To The IPC

I write in relation to the Hills of Gold Windfarm and the proposed return of turbines.

I am significantly impacted by this development. It appears the proponent has continued to leave my DA off the map. I am located very near to DAD05.

The removal of the turbines had a positive impact on my property overall. It is not just DAD01 which will be impacted by the return of turbines but a number of properties.

These turbines were removed from the proposal due to their overall impact. The fact that this proposal is economically unviable without them is of no consequence. The DPHI letter from Samantha McLean clearly shows that this was a flawed proposal from the beginning. The letter clearly shows that the whole proposal has faced issue after issue due to it being in the wrong location.

Nundle is not in a REZ and does not need this development for the greater good.

The mention of adjusting costs for the inability to use aerial techniques for farming is ludicrous if it does not have detail. This has not given consideration to the ongoing fight against wild dogs which is done aerially. If this is unable to happen then how is it that the proponent will compensate those directly impacted due to stock loses. The recommendation is naïve in the fact it demonstrates a complete lack of understanding in regard to a rural enterprise in this area.

As a Kamilaroi man, I take exception to the callous attitude the proponent has taken toward my culture and heritage. The proponent must complete a detailed and comprehensive Aboriginal Heritage Report over the entire area and include in this the micro-locations of any infrastructure.

As a regular user of our country roads, the transport conditions lack any detail and will clearly put people, particularly our children at significant risk

Overall, this proposa	al should be rejected	or at a minimum, no t	turbines reinstated.
-----------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------

Bob Worley

Taylors Lane

Nundle NSW