



NAME REDACTED	OBJECT	Submission No: 179761
Organisation:	<p>Key issues: Land use compatibility, Visual impact, Traffic and transport, Social impacts, Temporary accommodation, Other issues</p>	
Location: New South Wales 2844		
Submitter Type: I am a member of the local community who would be particularly and directly affected by the proposed development		
Attachment:		

Submission date: 6/14/2024 4:30:26 PM

*Thankyou for the opportunity to object to this project being given consent. This application for development seems to be a perfect case of 'garbage in garbage out'. Almost every conclusion drawn seems to be that this development will have a low impact. The fact is that it will have a very large impact upon the land itself, its neighbouring properties, the local community, the environment, the impacts not only locally but for the nation as a whole. I realise you city people have accepted the fantasy that industrial renewable energy generation will provide a source of cheap green electricity but you are wrong and shall be proved to be gullible and foolish. The more practical country people realise its limitations and negative consequences. The simple fact is you do not have social licence in regional areas. This will ultimately lead to the failure of this project and the many similar in planning. You may win the legal battle in gaining permission to build but you won't win the war, nobody will. The level of discontent is so great in the areas that these projects are proposed that it is only a matter of time before the level of resentment shall inspire revenge.*

*A few points you may give some consideration to is that*

*The rural fire service is made up mostly of local landholders and is a voluntary service i for one will not have any motivation to help a solar or wind facility limit damage to their property and will only serve to protect other agricultural land holdings and the community. They can not rely on us, so need their own staff and equipment.*

*Class 5 agricultural land as which most of this land is, is productive agricultural land and mostly arable, this country can be equally productive in an agricultural system particularly with advanced agronomic solutions to overcome the limitations that this class of land has. The Planning Department under estimates the loss to agricultural productivity. I understand the renewable energy zealots dont have any notion to the concept of whole of system costs which leads us to where we are.*

*The conclusion that this project will only result in minor flooding increase is ridiculous, as effectively any rainfall will be intensified as solar panels will increase runoff to trafficked areas between the rows accumulating running down compacted roads into creeklines. I particularly note that the proposed site is class 5 because of its erodability and that the Armstrongs landholdings shall have to bear the immediate impact and then on to others further downstream.*

*The impact to small communities with the huge influx of workers is extreme and irresponsible, simply services can not cope with the population increase. Nor can the community cope with the amount of damage to local roads and infrastructure.*

*I could go on but i feel it all falls upon deaf ears.*

*Most importantly the commission should defer the decision to approve or not until the cumulative effect upon the area with all developments proposed is taken into account. We were never consulted about the declaration of the Orana REZ which we object too but at least should be shown the courtesy as to have the*



**New South Wales Government**  
Independent Planning Commission

*impacts considered rather than stealthily approving each project on its own merit without consideration of the big picture. This would give us greater confidence in planning authorities which may lead to lesser resentment and the inevitable consequences of that.*

---