



ANNABEL LOMAX & DAVID SHORT

OBJECT

Submission ID: 0

Organisation: N/A	Key issues: <i>Social impacts, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic, Other issues</i>
Location: New South Wales	
Attachment: N/A	

Submission date: 11/20/2024 9:01:00 AM

Hello,

Ref Moss Vale Plastics facility. (SSD-9409987)

We absolutely reject this proposal. There are so many many unknowns, shrouded in mystery, as to how this project evolved. Clearly secrecy has been the key, knowing full well the impacts this will have and will do to our community, and our Enviromint.

The IPCN, cannot allow this project to proceed. It must be rejected

For a project of this nature, the lack of detail provided within the GHD proposal, is appalling.

World wide, the process for recycling plastics is known for the extremely toxins and plume byproducts.

Keys point as to why we reject this proposal and why this proposal is not suitable for this area.

- Plaserfine has No proven track record, within Australia.*
- The owner has previously been identified with non-compliance with other off shore projects.*
- No detail of waste particle breakdown, PAFS, Hydrocarbons, Dioxins and plumes. Impact to our Water/waterway, soil and air, local Sewage treatment plant.*
- No detail of toxic plumes to be dispersed within our environment and atmosphere.*
- No detail and or volume to be displaced on toxins and plumes, to water, soils and air, sewage treatment plants.*
- Wrong zoning for this proposal, within the SHIP, this should be treated as contaminated/toxic waste project.*
- No current traffic management plans, kids use these road ways, people walk these areas. Cyclist use these roadways, Locals and tourists drive these roadways. There are many roads with no footpaths. The proposed roads are already congested.*
- No / minimal early consultation with our community. A cloud of secrecy. Why?*
- No disaster management plan, IPC advise the applicant must notify the department within 24hrs, in the event of an incident / disaster, there could be catastrophic impacts to our community and our environment during a 24 period.*
- No detail EIS on waterways, soil, fauna and flora.*
- No detailed design for this proposal.*
- Wrong location : housing current and future housing developments. Community Sporting fields. residential areas, Agricultural lands*
- Long term viability for this project, no plan presented.*



- *Wrong location Sydney water catchment areas, Sydney basin. (already proven with the Hume coal experience, Appin wetland drainage)*
- *PFAS have already been identified, at a higher than global level expectations for normal water use, within Sydney water catchment area.*
- *Left with toxic waste land, no plan for long term remediation.*
- *No scientific facts provided of the actual impacts, "expert Dr Mark Bowman", only "in my opinion" What/where are the numbers what are the facts?*
- *This is not simply a case of NIMBY, this a proposal with extremely long-term toxic outcomes, minimal detail provided, within the GHD proposal. This is the wrong location, for this proposal.*
- *NSW health has issued response "no comment" to IPC request for information. This is extremely alarming, that NSW health has no comment.*
- *Rejection by Plasrefine to meet with our local politicians, why?*
- *Future toxins and microplastics (note previous duration for actually proving the effects of asbestos) PAFS are forever chemicals.*
- *Our indigenous cultural heritage. It has been recorded that artifacts have already removed from the proposed site.*
- *This project was previously overwhelmingly rejected by our community.*

We urge the IPC to consider all elements, there are so many unknowns to this project. This proposal must be rejected.

Kind Regards

Annabel Lomax [REDACTED]

David Short [REDACTED]
