



NAME REDACTED

OBJECT

Submission ID: 218335

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Social impacts, Visual impacts, design and landscaping, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic, Other issues</i>
Location: New South Wales 2577	
Attachment: N/A	

Submission date: 11/25/2024 2:57:27 PM

I strongly object to the proposed Plasrefine facility development. I object to the proposed Moss Vale location and do not believe it should be located anywhere in the Southern Highlands.

The Southern Highlands is a diverse region, comprising of areas utilised for agriculture, fostered natural green space as well as many other ventures which rely on clean air and a life giving environment. The tourism sector is so important to our region and also to the visitors who VALUE this environment and relish the opportunity to visit from a close by large city to refresh and rejuvenate. The opportunities are endless for residents and small businesses, who work very hard to make this place special. Our population is growing and so is Community engagement, which means a good future for many generations to come. I can only see the ruin that would occur, if Plasrefine were to build and operate this type of detrimental industry, I would not live or work here or visit the Highlands in the future.

I have lived in the Highlands for nearly 30 years and have seen so many changes. I have also witnessed and experienced the efforts of members of the community to build a healthy life here, that is to raise children, build businesses, build gardens for fresh fruit and vegetables and create habitat for our wildlife, etc.

Plasrefine is not in keeping with a strong future for the Southern Highlands. It's not in keeping with the existence and development of agricultural and tourist ventures. It's not in keeping with our right to live with clean air, clean water, reasonable sound and natural light and the right for residents of all ages to BE OUTSIDE.

I can't quite believe that this proposal is at all valid. To operate such a large toxic facility so close to homes, childcare centres, schools, The Garvan Institute, delicate and precious waterways, and a large population of people, is inhumane and does not exhibit a just or moral duty of care. This facility should not be built in anyone's backyard.

It seems to me that the proposal is fashioned with changing calculations and outlines that might only apply in unrealistic operational conditions- that is, only on paper. I note discrepancies, confusion and unresolved problems in the following areas

- Truck movements
- Water and fire management
- air and water filtration
- proposed washing chemicals
- Wind movements
- Weather events
- Chimney stacks and vents
- Traffic disruptions
- Health and safety of residents (long and short term)
- Community consultations



I DO NOT TRUST THIS PROPOSAL AT ALL. I mean, what part of the operations inside the building will stop while trucks are coming and going all day? Does everything stop? How is that possible? Does this include machinery...What machines benefit from being turned on and off 50+ times a day? Are they only talking about one section shutting down while the doors are open? Where will the line of trucks wait?

*It's like they haven't really thought things through. Has this been constructed with lego and Tonka trucks to form the basis of design and management? Perhaps not... but I for one feel that there are really too many variables, unresolved problems and irreversible toxic damage to people and the environment, to do anything but **STRONGLY OPPOSE THIS DEVELOPMENT.***

Thank you so much for your time and consideration.
