From: To:

Date:

Subject:

<u>Do-Not-Reply IPCN Submissions Mailbox</u> Hills of Gold Wind Farm Project (SSD-9679) Sunday, 14 July 2024 10:15:21 AM

Attachments:

Graham"s submission - Hills of Gold.docx

To Whom it May Concern:-

Thanks for asking me to respond to the HOG Windfarm once again! My objections to the Windmill Farm have not changed as per my previous submissions – please read attached!

Even though you allow Engie to change and add 20 windmills removed but reinstated! It is obvious to me that you have every intention of allowing Engie what they want and you will approve, regardless of our objections.

I find the Commissions actions offensive and unreliable (Independent Commission – rubbish – you do what the Government says)

I know that you have been told by the Government to approve the project!

I strongly object to the dangerous precent that DPHI is setting by imposing land acquisition on a private land holder by private commercial multi-national company. This means legal dwelling entitlements and approved development applications are not respected or safe in New South Wales.

Regards Graham Ware





Ref: Hills of Gold Wind Farm Amended Application Number SSD 9679

Once again I strongly object to the Hills of Gold Wind Farm Proposal.

I acknowledge and accept the NSW Department of Planning and Environment's disclaimer and declaration.

The following are the reasons why:-

- The geological report is still not suitable as the area is unstable, particularly for a large project
- Obviously no-one has retained a Civil Engineer to report on the matter
- Most important to us, particularly, as we find our Upper Hunter Shire Council questionable, because they have signed an agreement with Engie but have not told any of the Ratepayers
- I find the whole project very questionable and underhanded
- I will name the two Councillors if I have to
- Industrialisation of the mountains in the Crawney/Nundle area e.g.
 WP19 and WP23 are the nearest to me and the area adjacent to WP20 is to be used for storage of oil generators batteries and is directly above the head of Perrys Creek, which will be detrimental to the water supply
- Upper Hunter Shire is involved but has made no contact with people in the area
- No one from the people involved in the building of this project has bothered to contact me
- My home is within 1.4 kms (as the crows fly) of the mountain tops
- My water supply is from Perrys Creek, of which the head is sourced very close to the top of the mountains
- There will be a very detrimental effect to my resale value
- No offer of compensation

This whole project will be detrimental to my lifestyle and the whole area.

All my previous objections to the original EIS proposal are still valid as most the issues I described in my objection have not been addressed and resolved by the proponent.

This is a large industrial development, perched on the ridgeline of the Great Dividing Range. Engie, in their original EIS, continually promoted this development as in line with the New England Renewable Energy Zone (REZ) — even thought the site is not part of that region.

There has been very little consultation with the Timor/Crawney communities throughout the application process. In fact, our communities were not mentioned in the original EIS and many residents were not even listed as living there.

And with the new proposal to use Crawney Road for access, again, neither Engie nor the UHSC has contacted any residents from this over 100KM stretch of roads on both the Gundy and the Timor routes as part of this assessment.

I have not made any reportable political donations in the previous two years.