



NAME REDACTED

OBJECT

Submission ID: 218100

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: Social impacts, Visual impacts, design and landscaping, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic, Other issues
Location: New South Wales 2577	
Attachment: Attached overleaf	

Submission date: 11/25/2024 11:05:22 AM

I write again to OBJECT to the Plasrefine proposal for a waste plastic recycling factory at Beaconsfield Road, Moss Vale.

Since that fateful Christmas Eve in 2020, when the unmarked envelope was dropped in our letterbox, for four long years to date, we, as one of the closest receivers of this 'development', have been fighting hard to have everyone else in the Southern Highlands, Government and now the IPC acknowledge that the development of such a hazardous, unproven facility to be built on the site in question is NOT THE RIGHT SITE.

Over this time, you have all heard from the many written and verbal presentations how strongly this community feels. We have diligently, eloquently and respectfully raised multiple inconsistencies, time after time, we've questioned and proven mistakes, errors and oversights. This is now the third submission I personally am submitting, and unfortunately, my depth of concern over the lack of empathy, foresight and common sense is now just at record levels. I have watched my close neighbours' health deteriorate with the stress of fighting. I have been part of a group who have dedicated thousands and thousands of hours combing through hundreds and hundreds of documents to prove, time and time again, that THIS IS NOT THE RIGHT SITE.

As one of the nearest receivers, with two sporty young children who love to play outside, and over the past 8 years having invested our time, energy and money into developing a vineyard to produce a pinot noir worthy of the Southern Highlands, I object again to this proposal for the following reasons, but of which there are clearly many more:

-Suitability of site - not zoned for heavy industry, goes against the SHIP vision.

-Site location - landlocked, too close to residents, schools, daycare facilities. Potential access routes in poor condition, not fit for heavy haulage.

[REDACTED]. No history of any kind of this company prior to the acquisition of the site and subsequent registration of 'Plasrefine'.

-Use of water, sitting on riparian lands, volume of water use changed by GHD to suit answers when questioned, storage of water, drought consideration, affect to the supply of drinking water to the Sydney catchment. The proposed site is not elevated. There are at least 4 ponds within the zone. With heavy rains, significant water runs through the land.

-Fire risk - hazardous industry, a very limited part time fire service, bushfire zoned land, bush fire risks (imagery attached to show the 2019/20 bushfire smoke over the site).

-Wind - the strength of the westerly winds simply cannot be ignored. Evidence has been shown by many to the frequency and strength at all times throughout the year.

-Air quality - chemicals, microplastics and all other unknown hazardous emissions seeping into our environment unmonitored, untested - another major concern that simply cannot be ignored in light of an untested facility of this size.

-The size, height and visual amenity of the building that, whenever questioned, adjustments are made by GHD to suit the proponent (eg: number of vents, number of stacks, heights of stacks, building height). No buffer zone



to local residents. Removal of age old Eucalyptus trees to be replaced by root stock with visualisations not showing the true reality of planting that would take 20 years to grow to the screening height required.

-Noise - continual truck noise for 11 hours per day, every 6 minutes or so, reversing truck sounds. General heavy industry noise and vibration.

-Soil quality - contaminants of microplastic waste into the soil - directly affecting all our food and wine, subsequently affecting our health and our lives.

-Effect on tourism for an area known for it, and the effect on the livelihoods of those living and working in the Southern Highlands. Loss of income for those of us who have invested money into the area.

-Effect on property prices and lifestyles of those living very close to the site when the proponent and GHD mitigation strategies are for us to 'limit our time outdoors'.

-The lack of sincere engagement with the close receivers and local community by the proponent and GHD - always compromised and monitored, never allowing true free speech

-All responses by the proponent and GHD adjusted to suit the argument in their favour - I use the roller doors here as an example - a 5 hour door opening magically reduced down to a total of 42 minutes per day for that volume of traffic and hazardous waste. Untested, unproven. Just hearsay.

-The extra allowance given to the proponent and GHD on response times, when in every instance, the public have been given 30 days or less to respond/object.

We are a strong, united community. Fighting for recognition by the Government to what we all know is NOT THE RIGHT SITE.

Respectfully, I hope the IPC can see that there are too many holes, too many risks, far too many unknowns, and too many errors across the board for this hazardous plastic waste factory to be allowed to be built in this location.

Spare a thought for the knock on effect on our little kids.

Would you want your kids to come and play in our garden, would you like drink our wine full of microplastics, breathe our air full of microplastics, be told there's 'no risk' but just incase, to 'limit your time outdoors'?

Would you like this in your back garden, village, community?

This is simply NOT THE RIGHT SITE.





