



KEETON TAYLOR & LYNN DAVIES

Submission No: 0

Organisation:	Key issues:
Location:	
Submitter Type: <i>an individual making a submission on my own behalf</i>	
Attachment:	

Submission date:

To Whom It May Concern,

Subject: Opposition to Proposed Quarry at Eagleton.

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed quarry at Eagleton as mentioned in my first submission.

While economic development is important, it is crucial to consider the long-term impacts such a project would have on our environment and community. I believe the negative consequences far outweigh any potential benefits.

Environmental Impacts:

1. Ecosystem Disruption:

The site of the proposed quarry is home to diverse flora and fauna, some of which are endangered species. The destruction of natural habitats would lead to the displacement or extinction of these species. The loss of biodiversity would disrupt the ecological balance, leading to unforeseen consequences.

2. Water Contamination:

Quarrying activities often involve the use of chemicals and heavy machinery, which pose a significant risk of contaminating local water sources. The leaching of pollutants into groundwater and nearby rivers could have devastating effects on aquatic life and the quality of drinking water for the community.

3. Air Pollution:

Dust and particulate matter generated by quarrying can lead to serious respiratory issues for residents, particularly affecting vulnerable populations such as children and the elderly. Additionally, the emissions from heavy machinery contribute to air pollution and climate change.

4. Landscape Alteration:

The irreversible alteration of the landscape would not only mar the natural beauty of the area but also contribute to soil erosion and instability. This can lead to increased flooding and landslides, posing long-term risks to both the environment and human safety.

Community Impacts:

1. Health Concerns:

Prolonged exposure to dust and noise pollution from quarrying operations can lead to chronic health problems, including respiratory diseases and hearing loss. The well-being of our community members should be prioritized over industrial development.



2. Economic Downturn:

While the quarry may create temporary jobs, the long-term economic impact could be detrimental. Property values in the vicinity are likely to decrease, discouraging new investments and potentially leading to a decline in local businesses reliant on tourism and agriculture.

3. Quality of Life:

The noise, dust, and increased traffic associated with quarry operations would significantly degrade the quality of life for residents. The constant disruption could lead to stress, anxiety, and a general sense of dissatisfaction among community members.

4. Cultural Heritage:

The proposed site may hold cultural and historical significance to local communities. Destroying these areas for quarrying purposes would erase a part of our heritage and deprive future generations of their cultural identity.

Conclusion:

In light of the significant and irreversible long-term impacts on our environment and community, I urge the decision-makers to reject the proposal for the quarry. Sustainable development should not come at the cost of our natural heritage and the well-being of our community. I strongly advocate for alternative solutions that prioritise environmental conservation and community health.

Thank you for considering my submission. I hope that the concerns outlined will be taken seriously and lead to a decision that reflects our shared responsibility to protect our environment and community for future generations.

Please keep our community a welcoming place to live and w

Sincerely,

Keeton Taylor and Lynn Davies
