impacted by traffic accessing the SHIP.

2. Social Impact Assessment gaps and incorrect information supplied.

The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) does not provide a comprehensive or correct assessment of the social impacts of the proposed facility on the local community. This omission contravenes Section 4.15(1)(b) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, which requires consideration of social impacts in the locality.

A glaring omission is the social impact to the SHIP, a community council partnership initiative to plan for an inclusive usable employment driven space.

The review undertaken by Prof. Roberta Ryan can only be assessed as to what is contained, if the information is incorrect or absent the review will also contain the discrepancies.

3. Insufficient Consideration of Public Interest

The proposal does not adequately demonstrate how it serves the public interest, as required by Section 4.15(1)(e) of the EP&A Act. The potential negative impacts on local residents' quality of life, property values, and the area's character have not been thoroughly evaluated.

4. Absence of Adequate Buffer Zone

The proposed facility lacks a sufficient buffer zone between its operations and nearby residential areas and other land uses. This absence of proper separation increases the risk of adverse impacts that have not been thoroughly investigated on neighbouring properties, including noise, odour, and visual pollution.

5. Land Use Conflict

The proposal fails to address potential land use conflicts with surrounding residential and agricultural areas. The introduction of an industrial facility in close proximity to these uses will lead to long-term incompatibility issues and negatively affect the area's rural character.

These additional concerns, combined with the previously identified issues regarding microplastics, wastewater treatment, and non-compliance with SEARs, further undermine the integrity of the environmental assessment



process. The cumulative effect of these shortcomings raises serious doubts about the projects suitability for the proposed location and its potential long-term impacts on the local community and environment, especially given no Human Health Risk Assessment has been conducted in respect to microplastic emissions in air and water.

I urge the Independent Planning Commission to critically examine these additional objections alongside the previously raised concerns. It is imperative that all aspects of the proposal, including its social and community impacts, are thoroughly assessed before any decision is made regarding its approval.

Thank you for your consideration of these critical issues.
