

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Do-Not-Reply IPCN Submissions Mailbox](#)
Subject: Hills Of Gold Wind Farm - Reference number SSD-9679
Date: Thursday, 11 July 2024 11:12:40 AM
Attachments: [HOGWF Objection IPC John Krsulja 11.07.24.docx](#)

To the IPC Panel.

Please find attached Submission of Objection from [REDACTED].

I would also ask my name and address be Redacted to protect my privacy.

Kind regards [REDACTED]

Hills Of Gold Wind Farm - Reference number SSD-9679

Date: 11/07/24

OBJECTION – Nundle Resident

Name: [REDACTED] (Please keep my name Redacted)

Address: [REDACTED] NSW 2340 (Please keep address Redacted)

Dear IPC Panel.

I OBJECT to the DPHI's recommendation to re-instate 15 of the Turbines taken out that do not comply with noise or visual guidelines.

I OBJECT to the DPHI's recommendation for Turbines to be re-instated along the boundary of Ben Halls Nature Reserve, in an environmental sensitive area.

I OBJECT to the DPHI's recommendation to allow for voluntary acquisition of land from landholders.

If 2023 Guidelines are to be quoted or used, then my property NAD34 should be assessed further as it is under 6km from the nearest turbines.

I sat on the Community Consultation Committee and during this time ENGIE, Someva and the former owner Wind Energy Partners were all made aware on more than one occasion of unauthorised land clearing that had happened that was benefiting this SSD.

To approve wind farm infrastructure on unauthorised land clearing is an insult to the wind farm and renewable industry, it sets a dangerous precedent moving forward for other landholders.

The developers were on several occasions during CCC meetings made aware of dwelling entitlements for DAD01 and that the landholder would not sign a neighbour agreement or did not wish to be a part of this development.

The developers ENGIE have misled the Community, Tamworth Regional Council and now the Department of Planning and the IPC panel in bringing to light the viability or more to the point that this project is unviable without all the turbines it has proposed, submitting documents after the closure of the IPC Submission period. ENGIE have known for years this would be the case yet proceeded with planning until the end.

I object to the DPHI recommending Approval and the reinstating of turbines, the IPC must recommend Rejection to show NSW landholders and communities that this behaviour for SSD's must not be rewarded.