

**From:** [Kathy Keane](#)  
**To:** [Do-Not-Reply IPCN Submissions Mailbox](#)  
**Subject:** Hills of Gold Proposed Windfarm  
**Date:** Sunday, 14 July 2024 6:16:25 PM

---

## TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

What I stated in my previous submission still holds. Nothing has changed to alter my strong concerns over this project.

I am deeply concerned by the Department's change to supporting additional turbines, that they had previously stated should not be built. Their original reasons have not changed..... ONLY they now appear to support the Engie position that less than 64 turbines is not financially viable. 64 or whatever number of turbines required to make it viable...(for who)??? Additional turbines do not make it RIGHT. Financial viability should carry no more weight than, soils, landscape, water, biodiversity etc when considering the merits of this proposal.

Engie has demonstrated over the last five or so years an extreme lack of respect for the planning system in NSW. It has consistently presented [better word] third-rate submissions, showing either wilfully ignoring instructions that are clear to most professionals preparing environmental assessments, or demonstrating a lack of knowledge in the various fields that they described.

Thank you  
Kathy Keane