

## CONDITIONS ADVICE MEETING

This meeting is to support the Commission Panel obtaining advice from the Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure on the workability, enforceability and any unintended consequences of conditions that, should the Panel decide to grant consent, the Panel for this case would consider imposing. Discussion at this meeting is informed by the Commission's request for the Department's advice on conditions under consideration.

Case: Wallaroo Solar Farm (SSD-9261283)

Date Tuesday 27<sup>th</sup> August 2024

Time: 9:00am - 10:00am

Meeting Location: Zoom videoconference

## **ATTENDEES**

Commissioners: Andrew Mills Panel Chair

Bronwyn Evans AM Richard Pearson

Office of the IPC: Steve Barry Planning Director

James Innes Legal Director

Brad James Principal Case Manager
Tahlia Hutchinson Senior Planning Officer

Department ofIwan DaviesDirector, Energy AssessmentsPlanning, Housing &Nestor TsambosPrincipal Planning Officer

Infrastructure: Cameron Ashe Environmental Assessment Officer

## **MEETING MINUTES**

- · Discussed conditions of consent including:
  - Feedback from ACT Government and how to incorporate into conditions of consent;
  - o Road upgrade works and traffic conditions;
  - Additional screening measures their implementation;
  - Various other minor changes to proposed conditions.
- At the request of the IPC, DPHI to seek further information from applicant in relation to visual impacts and proposed screening.
- IPC to consider advice provided and advise DPHI if any further information is required.

Proposed Condition	Department's response to Commission's	Any further matters raised by Commission
No.	request for advice	,
Definitions	No comments	
A7	No comments	
B6	No comments	
B7	<ul> <li>The Department is unable to require works or activities or undertake compliance activities outside of NSW.</li> <li>Any separate approval for works within ACT can consider whether such a condition is appropriate.</li> <li>Recommend deletion.</li> </ul>	ACT Government specifically requested this condition.
B9	The Department recommends an approach similar to that taken for the Uungula Wind farm be implemented here. Rather than requiring avoidance of all school bus hours, recommend the following:  minimising potential for conflict with school buses and other road users as far as practicable, including preventing queuing on the public road network, and avoiding the transport of material along the local bus routes when school buses are in operation in consultation with local schools;  If the IPC wishes to restrict heavy vehicle movements during school hours, this condition needs to be worded to achieve this wherever possible (i.e. make all reasonable endeavours to do so).	
B10	The Department recommends this be changed to within 9 months of commencement of construction to allow planting in appropriate seasons, and for consistency with Birriwa Solar Farm conditions.	
B15	No comment	
B22(a)	The Department recommends the following wording to ensure the desired intent is achieved.	

B24	The Applicant must: (a) Limit the operation of solar panel backtracking to angles between 0 degrees and ±5 degrees between the hours of 5:30am and 8:30am in accordance with Scenario 3 set out within the Glint and Glare Assessment dated 26.3.2024  • As part of the existing conditions, the Applicant is already required to provide both a final layout plan, works as executed plans and a Fire Safety Study (FSS) which provide further detail on the final configuration of the development,	
	<ul> <li>including the dispersed battery storage system (BESS) design.</li> <li>The Department has assessed the impacts of all of the BESS options and considers that additional requirements or assessment is not required.</li> <li>However, if the IPC decides to impose an additional requirement, the Department considers that it should be included within the existing final layout plan condition, rather than a new stand-alone condition — and it should not be for the Planning Secretary's approval, rather just to be submitted to the Planning Secretary.</li> <li>The Department also considers that the word 'must' in (c) should be replaced with 'should, if</li> </ul>	
P26	practicable'.  No comments	
B26	No comments	
B29	No comment from DPHI, however applicant should comment on implications of this condition.	
B30	No comments	
B31	No comments	
B34	The Department has assessed the bushfire impacts of the proposal and considers that he proposed	ACT Government requested this condition. Consideration of requiring Applicant to comply with directions of ACT Government.

condition is in excess of the requirements of the PBP 2019.  This condition was not a recommendation made by NSW RFS or FRNSW.  It also may result in significant delays during construction for the applicant.  The Department recommends its deletion.  B37  No comments  With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, if an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the FPRAA Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2  No comments  Key concern in submissions was delays in inconsistent with other SSD and construction			
This condition was not a recommendation made by NSW RFS or FRNSW.  It also may result in significant delays during construction for the applicant.  The Department recommends its deletion.  B37 No comments  With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, if an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments   * This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
recommendation made by NSW RFS or FRNSW.  • It also may result in significant delays during construction for the applicant. • The Department recommends its deletion.  B37 No comments  • With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated. • Further, if an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EPBA. Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions. • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		1	
RFS or FRNSW.  It also may result in significant delays during construction for the applicant. The Department recommends its deletion.  No comments  With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the FP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
It also may result in significant delays during construction for the applicant.  The Department recommends its deletion.  With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  It is sponsored condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		· ·	
delays during construction for the applicant.  The Department recommends its deletion.  No comments  With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, if an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommission is nitent on keeping this Decommission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
applicant.  The Department recommends its deletion.  No comments  With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, if an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		-	
The Department recommends its deletion.  No comments  With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		_	
B37 No comments  • With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		1	
B38  • With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the FP&A ACt to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommission is intent on keeping this Decommission is menous to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
With the implementation of objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.    Further, if an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.   With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission of the Secretary!. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.    C2			
objective-based conditions and monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in	B37		
monitoring requirements, as noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in	B38	<ul> <li>With the implementation of</li> </ul>	
noted in Table 3 and in accordance with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary!. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		objective-based conditions and	
with the Large-Scale Solar Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommission is intent on keeping this Decommission gand Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  Key concern in submissions was delays in		monitoring requirements, as	
Guideline, the Department considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		noted in Table 3 and in accordance	
considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A ACt to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		with the Large-Scale Solar	
considers that the solar farm would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A ACt to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes to the satisfaction of the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		Guideline, the Department	
would be suitably decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
decommissioned at the end of the project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		would be suitably	
project life, or within 18 months if operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
operations cease unexpectedly, and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C5 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
and that the site be appropriately rehabilitated.  • Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
rehabilitated.  Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  Key concern in submissions was delays in			
Further, If an applicant or landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C6 No comments  Key concern in submissions was delays in			
landholder fails to meet the decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C6 No comments  E8 Figure 12 Key concern in submissions was delays in			
decommissioning and rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C6 No comments  Expression of the Secretary is the satisfaction of the Secretary'. We concern in submissions was delays in			
rehabilitation obligations prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  Key concern in submissions was delays in			
prescribed by the development consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C6 No comments  Key concern in submissions was delays in		_	
consent, the Department can use its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C6 This proposed condition is  Key concern in submissions was delays in		_	
its enforcement powers under the EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  • With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C3 No comments  C6 Fig. 4 Key concern in submissions was delays in			
EP&A Act to address any breaches of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C3 No comments  C6 This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		I -	
of the consent conditions.  With the above in mind, if the Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C3 No comments  C6 This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		•	
With the above in mind, if the     Commission is intent on keeping     this Decommissioning and     Rehabilitation Plan, the     Department requests the     Commission removes 'to the     satisfaction of the Secretary'. This     would add to the post approval     burden on the Department for a     plan that the Department does     not consider is necessary.  C2  No comments  C7  No comments  Expression in submissions was delays in		-	
Commission is intent on keeping this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  C8 • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
this Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  C8 • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		1	
Rehabilitation Plan, the Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		· -	
Department requests the Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
Commission removes 'to the satisfaction of the Secretary'. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  6 This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
satisfaction of the Secretary. This would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
would add to the post approval burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
burden on the Department for a plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  C8 • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
plan that the Department does not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
not consider is necessary.  C2 No comments  C7 No comments  C8 • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in		· ·	
C2 No comments  C7 No comments  C8 • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
C7 No comments  C8 • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in			
C8 • This proposed condition is Key concern in submissions was delays in	C2	No comments	
	C7	No comments	
inconsistent with other SSD and construction	C8	This proposed condition is	Key concern in submissions was delays in
		inconsistent with other SSD and	construction



C9 C16 C19 Appendix 1 Appendix 8	provides for the provision of information regarding the project.  No comments  No comments  No comments  No comments  No comments	
	<ul> <li>SSI energy project consents and would set a precedent across the industry.</li> <li>Condition C18 – Access to Information within the recommended consent requires the applicant to make information publicly available on its website, including details of the proposed staging plans for the development, management plans and compliance reports, and to keep this information up to date.</li> <li>The conditions may also have implications for the staging of the project, which the Commission should consider.</li> <li>The Department does not support this condition and considers that Condition C18 appropriately</li> </ul>	

The above meeting minutes have been endorsed by:



Andrew Mills Panel Chair Date: 11/09/2024



Iwan Davies Director, Energy Assessments Date: 11/09/2024