



NAME REDACTED

OBJECT

Submission ID: 218336

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Other issues</i>
Location: <i>New South Wales 2576</i>	
Attachment: N/A	

Submission date: 11/25/2024 2:59:29 PM

I am writing to object to the Plasrefine development that has been recommended by the NSW Planning Department for approval.

The report that the IPC has been given to assess Plasrefine for approval is inaccurate and incomplete therefore I ask how can the IPC even make a recommendation with our all the facts and details? All the modelling regarding air quality in the report is based on the facility being a closed facility and the proponent is on the public record, when questions by the commissioner, as saying the facility will be open for 5 hours a day in total with truck movements.

The IPC is not able to asses this development as there is not enough detail in this report as listed below.

Not enough detail on :

Air Emissions - flawed as modelling is based on a completely closed factory which it will not be

Fire Risk - no fire engineering report or explainaiton of how a chemical fire will be addressed safely.

Waste water - the EIS does not provide chemical composition of wastewater streams and a full list of pollutants and does not show how our local waste facility will be able to deal with pollutants.

Microplastics - No detail in the report on chemical compositions of air emissions and wastewater and they should not qualify for low and acceptable human and ecological risks.

The oversea based operator has no experience in running and safely operating this type of facility and the proposed operator has also had multiple fines for breeching environmental controls in China.

The report does not show any details on how the community will not be exposed to the toxic pollutants from the plastics factory.
