

NAME REDACTED		OBJECT	Submission ID:	217602
Organisation:	N/A		Social impacts, Visual impacts, design and	
Location:	Redacted	Key issues:	landscaping,Land use compatibility	
Attachment:	Attached overleaf		(surrounding land uses),Traffic,Other issues	

Submission date: 11/24/2024 11:01:43 AM

I object to the Proposal of Plasrefine due to the following issues:

Water and Air Quality "Not Compliant with CSIRO Australian Circular Economic Standards as detailed 30.4.2024

Plasrefine as a recycling plastics factory should play a pivotal role in shaping sustainable business practices and encouraging the transition to a circular economy by informing and adopting circular economy standards however Plasrefine and the proposal-- does not provide for sustainable business practices adhering to circular economy standards, as designated by CSIRO circular economic standards 2024.

Outlined are the Details where Plasrefine is not compliant:

The waste water from Plasrefine is detailed to flow into the waterways that will flow directly into the Wingecarribee River, which feeds the SYDNEY Drinking Water Catchment. Currently the Wingecarribee River water has won a Sate Govt award for the best water in the NSW & ACT by the Water Industry of Australia, possibly the country.

The proposal to pump 16,300L of contaminated water a day into this award-winning water should be stopped. The proposal of Plasrefine to use 46,300L of water every day to wash plastic waste sourced from a combination of rainwater harvesting and potable town water again will toxify the Southern Highlands water supply. New research from CSIRO, Australia's national science agency, and the University of Toronto in Canada, estimates up to 11 million tonnes of plastic pollution is sitting on the ocean floor. Every minute, a garbage truck's worth of plastic enters the ocean. With plastic use expected to double by 2040, understanding how and where it travels is crucial to protecting marine ecosystems and wildlife. We discovered that the ocean floor has become a resting place, or reservoir, for most plastic pollution, with between 3 to 11 million tonnes of plastic estimated to be sinking to the ocean floor.

[Reference: LINK The article, Plastics in the deep sea "A global estimate of the ocean floor reservoir, was published in Deep Sea Research Part I: Oceanographic Research Papers.

- There will exist odour from plastic waste bales, storage, processing, wastewater facility

- There will be toxic residues, toxins in plastic dust, emissions on prevailing westerlies- the time estimate of open facility doors emitting microplastics is heavily underestimated.

- Emissions are currently modelled as no machinery has been specified

Access and Site

- Beaconsfield Rd is not suitable for high demand heavy vehicle use

- The factory is proposed to be located within 150m 200m from homes, off Beaconsfield Road
- Site has 2 incompatible zones, Conservation (C4) and 7.7ha of General Industrial (IN1)

- This is not 3kms North of Moss Vale, as stated by Plasrefine, but within the town boundary - MISLEADING! From the old GPO in Argyle St to the end of Beaconsfield Rd is 2.17kms as the crow flies, for emissions



Unsuitability of Site

- The proposal is to be located in an unsuitable location having regard to:

- Uncertain and unsuitable access arrangements for the anticipated vehicle movements.

- The unacceptable noise impacts it poses for nearby sensitive residential receivers.

- There are proposed 38,638 square metres of buildings, a 6ha complex over 5 storeys (18 m) in height with no detailed plans, architectural drawings and diagrams of the factory complexes, only basic concept plans and no reference of scale on any concept plan

- The proposal constitutes an overdevelopment of the site, particularly taking into account its excessive site coverage

- The design of the proposal fails to alleviate the visual intrusion of building bulk on neighbouring properties and the

overall scenic quality and amenity of the rural landscape having regard to its excessive height and bulk

- The industrial zoning of the land appears to be relied on as the sole reason/justification for maintaining building envelopes of uncharacteristic bulk and scale despite the numerous adverse impacts this design then has on the environment, neighbouring properties and the amenity of the landscape.

- The proposal fails to provide a suitable balance between landscaped areas and built form and therefore fails to minimise the impact of the bulk and scale of the buildings.

- No survey plan / No contour plan / No cut and fill plans.

Unacceptable bulk and scale

- The design of the proposal fails to alleviate the visual intrusion of building bulk on neighbouring properties and the overall scenic quality and amenity of the rural landscape having regard to its excessive height and bulk

- The proposal constitutes an overdevelopment of the site, particularly taking into account its excessive site coverage

- The proposal fails to minimise the visual impacts from adjoining and nearby residential properties

- The industrial zoning of the land appears to be relied on as the sole reason/justification for maintaining building envelopes of uncharacteristic bulk and scale despite the numerous adverse impacts this design then has on the environment, neighbouring properties and the amenity of the landscape.

- The proposal fails to provide a suitable balance between landscaped areas and built form and therefore fails to minimise the impact of the bulk and scale of the buildings.

Safety / Construction use of Local roads

- Narrow access along Beaconsfield Rd with no Pedestrian access

- Residents need to walk on the road " no footpaths (Beaconsfield and Lytton rds)

- Childcare Centre on Beaconsfield Rd and within vicinity of 2 schools

- Deterioration of local roads due to increased use and offset developer contributions therefore council / ratepayers become responsible for upgrade and ongoing costs.

Noise and Vibrations

- 24hr operation, 7 days per week for 44 weeks per year and 8 weeks for maintenance



- Only metres from Australian Bio Resources (the Garvan Institute)

- 150 metres from closest resident

Roads and Traffic

- There is no detail of the required construction for the proposed access road from Lackey Road - how can this have been costed

- The lack of detail would suggest that any negotiations with Garvan for purchase of the required land would, of necessity, be very high level and a cause for concern with the important research taking place at Garvan.

- Traffic Impact Assessment undertaken Dec 2020, during covid and pre covid migration / increased Sydney migration.

- No adequate assessment of Lackey Road.

The alignment of a 20m wide corridor to connect to Braddon Road has not been assessed by GHD (and therefore Garvan cannot be in a position to contemplate the potential impacts on their sensitive operations).Our traffic engineer has confirmed that there would be major cuts and retaining structures needed for the road construction " the proponent has indicated 1-2 months to construct the road and this is a massive underestimate.

Traffic Impacts

- Increased traffic on local roads " poses safety related risks for existing residents/local road users and businesses. This significant increase in traffic will be directly generated by the proposal.

- Increased traffic-related noise - Even if the proposal complies with relevant traffic-related noise requirements, residents will still experience adverse noise impacts having regard to the current rural ambience and quiet that form the soundscape of the area. The highly concentrated traffic flows will adversely impact on this quiet soundscape, particularly for residents along the proposed construction and operational routes. The increase in traffic related noise will compound the increase in noise from the facility's 24/7-hour operations.

- Inadequate existing road infrastructure " Multiple roads will need to be upgraded to sustain the increased traffic that will be generated by the proposal. Whilst the proponent appears to promote any such road works as upgrades for the community's benefit, these upgrades are necessitated purely for the private purpose of the proposal. Such works are simply a facilitator of the traffic-related noise and safety impacts that will eventuate if the proposal is approved.

- Land-use conflicts - approval of the proposal will intensify existing land-use conflicts in the area. The EIS has failed to address existing land-uses and how the proposed traffic management plan and construction and operation routes will impact these.

Social/Economic Impacts

- Inconsistent references to employment numbers. Unclear as to extent of localised employment.

- Known impacts of micro plastics on general health including the release of emissions into the surrounding atmosphere, carried to other Highlands towns and villages on prevailing westerlies.

- Adverse social impacts have not been genuinely or adequately addressed in the EIS " it follows that these cannot be known for these to then be properly assessed and (if and where possible) mitigated, noting that no Social Impact Assessment has been provided. It follows that there has been no consideration given to the following impacts likely to be associated with the proposal:

o Changes to sense of community " refers to the adverse changes in the composition, cohesion, character and



function of community and people's sense of place in Moss Vale and the Southern Highlands more broadly.

o Changes to way of life " highly questionable whether the positive social benefits of local employment

referenced in the proposal will be realised, having regard to increased automation and digitalisation of the waste

recycling industry that has labour displacing effects and being foreign owned there is not a solid track record of local employment opportunities.

o Impacts on tourism - associated with adverse impact on natural environment. The proposal poses serious negative impacts to local economy due to its potential to impede growth and development of industries and businesses that depend on a clean and green environment (such as tourism and agri-tourism) and population growth from people attracted by the clean and green environment and quiet rural character moving to Moss Vale to live, work and play. The physical impacts of the proposal on scenery and amenity, the particulate,

traffic, noise and light pollution from the proposal, the increased traffic along roads associated with the facility, result in the loss association and perception of Moss Vale as being a scenic and lovely place to live.

These fears about the future for residents, their community and their surroundings have caused and will continue to cause social impacts

o Changed access to and use of local infrastructure, services and facilities - impact on social infrastructure capacity, including childcare, healthcare, community services and facilities, employment and housing, impact on local infrastructure including roads in particular

o Impact on people's culture " including shared beliefs, customs, values and stories, as well as connections to land, places and buildings (including both Aboriginal and European culture and heritage.) The proposal will impact negatively on the value of Moss Vale and the Southern Highlands generally as a heritage-scenic place. Many of the people of Moss Vale who are opposed to the proposal have a strong conception of Moss Vale/Southern Highlands as a place of high scenic value which contributes to people's sense of place.

o Impact on people's health and wellbeing " this relates to health and wellbeing and incorporates both physical

and mental health. The proposal will cause dust and particulate emissions, noise emissions (from the vehicles

accessing the site daily and also the facility's 24/7 operates and also night lighting impacts (the extent of which

has not been assessed in the proposal and which therefore remains unknown). These impacts will affect people's

health and wellbeing, both directly and indirectly. Air, noise and light pollution has been found to directly affect people's health and wellbeing, if the pollution is sufficient, but it can also affect people's perception of their health and wellbeing, such as by increasing stress and anxiety, which can affect their mental health. This indirect effect on people's mental health is likely to be especially significant. Regardless of whether the proposal complies with the applicable criteria for air quality and noise, people perceive that the proposal will have a negative impact on their health and wellbeing and this can have knock on actual impacts on physical and mental health.

o Impact on people's surroundings " The proposal will severely impact on the surroundings, including the natural environment and its associated aesthetic value and amenity. The proposal will also adversely impact



on the amenity of Moss Vale due to the associated traffic, noise, nuisance and appearance of the proposal and the effect this has on people's way of life, particularly for those residents located in the closest proximity to the facility.

o Impact on people's personal and property rights - stress and anxiety has resulted from the proposal associated with being faced with the possibility of living with a large waste facility next door and being unable to sell their property, concerns which manifest themselves in other types of social impacts, such as on people's way of life, community, culture, health and wellbeing and surroundings

Adverse and irreversible visual Impacts

- Inadequate Visual Impact Assessment " The visual impact assessment that has been provided in support of the proposal is completely inadequate in identifying the impact on existing views from surrounding and nearby development.

o The EIS fails to adequately assess the acceptability of the impact of a proposal on the views enjoyed from all impacted private properties in the vicinity of the facility. The acceptability of the impacts of the views considered in the EIS has not been addressed.

o Similarly, the visual impact assessment fails to satisfactorily address the acceptability of the impact of the private development on views from the public domain in the vicinity of the development

o Within the proposal " specifically Technical Report 7 (Landscape and Visual Impact), it is concluded on page 57 that:

"The visual impact of the proposal would be high for the surrounding sensitive receivers, and it is

anticipated that the ongoing changes through the re-development of rural land would result in long-term

and adverse impacts to the landscape character of LCZ1 and the surrounding sensitive receivers,

with significant and irreversible, changes to the attributes, elements and value of the rural landscape

character

- No assessment of lighting impacts - Despite this being a requirement of the SEARs, no information has been provided

to enable the consent authority to be satisfied that there will be no adverse impact on the amenity of residences in the

vicinity of the site due to illumination of the development and the trucks of a morning/afternoon etc. /light spill.

- Technical Report 7 (Landscape and Visual Impact) specifically states on page 2:

This assessment does not include landscape and visual impacts from lighting and any possible visual

impacts from lighting or light spill are excluded from this assessment, and with the exception of

suggested mitigation measures outlined in section 8, external lighting has not been assessed.



o Inadequate and unreliable mitigation measures proposed "The proposal outlines screen planting to reduce the

significant and irreversible visual impacts associated with the proposal. This is despite Technical Report 7 acknowledging that the mitigation measures are unlikely to reduce the impacts of the change. It is inappropriate to allocate any definitive weight to the mitigating effect that any proposed screening trees and vegetation may provide because such trees are a natural element, subject to the frailty of weather, disease and bushfire risk. It follows that, where the screening is critical to a conclusion of compatibility and acceptability of the proposal, they simply cannot be relied upon as they do not provide any degree of certainty in relation to their ability to mitigate the visual impacts effectively on an ongoing basis.

Wingecarribee Water: Best Tasting Tap Water in NSW and ACT

Published on 08 November 2024



Wingecarribee Shire Council's water treatment plant has been awarded the prestigious 2024 IXOM Best Tasting Tap Water title for New South Wales and the Australian Capital Territory.

The award, presented by the Water Industry Operations Association of Australia (WIOA), recognises the exceptional quality of our drinking water. Water samples from across the state were blind-tasted and judged on factors such as taste, clarity, and odour.

This achievement is a testament to the hard work and dedication of the Water Services Team, who work tirelessly to ensure that our community receives a reliable supply of clean, safe, and great-tasting water.

"It's incredibly rewarding to be named the best-tasting tap water source in NSW," said said Wingecarribee Mayor Jesse Fitzpatrick. "Our dedicated team

works hard to maintain the highest standards, and it's fantastic to see their efforts recognised with this award.

"The competition celebrates the individuals and organisations that strive hard, some in very trying circumstances, to ensure their communities are supplied with safe drinking water every day," said Dean Barnett, Chief Executive Officer of WIOA. "We want to draw people's attention to the great work that is going on behind the scenes in their local communities to help shape and secure Australia's water future."

Mr Barnett also acknowledged IXOM's support of the Best Tasting Tap Water competition. "Thanks to IXOM's unwavering commitment to the industry, we continue to be able to run the competition."

The CEO of Master Plumbers Association of NSW, and Southern Highlands local, Nathaniel Smith applauded Council for the achievement. "Delivering high-quality, safe drinking water to our communities is an essential service, and being recognised as the best-tasting tap water is a testament to the hard work, dedication, and expertise of everyone involved. Congratulations to Wingecarribee Shire Council for this incredible accomplishment".

Wingecarribee Shire Council will compete for the coveted title of Australia's Best Tasting Tap Water in Tasmania later this month. The winner will have the honour of representing Australia at the prestigious Berkeley Springs International Water Tasting Competition in West Virginia, USA.