Wind Farm Submission

Dear Members of the IPC

I wish to ask you to critically examine the reliance by the DPE on the IEAPET report because of the report's:

(i) **Perceived bias of the IAPET**. Section4.1 of their report looks like it was written by Engie themselves. The language praises Engie.

- Proponent has developed the project *'over multiple years.'*
- Proponent has *,engaged specialists'*
- The Specialists worked *'to deeply investigate and advise on 'each project component'*

(ii) Failure to examine the stated specialist information

'The specialist organisations have specif8ic skills and capacities'

Yet

'The panel has not sought or been provided with access to the detailed outcomes of their work.'

And

'The Panel (IEAPET*) has taken the results of their* (the specialists) *work ac pro ided by the Proponent as the starting point for their analysis'.*

(iii) **A Faulty Model***.* The IEAPET have constructed a model for analysis based on various wind farms but NOT on the specific Wind Farm under consideration. They specifically say that they have not looked at Hills of Gold Wind Farm material (see above (ii)n above.)

(iv) **Failure to asses the Project's specific unique viability challenges ,namely :**

- Vulnerable Soil types
- Erosion /landslide history
- Challenging Access and internal road structure
- Bush Fires

All of which will impact on, not only the construction period, but ongoing operation and maintenance

[redacted] 15/07/2024.