



JOCELYN OSLEAR

OBJECT

Submission ID: 217829

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Social impacts, Visual impacts, design and landscaping, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic, Other issues</i>
Location: New South Wales 2577	
Attachment: N/A	

Submission date: 11/24/2024 8:27:35 PM

24 November 2024

I Jocelyn Oslear object to the inappropriate development SSD-9409987 Moss Vale Plastics Recycling and Storage Facility and its proposed location 74-76 Beaconsfield Road, Moss Vale (Part Lot 11 DP 1084421), Applicant Plasrefine Recycling Pty Ltd, Council Area Wingecarribee Shire. I declare that I have not made a political donation.

GHD takes the path of least responsibility in preparing their EIS, discrepancies and the lack of assiduous care with Environmental Planning Regulations (2000) are evident throughout their paperwork. In my submission objection to the IPC's initial recommendation to approve development of SSD. I am concerned and question the lack of comprehensive examination of key processes and procedures in the riparian zone of water landscape.

The fingerlets in that zone seep up from the Earth, it is not all water that falls from the sky, but comes from below consistently, locals know it as The Swamp. It is incongruous to build a massive structure on top of water which comes from below, the power of the underground water will seep in to every porous material and crack and erode eventually any structures built upon it. Typical concrete is porous and has a void space at around 20%, it is not ideal in high traffic areas as it will crack and break up over time, however strong the binders.

I refer to anomaly located at 14/122 in the EIS where GHD write,

The proposal site is relatively elevated from regional waterways and as such not in a floodplain location. However,

due to its proximity to local drainage lines there is potential for short duration overland flow inundation, which is

considered further in this assessment.

The actual truth being Whites creek downstream peak flood FMD hazard category mapping indicates that the high hazard areas typically coincide with defined waterways. The proposed area is a defined waterway, referred to as a riparian zone. A riparian zone is land alongside creeks, streams, gullies, rivers and wetlands. These areas are unique and diverse and are often the most fertile parts of the landscape, but they are also vulnerable and can be easily degraded, considering this fact, to build a plastic storage facility there is the definition of inappropriate hazardous industry. The FDM hazard category mapping indicates that the high hazard areas typically coincide with defined waterways.

The above statement of alternative facts by GHD fails to honestly report, regard and account for the reality that there are four ponds located in the low points of the proposed site and two watercourses run along the Western and Eastern boundaries of proposed location 74-76 Beaconsfield Road, Moss Vale (Part Lot 11 DP 1084421), it is a flood zone.

This is just one example of the many of GHD's erroneous avoidances of the truth in their EIS which are not factual, they are misleading, which is of grave concern.



The EIS produced by employee owned GHD reports and conclusions are not consistent with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 or the intent of SEARS. By seeking to avoid liability should an erroneous EIS Application SSD-9409987 demonstrates a high risk, the proponent and their agents must be assiduous in the care they take to ensure the EIS is wholly accurate, comprehensive, and in no way misleading. Where an EIS is solely for use by the client, that would seem to rule out any responsibility for use of the report by the Department of Planning and Environment.

This is a quote by GHD aiming to wipe their hands of the responsibilities arising from their erroneous paperwork, it is unacceptable and it would lead to horrendous outcomes for residents, wildlife, waterways and the precious Sydney Water.

GHD otherwise disclaims responsibility to any person other than Plasrefine Recycling arising in connection with this report. GHD also excludes implied warranties and conditions, to the extent legally permissible; The opinions, conclusions and any recommendations in this report are based on assumptions made by GHD described in this report. GHD disclaims liability arising from any of the assumptions being incorrect.

The proposal by SSD-9409987 is an insult and a generational injury that will never be rectified or recompensed

[REDACTED]
