Attachment B – Draft proposed changes related to recommended conditions of consent as at 23 August 2024

Condition reference	Draft proposed condition (additions in <u>bold and underlined</u> , deletions in strikethrough)				Commission's comments and/or questions on draft proposed conditions	(including, where relevant, co
ACQUISITION UPON REQUEST B1.	For a period of 5 years from the commencement of construction of any of the applicable wind turbines, the owner of the land listed in Table 1 may request the Applicant to acquire their land <u>at any time over the life of the development</u> .Upon receiving a written request from this owner, the Applicant must acquire the land in accordance with the procedures in conditions D1 and D2 of Schedule 2.However, this agreement does not apply if the Applicant has an agreement with the owner of the relevant land in regard to the visual impacts associated with the development, and the Applicant has advised the Department in writing of the terms of this agreement.Table 1: Receiver subject to acquisition upon requestNoteApplicable Wind TurbinesDAD0147/75372253, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62			st the ent. re the ule 2. t with ith the	 To enable acquisition upon request for the life of the development, given that there are no further measures available to DAD01 to mitigate impacts of the development. Questions: Regarding the third paragraph, can the Department clarify what the "agreement with the owner of the relevant land in regard to the visual impacts associated with the development" is referring to? Should this also refer to the mitigation of noise and other impacts (such as blade and ice throw, and shadow flicker) as well? 	The Department considers that uncertainty for the applicant an to acquisition for the life of the could be substantially longer th consent. This would have an u future owners for an extended an existing dwelling but has be The Department notes that a re instances where acquisition ha Condition B1 could be amende <i>However, this agreement do</i> <i>relevant land in regard to the</i> <i>blade throw and ice throw</i> <i>in writing of the terms of this</i>
VISUAL Visual impact mitigation B2.	Note: To identify the residence and wind turbines referred to in Table 1, see the applicable tables and figures in Appendix 2. For a period of 5 years from the commencement of construction Over the life of the development, the landowners of any other non-associated residence (excluding DAD01) within 5 km of any wind turbine identified in the Final Layout Plan (but also including NAD33) may ask the Applicant to implement visual impact mitigation measures on their land to minimise the visual impacts of the development on their residence (including is curtilage). Upon receiving such a written request from the owner of these residences, the Applicant must implement appropriate mitigation measures (such as landscaping and vegetation screening) in consultation with the owner. These mitigation measures must: (a) be reasonable and feasible; (b) be aimed at reducing the visibility of the turbines development from the residence; (c) consider address bushfire risk (including the provisions of Planning for Bushfire Protection 2019); (d) be implemented within 12 months of receiving the written request unless the Planning Secretary agrees otherwise.		life of ayout ual the ch a blement eening)	 Delete exclusion of DAD01 to enable opportunity for additional measures of visual mitigation. Inclusion of NAD33 given it may be excluded by the requirement to be 5km from a wind turbine. Included wording 'for the life of the development' to: capture future dwellings on sites within 5km of a wind turbine (including NAD33). enable landowners sufficient time to request visual mitigation after a period of the development's operation. support ongoing visual impact mitigation especially, for example, where mitigation is reliant on existing vegetation located on neighbouring properties. Duestions: In regards to the reference to 'residence', would it be more appropriate to define this in the Definitions table to include existing and new dwellings that may be built within 5km? Updated to capture visual mitigation of the development, not just wind turbines. Consideration is being given to deleting parts of the recommended condition that are not necessary for the condition to address the Panel's concerns and that might be difficult to implement, or give rise to conflicting interpretations (e.g. what is the practical meaning of "commensurate" in this context – does it mean that the mitigation and mitigation measure? Who decides what level 	The Department considers that the life of the project would hav is not reasonable or workable t for the life of a project. The proposed timing from the of landscaping early in the develor measures such as vegetation so operating and provide sufficient after construction concludes (cf The Department considers that the landowner to be acquired. The Department has considered mitigation required. The approa- offered to receivers within the k including a receiver that is beyon assessment of the impact at the The Department does not agre consequences of the lack of ce provide landscaping to an unkr approval is given. The recommended Development Residence - <i>Existing or app</i> This definition is consistent with set a precedent across the indu- fit development (even elem- internal access roads) would re- measures that would reduce via project. The Department considers that <i>the residence'</i> would not be wo condition if modified as proposed such as landscaping being requ- already low. The visual impacts Visual Bulletin. There is no exp- development entirely but it must	
BIODIVERSITY Biodiversity management plan B26.	 Prior to carrying out any development that could impact biodiversity values, unless the Planning Secretary agrees otherwise, the Proponent must prepare a Biodiversity Management Plan for the development to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This plan must: (a) be prepared by a suitably qualified and experienced biodiversity expert/s in consultation with BCS, NPWS and DCCEEW; (b) minimise the disturbance footprint; (c) be prepared in accordance with the Biodiversity Development Assessment Report (Revision 11, 25 May 2023); (d) include a description of the measures that would be implemented to: (i) minimise the impacts of the development on threatened flora and fauna species, including habitat, within the disturbance footprint and its surrounds, including the: 			are a e kpert/s to: and rint and	 of visual impact with which the measures must be commensurate?) Updated to ensure impacts to habitats and the disturbance footprint are minimised. Questions: With regard to the list of threatened flora and fauna listed in (d)(iv), can the Department clarify whether this is an exhaustive list? In the event that there is a change to the list of threatened species, how can this condition be adapted to include such changes? Can the biodiversity management plan be required to be updated periodically? 	Condition B2 also notes that if implemented either party may The comment relates to Condi The Department considers that would not materially change the (<i>minimise the clearing of native</i>) The addition of <i>implementing in</i> improvements is not reasonabl undefined. Wind farm applicant does not extend over the whole consequence of requiring impro- imposing an action that impacts The threatened flora and fauna that are likely to occur or being assessments. The BMP is required to be pre- consultation with BCS, NPWS
TRANSPORT Traffic management plan	these measures <u>and implement improvements</u> ; Prior to commencing construction, the Applicant must prepare a Traffic Management Plan for the development in consultation with TfNSW, WaterNSW, and Councils <u>(including Muswellbrook Shire and Newcas</u>)			<u>∍ City</u>	 Inclusion of other Councils with roads subject to use and the CCC to address potential local transport and access issues. 	The comment relates to Condi The Department considered the

Description Commission to consider The description of exclusioning of exclusionin exclusionin exclusioning of exclusionin exclusioning of exclusio	<u>_</u>	
and it is not consistent where the product is provided in the local information of the provided in the local information of the provided in		Commission to consider:
wave the unintended consequence of uncertainty for the applicant and the trequitients of the project when the intended consequences of uncertainty of the approvale table by the intended consequences of uncertainty of the approvale table by the intended consequences that approvale table intend in the intended consequences that approvale table by the intended consequences that approvale table by the intended consequences that approvale table intend in the intended consequences that approvale table intended consequences table intende	and it is not considered reasonable to require an applicant to be subject the development. The Department notes that the life of the development than 35 years if an applicant upgrades turbines as allowed by the nunintended consequence of acquisition being available to potential ed undefined period of time. The relevant lot also does not currently have been considered as it has a complying development certificate. A restricted the time period of 5 years has been applied for the limited has been applied on other wind farm projects. Ided to does not apply if the Applicant has an agreement with the owner of the the visual impacts associated with the development (visual, noise, ow and shadow flicker), and the Applicant has advised the Department	the applicable wind turbines <u>Up until 5 years from the commencement</u> of operation, the owner of the land listed in Table 1 may request the Applicant to acquire their land. However, this agreement <u>condition</u> does not apply if the Applicant has an agreement with the owner of the relevant land in regard to the visual impacts associated with the development, <u>and that agreement contains</u> terms under which the landowner has waived their rights under this <u>condition</u> , and the Applicant has advised the Department in writing of the
centrality for an applicant tand is not reasonable to require an applicant to known number of luture dwellings that may be constructed once an ment Consent includes the following <u>definition of residence:</u> ment Consent includes the following <u>definition of residence:</u> with other SSD and SSI renewable energy projects and changing it would valuatly. hat the proposed changes have unintended consequences that any part ments with very fow visual impact such as permanent site offices. trequire migration and the intert of migration is to prioritise mitigation visual impacts of the turbines as the mest dominant infrastructure of the scullages and be commensurate with the level of visual impact on workable and it should not the defield from condition B2(b). The each off the migration should be migrated to acceptable level. (b) the samed at reducing the visibility of the turbines evisually dominant infrastructure of the scullages and the receiver may be constructed from condition B2(b). The each off the migration should be migrate that receiver may be each visual impact at the receiver may be twisal impact at the receiver hard the visual emigrate in the resultion B2(b). The each off the migration should be migrate the visual dimpact the visual of the descendence of the massures to be treated to an acceptable level. tift the Applicant and the owner cannot agree on the measures to be treated to a complicant to a sceptable level. tift the outcome and it is already reading and the indigence following is generally on the indigence following is generally on the indigence following is generally and the undigence following the scenase is a distubance following. (b) minimise the clearing of native vegetation and habitat within the o	ave the unintended consequence of uncertainty for the applicant and it e to require an applicant to be subject to requirements for landscaping e commencement of construction allows receivers to request the elopment of the project when there is the most benefit from mitigation n screening being established by the time the turbines are erected and ent time for receivers to realise the visual impacts and request screening (construction is anticipated to be approximately 24 months). hat the exclusion of DAD01 should be retained as there is provision for d. ered the impact to NAD 33 in its revised recommendation as low with no roach for other wind farm approvals has been for landscaping to be e blue line of the Visual Bulletin for the proposed turbine height and eyond the blue line and with a low visual impact is not consistent with the that receiver.	5 years from the commencement of operation, the landowners of any other non-associated residence (excluding DAD01) within 5 km of any wind turbine identified in the Final Layout Plan (but also including <u>NAD33)</u> may ask the Applicant to implement visual impact mitigation measures on their land to minimise the visual impacts of the development
If equire mitigation and the intent of mitigation is to prioritise mitigation is curtilage, and be commensurate with the level of visual impact on workable and it should not be deleted from condition B2(b). The is curtilage, and be commensurate with the level of visual impact on workable and it is should not be deleted from condition B2(b). The is curtilage, and be commensurate with the level of visual impact on workable and it is should not be deleted from condition B2(b). The is curtilage, and be commensurate with the level of visual impact on workable and the mitigation should eliminate the view of the is curtilage, and be commensurate with the level of visual impact on workable and the mitigation should eliminate the view of the is curtilage, and be commensurate with the level of visual impact on workable and the mitigation and habitat within the downer cannot agree on the measures to be intimise the clearing of native vegetation and habitat within the disturbance footprint. fidtion B27 if the Applicant and the insequired for an improvement is anats have a long term lease over the development corridor but generally one proceed change would have an unintended for an improvement is an applicant may not have access to and acts the operation over activities on the remaining land by a landholder. mas pacies list in condition B27(c)(iv) is exhaustive and includes species in recorded on site during relevant biodiversity experts in growtenents to those measures; introvements to those measures in the development in consultation with the. S and DCCEEW. R	certainty for an applicant and is not reasonable to require an applicant to hknown number of future dwellings that may be constructed once an ment Consent includes the following definition of 'residence': <i>approved dwelling <u>at the date of grant of this consent</u>.</i> with other SSD and SSI renewable energy projects and changing it would industry.	
 the proposed change to add (b) Minimise the disturbance footprint the outcome and it is already required under condition B27(d)(iii) ive vegetation and habitat within the disturbance footprint). grimprovements to B27(d)(xii) is unenforceable. The nature of the able on an applicant and what is required for an improvement is ants have a long term lease over the development corridor but generally ole project site. The proposed change would have an unintended provements over an area that an applicant may not have access to and ctcs the operation over activities on the remaining land by a landholder. ma species list in condition B27(c)(iv) is exhaustive and includes species ng recorded on site during relevant biodiversity surveys and repared by a suitably qualified and experienced biodiversity expert/s in IS and DCCEEW. Relevant agencies reviewed the recommended th them. dition B36 the changes would not materially change the outcome. Prior to commencing construction, the Applicant must prepare a Traffic Management Plan for the development in consultation with TfNSW, WaterNSW, and Councils (including Muswellbrook Shire and Newcastle City Councils) and, where relevant, the CCC, 	I require mitigation and the intent of mitigation is to prioritise mitigation visual impacts of the turbines as the most dominant infrastructure of the mat the deletion of ' <i>and commensurate with the level of visual impact on</i> workable and it should not be deleted from condition B2(b). The osed would have the unintended consequence of significant mitigation equired for a receiver where the visual impact at that receiver may be locts are assessed in accordance with the Wind Energy Guideline and expectation that the mitigation should eliminate the view of the nust reduce the impact to an acceptable level. if the Applicant and the owner cannot agree on the measures to be	its curtilage, and be commensurate with the level of visual impact
the changes would not materially change the outcome. Traffic Management Plan for the development in consultation with TfNSW, WaterNSW, and Councils (including Muswellbrook Shire and Newcastle City Councils) and, where relevant, the CCC,	hat the proposed change to add (<i>b</i>) <i>Minimise the disturbance footprint</i> the outcome and it is already required under condition B27(d)(iii) <i>tive vegetation and habitat within the disturbance footprint</i>). <i>g improvements</i> to B27(d)(xii) is unenforceable. The nature of the able on an applicant and what is required for an improvement is ants have a long term lease over the development corridor but generally tole project site. The proposed change would have an unintended provements over an area that an applicant may not have access to and acts the operation over activities on the remaining land by a landholder. In a species list in condition B27(c)(iv) is exhaustive and includes species ng recorded on site during relevant biodiversity surveys and repared by a suitably qualified and experienced biodiversity expert/s in <i>I'S</i> and DCCEEW. Relevant agencies reviewed the recommended	 the disturbance footprint; (d) include a description of the measures that would be implemented to: (xii) a detailed program to monitor and report on the effectiveness of these measures and the implementation of any
		Traffic Management Plan for the development in consultation with TfNSW, WaterNSW, and Councils (including Muswellbrook Shire

Condition reference	Draft proposed condition (additions in <u>bold and underlined</u> , deletions in strikethrough)	Commission's comments and/or questions on draft proposed conditions	(including, where relevant, con
B35.	 <u>Councils</u>) and CCC, and to the satisfaction of the Planning Secretary. This plan must include: (c) details of the measures that would be implemented to minimise traffic impacts during construction, upgrading or decommissioning works, including: (v) procedures for receiving and addressing complaints from the community about development-related traffic; (vi) <u>site access and emergency access routes and use;</u> (vii) minimising potential cumulative traffic impacts with other projects <u>and existing uses</u> along the transport route, including consultation with TfNSW regarding their projects; (e) a detailed program to monitor, <u>review</u> and report on the effectiveness of these measures and the code of conduct, <u>as well as actions to achieve or improve any measures as required</u>. 	Wording to clarify the requirement of clear site and emergency access routes.	The Department considers that a a requirement for the Applicant t Councils. Inserting a requirement consequences about the role of be unlikely to have technical exp The Department considers that t recommended conditions.
HAZARDS Emergency plan B45.	 Prior to commencing construction, the Applicant must develop and implement a comprehensive Emergency Plan and detailed emergency procedures for the development, in consultation with NPWS, FCNSW and RFS and provide a copy of the plan to the local Fire Control Centre. The Applicant must keep two copies of the plan on-site in a prominent position adjacent to the site entry point at all times. The plan must: (c) include bushfire emergency management planning, including: (i) details of the location, management and maintenance of the Asset Protection Zone and on-site water supply tanks; (ii) a list of works and operations that should not be carried out during a total fire ban; (vi) operational procedures in the event of bushfires, such as shutting down turbines and the positioning of turbine blades to minimise impact to bushfire and/or interference with aerial-firefighting operations; (vii) detail the measures that would be implemented to evacuate the site in an emergency; and (viii) include details of how the Applicant would support the emergency response by others, such as hazard prevention, operator training and education, and access to plant and equipment. 	 Included wording to require consideration of how the Applicant can assist with emergency response by others in the event of a hazard. Questions: It is noted that this emergency plan condition is focused on bushfire response. Can the Department provide advice on whether other substantial emergency risks should be addressed here as well, such as structural failure, landslip etc? 	The comment relates to Conditio The Department does not consid recommended conditions already that is consistent with the Depart <i>'Emergency Planning'</i> to cover al <i>Bushfire Protection 2019</i> to addre Plan is required to be prepared in addition to (c)(ii) and (vi), the Dep For (c)(viii), it is already covered necessary.
DECOMMISSIONING & REHABILITATION Decommissioning and rehabilitation plan New condition.	 Within 3 years of the commencement of operation, the Applicant must prepare a Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan for the development, including progressive rehabilitation in accordance with condition B53. At a minimum, this Plan shall be updated by the Applicant half-way through the operational life of the project and within 2 years prior to decommissioning. The Plan must: (a) include detailed completion criteria for evaluating compliance with the rehabilitation objectives in Table 3 below; and (b) describe the measures that would be implemented to: (i) decommission the development and rehabilitate the site in accordance with the objectives in Table 3; (ii) minimise and manage the waste generated by the decommissioning of the development; (iii) include a program to monitor and report on the implementation of these measures against the detailed completion criteria; and (iv) ensure that best practice is employed in respect of utilising available recycling technologies. 	Requirement for the Applicant to prepare a Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan early on in the operation phase to provide clear planning for future decommissioning and rehabilitation activities.	The recommended conditions re number of objectives listed in Ta conditions and monitoring require decommissioned at the end of th The Department does not consid
ACCESS TO INFORMATION C22.	 To ensure transparency and timely information, the Applicant must: (a) make the following information publicly available on its website as relevant to the stage of the development as soon <u>as possible and within 5 business days</u>:	 To ensure information is provided in a transparent and timely manner. 	Agreed The definition of 'development' in The Department does not consid the condition specifies what the a The period of 5 days may not be C22(b) could have unintended co then be submitted to the Departm

Department's response comment on workability, enforceability and unintended consequences)	Commission to consider:
at wording in the recommended Development Consent already includes t to consult with all relevant road authorities, including relevant ent to consult with CCC on the TMP may have unintended of the CCC in this measure. The Department notes that the CCC would xpertise to provide feedback. at the proposed additions in (vi), (vii) and (e) are already covered by the	
tion B46 sider that the proposed changes are needed and considers the ady require the Applicant to prepare a comprehensive Emergency Plan artment's <i>Hazardous Industry Planning Advisory Paper (HIPAP) No. 1</i> , r all the operational related emergencies and RFS's <i>Planning for</i> Idress emergency situations due to bushfire events. The Emergency d in consultation with NPWS, FCNSW and RFS. In relation to the Department has no further comments and considers it can be accepted. ed in the requirement under HIPAP No. 1 and therefore considered not	 (c) include bushfire emergency management planning, including: (ii) a list of works <u>and operations</u> that should not be carried out during a total fire ban; (vi) operational procedures in the event of bushfires, such as shutting down turbines and the positioning of turbine blades to minimise <u>impact to bushfire and/or</u> interference with aerial firefighting operations;
require the applicant to rehabilitate the site in accordance with a Table 3 of condition B49. With the implementation of objective-based uirements, the Department considers that the project would be suitably the project life and that the site will be appropriately rehabilitated. sider that a Decommissioning and Rehabilitation Plan is required.	The Commission intends to impose this condition as drafted.
' includes 'operation'. sider that <i>'To ensure transparency and timely information'</i> is required if e actions an applicant must take. be workable for the applicant. A short timeframe for any <i>'changes'</i> in l consequences in a situation where a management plan is 'changed' to irtment but is not yet approved.	 To ensure transparency and timely access to information, the Applicant must: (a) make the following information publicly available on its website as relevant to the stage of the development <u>as soon as practicable</u>: (vi) a comprehensive summary of the monitoring results of the development, which have been reported in accordance with the various plans and programs approved under the conditions of this consent; (vii) procedures for receiving, handling, responding, and recording of how-complaints about the development ean be made;