

Hills of Gold (SSC-9679) – Ecological Self Harm.

I wish to object to this proposed development including the additional material.

Since when has the financial viability of a development been a criteria for the Approval or not.

The development must be assessed against the law and guidelines and not on The proponents assessment of its viability or not. Financial viability nor not is dependent on so many factors including costs of inputs capital and operational and the income to be generated from the development. Such variables are dynamic and always changing with market conditions, subsidies etc. The project approval or not should not be dependent on some fictious future cost benefit scenario.

This project has poor site selection being margin wind resource, difficult /challenging building sites and access routes. The developer needs to find a better site that fits their cost benefit ratios.

This project should not be judged on “public Interest”. what is this? Who defines this and what criteria are used to assess this?

Since when has this been a criteria for assessing a development

Stick to the rules , law and guidelines. They should apply to all developers and not let lapse for Renewables. Where is the level playing field under our law.

SL Tritton



Dumeresq, NSW 2350