

ELIZABETH STANDEN		OBJECT	Submission ID:	216695
Organisation:	N/A		Social impacts, Visual impacts, design and landscaping,Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses),Traffic,Other issues	
Location:	New South Wales 2577	Key issues:		
Attachment:	N/A			

Submission date: 11/21/2024 1:45:11 PM

Unfair zoning, false statement about consultation and oversized for the land envelop.

The parcels of land either side of Beaconsfield Rd Moss Vale were gazetted by the NSW government as rural/residential in 1860 which it has been for the last 165 years. That means that people, residents (not recipients) have been building homes and living in them for 165 years. How can one of those blocks of land, 74-76 Beaconsfield Rd suddenly be rezoned as heavy industrial without any consideration for the people living just metres away? It's simply not fair.

In the Plasrefine submission to the Deportment of Planning Housing and Infrastructure, David Gamble of the consulting group GHD working for the heavy industrial project, stated that the company 'consulted widely'. 'Widely' is a relative adverb. David Gamble's definition of 'widely' does not include most of Moss Vale. As this family lives 800mts from 74-76 Beaconsfield Rd there has been no consultation nor notification at 800mts along Beaconsfield Road. So, the submission that includes 'consulted widely' is a falsehood, designed to deceive the reader, in this case the Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure and any authority charged with compliance checking of the Plasrefine project.

The size of the building is too big for the site. In his verbal submission to the IPC between 1.30 and 2.30pm 12th Nov 2024 from DPHI stated that the building proposed by GHD did not comply with the size regulations at the front of the site. The stated that this could be offset by planting trees on another block of land, some place else. How is that fair? It's not fair to the people of Moss Vale. It's not fair to other enterprises wishing to develop properties and industries using the correct regulation size requirements in the Southern Highlands Innovation Park.

These are just three reasons Plasrefine should be asked to move from 74-76 Beaconsfield Rd to a more appropriate site $\hat{a} \in \tilde{}$ several of which have been found for them by the Local State Member of Parliament, Wendy Tuckerman. Please take into consideration the multitude of other unfavourable aspects that this heavy industry has been raised by the population of the Wingecarribee Shire who should be considered 'State Significate' before heavy industry is considered 'state significate'.