

I wish to object to the Penrith Lakes heliport project. Thank you for reading. | | 1. Being aware that a submission was made on behalf of Sydney Helicopters to the Planning Dept to change land use at the Lakes to allow the operation of a heliport plus the fact that 11 hectares of land have already been purchased there, it is obvious that much more than just helipad-type operations are planned. | | 2. We have great concerns that acoustic testing was performed with a goal in mind. For starters, the appropriateness of the noise limits set by ACL must be questioned. The report used the EPA "Noise Policy for Industry" to set noise goals. This policy states that offensive noise should be assessed against council policy and yet Penrith council hasn't specific that we can see in this regard. Therefore, ACL should be doing long term monitoring and the criteria set at the lower of the "intrusive and amenity noise criteria". The Acoustic report states the criteria is 55dBA Leq. If long term background monitoring was undertaken, (it appears it hasn't), the background noise would likely correlate with rural land use, or suburban at a stretch. Based just on the amenity criteria, rural should be set at 48 dBA for the day period 7am-6pm Mon-Sat, 8am-6pm for Sun and at 43dBA for the evening, 6pm-10pm. Given the operation will be from 7am-10pm, an assessment of the night criteria (38dBA) should also be undertaken. The sleep disturbance criteria should be 52dBA. The Acoustic report only considers daytime operation. Based on the predicted noise levels, the evening amenity criteria would be exceeded likely be exceeded by 3dB at residential premises under worst case scenario and the night criteria exceeded by 8dB. The sleep disturbance criteria would also likely be exceeded. Noise logs have not been included. Meteorological conditions and background monitoring have not been included. | | We have also sought the advice of Steven Cooper from The Acoustic Group who questioned the suitability of the ACL report. He mentioned that ACL used a superseded policy in setting criteria, that noise testing on one day is badly inadequate, that there's no way to derive noise levels for a large helicopter by measuring a small helicopter, that there's no reason he could see why the flight paths can't be N-S and finally he also noticed that the report mentions operation could begin at first light which, contrary to what us stated elsewhere, in summer months could be as early as 5.30am. | | There appear to be too many deficiencies in the report. We call for this acoustic report to be re-examined by another independent acoustic firm. | | 3. We are deeply concerned by the impact this heliport could have on the wildlife and natural habitat. Penrith and surrounds would be just another ordinary suburb if it wasn't for the mountain backdrop and the river and waterways that attract so much birdlife. It is also a big factor in attracting business here. Why allow an operation that has the potential to undermine this? It is grossly unfair to the residents who

208281 Sat, 15/01/2022 I am making a personal submission Penrith 2750 New South No  
208236 Thu, 13/01/2022 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
208231 Thu, 13/01/2022 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to already live here and have their own livelihoods and to the various wildlife that have no way to defend themselves against this and nowhere else to go. Do you just allow them to die? Thank you.

I object to I oppose the DA for a Helipad at Penrith Lakes

I object to See attached

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION HELIPAD PENRITH LAKES | | Submission from The No Heliport Group Penrith Lakes. | | We object to DA21/15298 in respect of the property known as 100 Old Castlereagh Road, Penrith. | | As a group of concerned Penrith residents "The No Heliport Group Penrith Lakes" believes that the above is significantly flawed and should therefore be completely rejected. | | The land under consideration is zoned as "tourism" which allows for a Helipad, which to us is obviously to allow access to tourists by use of a helicopter to land and take off and enjoy the attractions of the area. | | For some 32 years residents of this state have been seeing multiple press releases talking about parkland, lakes for fishing and boating, "A Beach to Rival Bondi" walking and cycling paths etc. | | In August this year an extraordinary SEPP was released for changes to the Penrith Lakes Scheme Environmental Plan. These included a list of major construction projects such as an 18 hole golf course and a major film studio. Included at the bottom of that list is a Heliport. | | We are not aware of any person who provided comment on that SEPP that has been contacted. Also there has been no public comment about any further confirmation of the SEPP. | | About five weeks after that SEPP closed for comment, this DA was released on the new State Planning Portal for a Helipad to be built on that same land, for Sydney Helicopters. This application was supported by some 25 documents, mostly dated within that 5 week period. | | The dictionary built into the Penrith LEP document is clear about the differentiation between a Helipad and Heliport mainly that only a heliport may contain a terminal building or facilities for the parking storage or repair of helicopters. This definition seems to be followed throughout NSW and in fact by Sydney Helicopters at their Granville Heliport. | Appendix "P" of the application is a document, which we believe at best should be considered as mischievous, trying to disprove this. In a public statement in the Western Weekender (17/12/21) Mr. Mark Harrold wishes to open a coffee shop, which can only be done on a Heliport. This would also alter the quoted traffic movement of 10 vehicles per day. | | This one issue of an unsuitable zoning should be enough to reject the application. | | The Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements (SEARs) require extensive consultation with the community (see page 25 of the EIS) but as far as we aware the applicant has not contacted any groups or individuals. If that is the case then he has not satisfied the SEARs and the application should be rejected. The EIS says both that "extensive pre-lodgment community consultation" has been carried out (page 121) but also that community consultation will only be carried out if the application is approved (page 59). Despite conducting no consultation the applicant has claimed that the community has raised no issues (page 14). We think that this lack of community consultation grounds subsequent concerns about the noise | In that same press release above, Mr. Harold assured everyone that his license allowed him to only fly significantly less operations than the DA allows. This raises significant concerns that if the land is sold on to another operator, further changes will be allowed. What other reason could there be for the variation? | It also concerns the group as to why the authority seems intent on "bending" the rules to advantage a commercial operator without offering an alternate commercial location such as Wallgrove. The operator seems so confident that his DA will proceed that he purchased the land earlier in 2021, prior to lodging the DA. | | There are several other reasons for objection that have been raised independently yet the operator is already using the land. | | We also have other concerns with the manner of how the DA has been carried out, with many questions that have not been adequately addressed as follows: | \* Sydney Helicopters actually runs as three companies from its Granville site including Alpine Helicopters and Aerotech Sydney P/L. Aerotech is a land based helicopter maintenance facility which is entirely unsuitable for operation in a Tourism area. We are unsure how these companies operation affects the application. Recently Coastal Helicopters also operated from the same site until they lost access to the Central Coast helipad. | \* The acoustic report noise criteria limits are untraceable to where they come from as per the reference used in the DA. | \* According to the acoustic report, monitoring occurred on one day, during daytime, on the smallest of the helicopters fleet. They also compare the sound levels to "ambient" at only the busiest time of day which is hardly relevant considering the applied for operating hours. | \* Did the sound recordings made take into account Weighted/Tonal measurements? | \* The number of helicopter movements and hours of operation in the DA conflict with Sydney Helicopters license. | \* There does not appear to be any formal monitoring process of either noise or flightpaths. | We firmly believe that the Authority should not accept that report as relevant in the circumstances. | An independent noise assessment should be carried out, in consultation with the No Heliport Group Penrith Lakes, to determine the noise levels of overflight above the residential areas of Cranebrook and more specifically the Waterside Estate. This study should, as a minimum, compare the disturbing and intrusive "tonality" generated by each of the helicopters in the fleet, along a range of flight paths and varying heights, across the full range of proposed operating hours, amongst other things. | Mr Harold frequently quotes his work for the RFS but we are sure he could do this from anywhere, as he would be fully compensated for his costs. As other respondents have pointed out, under fire emergencies the RFS regularly takes over local (to the fire) playing fields and car parks to move in heavy vehicles for re-supply of large helicopters that would be unable to use this proposed development. | | In Summary: | •The operator is in fact applying to operate a Heliport which is not only not allowed in that "Tourism" zoning, but entirely unsuitable in the environment. | •The legal and noise documents within the application are seriously flawed and should be ignored. The operator seems to be under the impression that the DA is a "fait accompli" and is already operating a "Heliport" on Tourism zoned land that he has purchased. Under who's authority? | •We can see no evidence of the

208216 Thu, 13/01/2022 I am submitting on behalf of my org Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to Secretary's Environmental Assessment Requirements for community consultation being followed. | The No Heliport Group Penrith Lakes group objects to this application in any form. | |

I object to the proposed Helipad on a few matters - | | - Acoustic Requirements - | Dwellings in the area around the proposed Helipad have not been designed with Acoustic requirements for a Helipad. | In the Acoustic Report the proposed Noise Level over my Dwelling is proposed on some of the Grid Noise Maps to be up to 65 dB(A) without a helicopter going directly over my dwelling. I believe this to be an unacceptable noise level for a residential area (especially with the emergency flights between 10pm and 5:30am - These Helicopters up to 85dB(A) ) | The Acoustic report only shows results for a pre determined flight path to the south of the proposed site and not directly over the residential areas. Helicopters require specific requirements (weather, wind direction and other factors) to take off and if this requires them to take off directly over the residential areas then the proposed levels shown on the report are not true and accurate, with this in mind (the weather, wind and other factors which have not been consider) I believe this report to be misleading. | I believe residents in the affected area should have Acoustic Requirements paid for by the applicant or State Government if the proposal is to go ahead as they can not guarantee that helicopters will not take off over Residential areas. | | - Health Affects - | I have three (3No.) young Children living in my dwelling and with the proposed hours of operation for the Helipad this will be detrimental to their health (See above about Take Off Paths - Emergency Flights). With these flights being able taking off at all hours of the night every person's sleep in the area will be impacted, (even with the standard operation hours of 5:30 - 10pm) | Heath Guidelines from a federal level recommend minimum 8 hours sleep for adults and even more for Children. (9-11 hours of UNINTERRUPTED Sleep for children) | The standard operating hours do not leave enough time for minimum hours of sleep for an adult let alone a child. | | - The Area - | Residents have made their home here in the area and have been here for many years. They have come to appreciate a particular standard of living in those years. This proposal will change the ambiance of the area. (Take Off Paths/Flight Paths due to weather/Wind & other circumstances) | No

208181 Tue, 11/01/2022 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
208146 Mon, 10/01/2022 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to one will compensate the residents for the loss of the standard of living they have come to expect from the area. | | Thank you for taking the time to read my objection. | | |

I strongly object to a heliport being built at the Penrith Lakes site because of the inevitable noise it would cause. Despite the claim by Mr. Harold that there would be only 2 flights a day, the application is for 25 or more per day. This would be intolerable. Originally, the Penrith Lakes scheme emphasized park-like surroundings for walking, picnicking & canoeing, with an undisturbed wild life lake at one end. How will nesting birds be affected by constant take-off & circling of all day helicopters? How can the area be a pleasant "rural" retreat when the noise will resemble an industrial site? I am not surprised that the NSW government would mislead the public in this way, but I am disgusted.

208141 Mon, 10/01/2022 I am making a personal submission jordan spr 2747 New South No  
208121 Mon, 10/01/2022 I am making a personal submission JORDAN SI 2747 New South No

I object to Please note that this is a separate submission to the one I made on 30 November 2021.

My objection relates to the noise impact of this development application. Although the documented estimated noise levels are compliant with the noise emission goals this does not negate the fact that there will be noise. Any level of noise of this nature is unacceptable for a residential area. My current address falls within an area that will experience close to the highest expected decibels. As a front line health worker who works shift work, to have this level of noise throughout the day is not conducive to adequate sleep and rest that is essential. No doubt my circumstances are not unique. An area chosen to reside in is highly considered and noise from a heliport was never expected as a possibility 10 years ago.

208111 Sun, 09/01/2022 I am making a personal submission CRANEBRC 2749 New South No  
208106 Sun, 09/01/2022 I am submitting on behalf of my org Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
208001 Thu, 30/12/2021 I am making a personal submission Caddens 2747 New South No

I object to This submission is prepared by and on behalf of "The No Heliport Group Penrith Lakes"

I support it I feel the benefits to having this Heliport in Penrith, with the additional benefits of emergency services such as fire fighting assistance in the Blue Mountains far outweighs any disruption particularly in light of the Acoustic Report prided.

207986 Wed, 29/12/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
207961 Sat, 25/12/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
207956 Sat, 25/12/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to We purchased our house in Cranebrook due to the great parks and walking paths, we love the serenity and do not want to hear helicopters flying over us constantly. 25 flights a day is a LOT and will significantly reduce the value of properties in our area.

I object to No to Helipad near the lakes.

I object to Sound pollution | Impact to local community and life | We don't need a constant sound of take off and landing of helicopters in our neighborhood.

We live in Waterside (Cranebrook) which is quite close to the proposed site and have real concerns about the effects on residents and wildlife in this area. | | While the noise levels have been mapped out in the proposal and reports, I don't think this really projects the affects of these noise levels and number of possible movements per day will have on peoples wellbeing and mental health. | | This area has had quite a bit of time and resources spent on restoring an environment for wildlife to return to. As a result wide variety of birds and other wildlife have returned to the area both around waterside and Penrith Lakes. Having helicopters flying in and out of the area would have a serious negative impact on the environment that has been restored. | | It seems that there are more suitable locations for this helipad/heliport. I would have thought that places like Eastern Creek raceway or Bankstown Airport would be more suitable as a location. using either of these two sites would make much more sense from a

207736 Thu, 23/12/2021 I am making a personal submission CRANEBRC 2749 New South No

I object to wellbeing and environmental point of view. |

207596	Tue, 21/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	I do not believe the proposed helipad at Penrith Lakes will be of benefit to the community as a whole. It is an unsuitable enterprise to be conducted within such close proximity of large residential areas, since the flight paths will be above heavily populated communities. I am a Waterside resident who will be adversely affected by the noise generated by 25-50 daily helicopter movements. These movements will disturb my sense of well-being especially since flights will be permitted until 10pm. I also believe the noise will intrude upon recreational use of Penrith Lakes. Coupled with this, the destruction of trees to make way for the helipad would seem to contradict Penrith Council's stated intention to increase the city's green footprint. Finally, I feel that any perceived economic benefit will only advantage a few, yet the adverse affects of this proposal will permanently reverberate through the community in an ongoing negative fashion. Penrith Lakes should be developed for the community not private enterprise.
207546	Tue, 21/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	I object to
207461	Tue, 21/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	I object to the submission across two areas of primary focus that this proposed development is set set to a self- referenced market interest totally at odds with the wider public or environmental interest   In this I express concern as to the effect of low flying helicopters flying across the Waterside Estate from 5:30am to 10pm and so profoundly disturbing the quality of life both of residents and the quality of recreational well-being presently on offer to the many visitors to the adjacent community accessed Waterview Estate Wetlands and Lakes   I similarly object to the impact of this scheme on the GBMWHA - both in terms of affect on biodiversity and quality of environmental resource.   In both instances I profoundly object that a scheme should be set to provide market capital with a profoundly detrimental impact on social capital for the people of Penrith and with no primary reference to Penrith Lakes as being a community resource set to provide open access for the social well-being of the people of Penrith now and into the future.
207456	Tue, 21/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	2747	2747	New South No	I object to We have a reasonably quiet suburb. This combined with the western Sydney airport is too much. We do not want this.
207296	Sun, 19/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	The DA will have significant impact on the local community and environment ranging from increased noise, negative visual impact and placing the lake system at an increased risk.     The community has been lead to believe this area will be used for recreational use by families and relaxation.     This type of DA will detract from this. You only need to see and hear the negative impact the aircraft have which are used by the skydiving facility.     To increased this to 25 flights per will also have a significant impact on the residential values of the private residences and would make using outdoor area of peoples homes uncomfortable and annoying.     With an airport being built only a short distance away, I believe there are far better alternatives available. We do not wish to have a heliport operating within a very short distance from our estate at Waterside. This area enjoys quietness and this is our home and why should we have 25 helicopter flights presumably that means 50 take and off and landings during effectively very early morning and evening periods within 1 km of our residence. It was not a proposal when this estate was planned and we and many other purchased our property. As we are very close to the take off and landing the noise will be far greater than just a normal helicopter flying over.     When the Police helicopter is conducting surveillance we know how loud that can be and we close our doors and windows. Are you proposing to provide double glazing to all the houses in the area to fix this issue?     Who are the developers behind this and why do we need this facility here?     Surely it would be better to be closer to existing aircraft facilities eg Aerotropolis or Richmond?
207121	Fri, 17/12/2021	- I am submitting on behalf of my org	Wentwort	2782	New South No	I object to Please find attached a supplementary submission from the Blue Mountains Conservation Society for:     Helipad development DA21/15298 100 Old Castlereagh Road, Castlereagh
207026	Thu, 16/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	The proposal in its entirety will produce unacceptable level of pollution both noise and air with the potential for the surrounding waterways to be impacted along with various species of local native fauna. The development of the lakes area was to be for the betterment of the community, this misses the mark by a long stretch
207006	Thu, 16/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	CRANEBCR	2749	New South No	I object to My wife and I are in our late 70's and early 80's and we bought our home in the Waterside Estate at Cranebrook to see out our days in peace and quiet in this lovely tree lined and water enhanced location.   This proposal to construct a Heliport about a kilometre away from our estate, operating 7 days per week from the early hours of the day till 10 00 pm at night will totally destroy the peace and tranquility which we currently enjoy.   We therefore request this proposal be rejected in its entirety and a more suitable location be selected well away from residential areas where the disturbance from constant helicopter movements will not impact on densely populated areas with elderly people and families with young children.
206916	Wed, 15/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	I object to I recently moved into the Waterside estate at Cranebrook. I choose this area to move to due to closeness of facilities and the peacefulness of the area in general.     I believe that even though the noise levels are within regulations the helicopter pad will impact on the area significantly and the environment will be effected adversely by the knock on effect of traffic build ups in an area that is already struggling.     Recently there was a helicopter emergency services training day, I was at home and it felt like I was standing under a flight path at Richmond air base for much of the day, (I used to work under this flight path and would not choose to live under it).     I do see the need for a helipad in the area but am highly concerned about:   - hovering locations 1 and 4 in relation to residential areas   - where the car park for the helipad is on the site plan?   - entry & exit of so many vehicles especially emergency vehicles with sirens, on the extremely busy Castlereagh Road in and out of Penrith (this stretch of Road is often built up with traffic trying to get into Penrith, back to the Andrews Rd, Castlereagh Rd, round about both am and pm).     I believe that if a Helipad is to be built in this area the local people who live here should be taken into consideration both for noise and traffic issues.     If this proposal is to go ahead perhaps moving hovering locations 1 & 4 could be considered and a separate access road perhaps at the rear of the industrial area running parallel to Castlereagh Rd need to be put in place. I predict that if another road is not put in Castlereagh Rd will be in gridlock at least 80%of the daylight hours. This will cause further environmental impact on the area, that has not been taken into consideration the the environmental impact statements as far as I can see.     I would like to thank you for taking my concerns into consideration and not only the economic impact this will have on the area.     Many thanks
206851	Wed, 15/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	CRANEBCR	2749	New South No	I object to The heliport approx 1KM away from out estate with so many flights per day, will impact on our daily lives, When aircraft currently fly low over the houses, it's so noisy and the house vibrates, this multiplied by approx 50 flights a day, 7 days a week will be a nightmare.     The heliport should be located away from housing estates.
206686	Mon, 13/12/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	I object to Please leave our peaceful little sanctuary intact if you can.
203326	Fri, 03/12/2021	- I am submitting on behalf of my org	Springwooc	2777	New South No	Please see the attached document.
203321	Fri, 03/12/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Bullaburra	2784	New South No	TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN     I write to support the application for the helipad development at Penrith Lakes.     The operators of Sydney Helicopters, Mark and Lisa Harrold, are lifelong conservationist who have facilitated a wide range of environmental projects in and around western Sydney and the Blue Mountains. Their commitment to nature is unsurpassed by any other business I have dealt with in both a private and professional capacity (as General Manager of the Colong Foundation for Wilderness).     Whether it be through ensuring remote area fires are extinguished in a timely fashion, feral animal control, National Park maintenance, or facilitating NGO conservation activities, Mark and Lisa Harrold have always been 100% committed to environmental outcomes in running their business. I have no doubt that this will continue into the future under the Harrold's leadership of Sydney Helicopters.     The Sydney Helicopter business primarily provides helicopter utility services to private industry and the NSW Government. In particular, it is of tremendous benefit that the helipad will be located closer to the Blue Mountains, having additional capacity to extinguish wildfires in a timely fashion.     While tourism (in the form of joy-flights) does form a small part of the Sydney Helicopter business, it is not their major source of revenue. It is important that this remains the case given the close proximity to the Blue Mountains of the helipad. I am assured that it is indeed the intentions of Sydney Helicopters to not undertake low-level joy-flights over the Blue Mountains, and their first class record of operation at Granville is a testament to such matters.     Provided that all CASA and Fly Neighbourly regulations are followed in helicopter operations (having no doubt they will be under the leadership of the Harrold's), I support this development application. Indeed, this is the type of (non-urban) development appropriate for the floodplain at Penrith.
203316	Fri, 03/12/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749	New South No	I object to I DO NOT AGREE with a Heliport being built at Penrith Lakes. Please see attached Submission with all my reasons. Thank you for the opportunity to make a submission.   I object to this application due to its proximity to the World Heritage listed area of the Blue Mountains which will bear the greatest impacts. These include: conservation values; amenity; noise (a major drawback of the Mountains is it's serenity and ability to hear and be immersed in the sounds of nature not to have helicopters creating visual and noise pollution from 5:30am - 10pm); detrimental effects on education and children/shift worker's sleep; fallout from aircraft fuel coating everything outdoors including our homes; increased respiratory issues and other health impacts.   Due process has not been followed: residents of the Blue Mountains have not been afforded a community consultation, and the National Parks and Wildlife Service has not been consulted.   This proposal is an unacceptable tourism over-development on the doorstep of the Blue Mountains.   No assessment of flying zones impacts on the biodiversity of the area nor the migratory birds has occurred.   The valleys and plateaus of the Greater Blue Mountains World Heritage Area and all the fauna and flora within will be impacted adversely. What legacy do we leave for the future generations once species have become threatened, endangered or extinct?   Please do not build this heliport.   Yours sincerely,
203311	Fri, 03/12/2021	- I am making a personal submission	BLAXLAND	2774	New South No	I object to As a resident of the Blue Mountains living close to the Grose Valley Wilderness I am dismayed to see a DA for yet another business proposing increased helicopter tourism to the Blue Mountains and all its associated noise impacts.     The Grose Valley is a declared wilderness that forms the backbone of a visitor economy based on access to a large natural area free from human impacts. It is a resource of incalculable tourism value to NSW given its close proximity to Sydney. Such areas are increasingly rare worldwide and Sydney is almost unique in being a global city with access to such a resource for its residents. The NSW Government should be jealously guarding such a crown jewel, not entertaining misguided tourism proposals that would destroy its natural quiet to service a tiny number of visitors at great cost to the many visitors who come to the Blue Mountains by other transport modes.     It is not even 2 months since the NSW government wisely refused to grant permission for a similar business at Katoomba airfield. I dearly hope that the same sensible decision is made in respect to this proposal.     The Environmental Impact statement makes no assessment of the noise impacts of the proposal on the area over which flights will be undertaken, despite proposing up to 25 flights a day, 7 days a week from dawn until well after nightfall. The noise impacts of helicopters flying in the confines of the canyons of the Blue Mountains are substantial, impacting the entire valley for the duration of such flights. For a proposal to consider only the noise impacts on the immediate surrounds of the helipad and not on the area that would be impacted by the proposed business' operation is to miss the majority of the noise impacts of the proposal. The proponent attempts to downplay possible impacts by noting that there are 5 operational helipads in the Blue Mountains already. However, it fails to note that only 1 of these is operated by a tourism business and that one with very limited operation. So, the operation of a newly located business at Penrith Lakes would constitute a dramatic increase in helicopter flights and noise impacts, and would not merely be business as usual.     Until such time as a full assessment of the noise impacts of the proposal is made and all interested parties including impacted businesses and communities in the Blue Mountains are consulted, I urge that this planning proposal be refused. We in the mountains are tired of having to expend our energies in defense of a natural area whose values have been recognised internationally by UNESCO and ought to be protected by the NSW government from encroachment.
203306	Fri, 03/12/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Blackheat	2785	New South No	I object to Helicopters are inconsistent with World Heritage Area National Parks because of their extensive noise pollution extending well beyond their flight-paths. This is particularly severe in the Blue Mountains where cliff-faces echo sound.     Helicopter noise threatens our tourist economy. Prior to COVID, the annual tourist expenditure in the Blue Mountains was progressively increasing, confirming that our model of nature-based serenity is more valuable than ever for both domestic and international visitors.     There has been no community consultation on this matter. Based on the Katoomba Airfield proposal, where 88% of respondents opposed the commercial lease, this matter is likely to be equally unpopular.     It's also disappointing that NSW National Parks and Wildlife haven't been consulted on this matter.     Before any decision can be made, the biodiversity impacts must be assessed. The Blue Mountains National Park is under increasing pressure due to climate change, so any additional impacts must be assessed in that context.     Of especial importance are the impacts on migratory birds from the presence of helicopters in their air space.     Sincerely,
203296	Fri, 03/12/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Blackheat	2785	New South No	I object to RE: Helipad construction, Penrith Lakes Project     Dear Minister Stokes,     Rowing NSW strongly objects to the construction and operation of a Helipad within the Penrith Lakes Scheme as per PAN-155484 currently on DA exhibition.   The construction of this helipad and daily usage of this facility for up to 25 aircraft vehicle movements per day between the hours of 5:30am and 10:00pm with create immense impact to the usage of the Sydney International Regatta Centre (SIRC) for Rowing NSW and our related events. Rowing NSW governs the sport of rowing in NSW representing 5000 active members using the SIRC facility for events at minimum 25 times per season, with over 35,000 participants in a calendar year. The regatta centre also plays host to the Rowing Australia Australian Rowing Championships and National Team selection trials.     The regatta course sits within 300m of the proposed helipad and its construction and associated operations will cause significant impediment to safety and racing on the SIRC rowing course for our Members and visiting athletes. Our athletes range from 13 years of age to 80+ and need to be provided safe conditions in which to compete.   Rotor wash from landing and take-off procedures will be a significant and persistent safety issue, particularly for single sculls, pairs and double sculls when racing and training occurs. Wash that occurs across the course when training or racing is occurring will cause athletes to capsize, reduce readiness of safety craft to assist these athletes and create unfair conditions during regattas. The SIRC Course plays host to championship regattas for schools and clubs throughout the year and it is imperative that we provide conditions that are safe to compete and are fair in nature.     Additionally noise and visual distraction will also adversely affect rowing and racing in the lake in particular the management of safety on event days.     Rowing NSW urges you to reconsider the construction and location of the proposed Helipad as part of the Penrith Lakes project.     Yours Sincerely     Margot Harley   Chief Executive Officer   Rowing NSW
203286	Fri, 03/12/2021	- I am submitting on behalf of my org	Balmain E	2041	New South No	I object to the Penrith Lakes project.     Yours Sincerely     Margot Harley   Chief Executive Officer   Rowing NSW

203281	Fri, 03/12/2021	I am submittir Blue Mour Director, E Katoomba	2780 New South No	I object to See attachment	
203276	Fri, 03/12/2021	I am making a personal submission CRANEBCR	2749 New South No	I object to	We live in Waterside (Cranebrook) which is quite close to the proposed site and have real concerns about the effects on residents and wildlife in this area.     While the noise levels have been mapped out in the proposal and reports, I don't think this really projects the affects of these noise levels and number of possible movements per day will have on peoples wellbeing and mental health.     This area has had quite a bit of time and resources spent on restoring an environment for wildlife to return to. As a result wide variety of birds and other wildlife have returned to the area both around Waterside and Penrith Lakes. Having helicopters flying in and out of the area would have a serious negative impact on the environment that has been restored.
203271	Fri, 03/12/2021	I am making a personal submission Merryland	2160 New South No	I support it	Please see attached submission.
203261	Fri, 03/12/2021	I am submittir Penrith Cit Secretary Penrith	2750 New South No	I am just p	Please see attached Council's comments
203236	Thu, 02/12/2021	I am making a personal submission Penrith	2751 New South No	I object to	I live in the area that will be subject to the noise and annoyance of these helicopters flying over my property. The heliport should be located out at the new airport not in an area surrounded by parkland and residences .   This company is currently located in an industrial area near Rosehill and are being forced to move by the State Government, maybe this is a 'sweetheart' deal they have done .   They definitely don't belong here , the location is totally in appropriate. We don't want aviation fuel dumped over our parkland, lakes and river.   Move to the new airport or Richmond Airbase.   Cranebrook is not a dumping ground . INTRODUCTION   I am wishing to register my strong objection to this noisy commercial heliport located about a kilometre from our home. My full submission is attached.     Our estate (Waterside) is a pre-existing noise sensitive residential area with great tranquility and beauty. There are large noise barriers at its boundaries, and also internally, integral to the development. These are designed to cut road and industrial noise emitted at ground level. These will be ineffective against helicopters as they fly much higher than the barriers. The barriers work by reflecting noise. This could double the noise for the unlucky residents living on the wrong side.     SUMMARY OF CONCERNS   I am concerned about the following aspects of the development -   1) Long hours of operation.   The DA proposal is for flights between 5:30am and 10pm, seven days per week. This is an excessively large proportion of every day of the year. It also runs into morning and evening sleeping times.   2) Too many helicopter movements per day.   Such a large number of movements, up to 50 per day, in such a noise sensitive residential area is excessive.   3) No details as to flight approaches and corridors.   EPA licence conditions need to require that takeoffs and landings are to the western side of the heliport only. Flight corridors should be restricted to the north, south and west only, over the industrial, river and forested areas, and nowhere near Waterside and greater Cranebrook.   4) No noise management plan.   Such a plan needs to aim ensure good relations with local communities and compliance with noise limits, flight corridors and any licence conditions.   5) Inadequate noise study.   The noise report prepared by Acoustic Logic Consultancy (ALC) has a number of glaring technical deficiencies. It greatly understates and under reports the real impact of the helicopter noise. It also does not appear to follow the EPA's guidelines and policy. Of concern are -   * The Lmax noise limits are not obtained from where Acoustic Logic Consultancy say they got them from, so it is unclear if these limits are valid.   * Noise measurements were not obtained at the nearest residential property or Waterside.   * No night time noise study was conducted to assess sleep disturbance as required under NSW Noise Policy for Industry 2017 Section 2.5 (detailed in attached pdf file).   * Noise measurements were not adjusted upwards by up to 10 db for the "tonality" of helicopter noise. Such an adjustment would see Lmax levels exceeded at the golf course and possibly at the nearest residence.
203231	Thu, 02/12/2021	I am making a personal submission Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	OBJECTION TO HELIPAD DA21/15298     As a homeowner and ratepayer in the Penrith LGA since 1981 and a current homeowner in Waterside Estate, Cranebrook since September 2013, I strongly object to this DA proceeding.     THE AMENITY OF THE AREA ENJOYED BY SO MANY WILL BE SIGNIFICANTLY COMPROMISED IF THIS DA IS TO BE APPROVED.   We did not choose to live under, or near, the flight path for up to 50 helicopter movements a day (25 take offs, 25 landings), from 5:30am to 10pm 7 days a week. Our decision to purchase our current residence in the Waterside Estate in Cranebrook in 2013 was greatly influenced by the peaceful amenity the estate offered, with its vast riparian areas, lakes, walking tracks, variety of bird species and native animal life. The tranquility and beauty of this area is also enjoyed by the wider Penrith community. Waterside is quite a unique development, protected in part from local road noise by sound barriers which were a condition imposed by Penrith Council on the original DA for the estate – these barriers were not designed or intended to mitigate helicopter noise!     THIS IS A DA FOR A HELIPAD IN NAME ONLY.   It is in fact the intent of the NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (Planning) to facilitate the relocation of a fully operational commercial heliport business from its current location in Granville (an area with high traffic density and resulting high levels of background noise pollution) to 9 hectares of land which is situated in part of the Penrith Lakes that includes, amongst other structures, a heliport housing up to 9 craft, with underground fuel tanks, along with its current licence to operate.     THE HELIPAD IS ALREADY OPERATIONAL.   How can this happen without DA approval? I am appalled that this land was quietly purchased by Sydney Helicopters in April 2021, and re-zoned to enable the operation of what is already up to 7 flights per week by this company.     THE NOISE STUDY ACCOMPANYING THE DA IS INADEQUATE.   No sound measurements were taken at the nearest residential location or any properties in Waterside. No measurements were taken during the early morning or late evening when residents and their families would most likely be sleeping, when background noise would have been at its lowest level and the potential disturbance from helicopter noise therefore at its highest level. These measurements would have been of particular relevance, as would measurements across the day given so many people are now working from home. There was no accounting for wind direction, the impact of variations in flight path or height of flight in the acoustic report. There is also the impact of regular, helicopter engine drone noise on people's mental health and general wellbeing than should have been considered.     THE LICENCE TO OPERATE SHOULD NOT BE AUTOMATICALLY TRANSFERRABLE.   There should have been a fresh and thorough evaluation of the proposed site. There has been inadequate community consultation and a downplaying of the actual size of the operation. There is no evidence of authentic investigations and consideration of other more appropriate sites or the potential impact of this site.     THE SUBMISSION PROCESS WAS DIFFICULT TO NAVIGATE.   The letter we received, dated 29 October 2021, notifying of the exhibition of the DA included a link to view the relevant documents. This link ( <a href="http://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/daex/on%20exhibition">http://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/daex/on%20exhibition</a> ) takes you to a page full of DAs currently on exhibition. Once there, the Heliport DA is currently buried on page 4. I believe not providing a direct link to the specific DA made it difficult for some potential respondents to access the documents pertaining to this DA. A direct link ( <a href="http://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/daex/exhibition/helipad-penrith-lakes">http://www.planningportal.nsw.gov.au/daex/exhibition/helipad-penrith-lakes</a> ) would have been an easy thing to provide and facilitated public feedback, rather than the link provided in the letter, which by way of being generic was actually obstructive in encouraging feedback.     I AM PERSONALLY AWARE THAT THE DA WAS UNAVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN UNKNOWN PERIOD OF TIME.   This is of great concern and as a consequence due process has not been followed as the DA was not available for public comment for the full period. For this unknown period of time the status of the DA had been changed from On exhibition to Under Consideration. This change made it impossible to make an online submission. My husband made several phone calls to Planning on 15 November in an attempt to find out why and when this had happened (no one could advise or were aware), along with sending 2 email enquiries through Planning's website as advised by the person he spoke to at Planning, also on November 15 (neither of which have, at my time of writing this submission, been answered). Further enquiries by various people resulted in the DA being placed back On Exhibition sometime late on 15 November. Changing the status of the DA for this unknown period of time is a further obstruction to facilitating public feedback and draws into question whether the DA has actually been on exhibition for the required length of time, therefore potentially invalidating the process.     IN CONCLUSION   I am concerned that Planning and more broadly the NSW State Government has not made any genuine attempt to consider or investigate the adverse impacts that the imposition of the proposed Heliport would have on the immediate residential area of the Waterside Estate specifically and the broader residential area of Cranebrook.     This DA provides no real, sustained benefits to Penrith LGA. The promises of vast recreational areas as part of the Penrith Lakes have been pushed aside to hastily accommodate this commercial business at great financial cost for the people of NSW by the NSW Government (by way of a huge amount of financial compensation paid to the business owner), with no regard for, and even greater cost to, the peaceful amenity of the nearby residential area.     From the Planning NSW website ( <a href="https://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/Plans-for-your-area/Priority-Growth-Areas-and-Precincts/Penrith-Lakes">https://www.planning.nsw.gov.au/Plans-for-your-area/Priority-Growth-Areas-and-Precincts/Penrith-Lakes</a> , I quote:   "The NSW Government is committed to making Penrith Lakes a destination to play, relax, work and visit. The overall vision is for Penrith Lakes to be a signature piece of regional open space in the Western Parkland City, with a strong world-class sporting and recreational identity."     How comfortably does this vision sit with this current DA? In my opinion, it doesn't sit well. This noisy, commercial heliport, located approximately 1km from my home and the homes of approximately 600 other Waterside Estate families, should not be approved to proceed.     I LODGE MY STRONG
203226	Thu, 02/12/2021	I am making a personal submission CRANEBCR	2749 New South No	I object to	OBJECTION TO DA21/15298
203221	Thu, 02/12/2021	I am making a personal submission Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	I was born in Penrith and am a proud member of the community. The expansion, urbanisation and multiculturalism of the region has been great to witness and enriched the quality of the community.     I support the greening and utilising of vacant land to support Penrith as place of natural beauty as a destination area for our community and visitors to our region.     I support the growing numbers of businesses coming to the area to service the needs of the community and as someone who lives in Cranebrook we are now experiencing 1st hand the convenience of urbanisation as Penrith grows out and beyond.     Waterside Community was marketed and targeted as aspirational living in a natural surroundings, The images used by Stocklands were evocative sunrises and sunset vistas of empty skies and tranquil lakes. This lifestyle plan has been successfully accepted by the community and is now a sought after area for sympathetically minded families looking for a beautiful locale to raise their families within the commuter belt.     The proposed commercial Heliport is completely at odds with the council's goals and existing brief for the area. The noise that will accompany a commercial heliport will affect my quality of living and the Waterside and Cranebrook communities; but also affect the wonder, beauty and sense of community that is the Regatta Centre.     The Regatta Centre is an asset and on par with any international regatta arena. At 6.30am it is a quiet haven for the wildlife and a place of exercise and reflection for those who seek it out. On the weekends the centre is akin to a Parisian promenade -where people walk, meet dine, ride and run; a worthy alternative to the bridge walk.     Why ruin this with a Heliport? The noise and dirt will impede the enjoyment of the area - I was employed by Safeguard Securities and worked on Wentworth Street in Clyde so have an understanding of the noise pollution that will ensue. Do not do this to Penrith and compromise the beauty of the area - an area that is a part of the great walks and that council has invested so many years and money to cultivate.     Penrith Council must object to this submission.     I am happy to be contacted for discussion.     Thank you     Alleena Green   ██████████
203191	Thu, 02/12/2021	I am making a personal submission Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	I have brought into Waterside for the lifestyle boardwalks around our waterway enjoying our wildlife. I strongly disagree with a heliport in Penrith lakes. Sydney Helicopters has had a strong association as a sponsor of the Emu Plains Little Athletics Club for 15 years. Supporters like Sydney Helicopters are crucial to the success of community based sporting clubs.     We strongly support the move of Sydney Helicopters to the Penrith Lakes area and the development of their Heliport.     Tourism has been an industry that has suffered enormously through the COVID 19 pandemic and support of tourism based businesses should be considered as essential within our community.     Penrith is lucky to have such a well established tourism business locating to our area. The fire fighting capabilities of Sydney Helicopters is well known and will provide us with a response to the threat of bushfire like our community has never had previously.     The Emu Plains Little Athletics Club fully supports the Heliport DA and the operation of Sydney Helicopters at the Lakes
203171	Thu, 02/12/2021	I am submitting on behalf of my org Leonay	2750 New South No	I support it	Submission is attached.
203161	Thu, 02/12/2021	I am making a personal submission JORDAN SI	2747 New South No	I object to	I wish to voice my objection and concern at the proposed commercial heliport planned for Penrith Lakes. Helicopters flying from 5.30am to 10pm every day is absolutely ludicrous and will ultimately impact on the lives of all residents in the area not to mention how local wildlife will be affected. It has been suggested that this facility will be a significant commercial development, not just a "minor" heliport.   I strongly disagree with this proposal. construction and operation of a Heliport within the Penrith Lakes Scheme, including:   • Maximum of 25 aircraft vehicle movements per day between the hours of 5:30am and 10:00pm with operation above this number of flights and outside these hours if required when undertaking emergency service works.
203156	Wed, 01/12/2021	I am making a personal submission Blaxland	2774 New South No	I object to	I have concerns on this development proposal as a Celebrant. I have lived and work in the Blue Mountains all my life.   Many people now see the Blue Mountains as a destination wedding location. This is a huge industry for the mountains bringing in substantial money and employment but is often overlooked.   On many occasions I have been conducting a wedding ceremony at either a local historical venue or at one of our amazing lookouts only to have a helicopter hover above presumably so the joy riders can have a look and discuss the awesome location for a wedding.   The noise, even from 100 metres away is such that the ceremony has to stop and wait for the intrusion to leave. I find this emotionally draining as in my role as Celebrant the couple and their guests look to me to "solve" the problem.   I have also had funeral services disrupted by low flying helicopters. On one occasion a helicopter was flying over the chapel so low that the building was shaking. I need not elaborate on what this did for the elderly widow who was already emotionally bereft.
203131	Wed, 01/12/2021	I am making a personal submission CRANEBCR	2749 New South No	I object to	
203126	Wed, 01/12/2021	I am making a personal submission Wentwort	2782 New South No	I object to	

Re-sending as original submission possibly not received/not acknowledged. | | I do not support the proposal for a commercial heliport in the Penrith Lakes District as it will have a significant impact on local residential communities, wildlife and is not in keeping with the surrounding environment. | | This development proposes a “heliport” rather than a “helipad” – it is a significant air transport facility not a small landing place as suggested by the use of the term “helipad”. A heliport implies infrequent or limited movements - this is a commercial enterprise with frequent, high volume movements and so to call it a helipad is totally misleading. | | The impact of the noise generated from what is a significant commercial development will be noisy and intrusive. There are several communities in the vicinity which will be impacted, including Yellow Rock, Cranebrook, Emu Plains, Emu Heights and the lower Blue Mountains residential areas of East Blaxland and Mt Riverview in particular. The acoustics report is highly limited in that it does not model noise impacts of flight paths (indeed the photos included in the proposal tend to conveniently focus on the immediate area rather than the local context), it does not model the cumulative impact of the noise of the operation of more than one helicopter, the effect of different atmospheric conditions including wind on the local area, and it does not consider or model the amphitheatre effect of noise on the escarpment. The latter is a major deficiency and oversight – high level noise reverberates on the unique topography of this area, and will amplify the noise impact. Local residents know this from the events held in the Penrith Lakes precinct which can be very clearly heard throughout the Nepean Valley. | | This is not a highly urban, industrial area. This is true particularly to the north and the west of the proposed development site, which is a largely peaceful, natural environment notably the Yellowmunde Regional Park and other local areas which are zoned for conservation. The flight paths will also have a major impact on the World Heritage Blue Mountains National Park, but this is not acknowledged in the proposal. There has been no attempt to undertake community consultation on this proposal in the Blue Mountains Council (BMCC) area as can be seen on page 62 of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) – given the proximity of this proposal to the BMCC this oversight is inexcusable. It is also quite concerning that the National Parks and Wildlife Service have not been listed here either, nor has the local Aboriginal been consulted as part of the broader proposal – which they should be as significant local land custodians – nor on the Aboriginal Object Due Diligence report on the significance of the subject area undertaken. It is insulting that these stakeholders have not been consulted. | | The proposal does not take into account the noise impact on the local wildlife in nearby bushland (separated only by the river), which will be disturbed. Very little is known about the broader impact of the development as the EIS is focused on the immediate surrounds of the development, rather than the areas that will undoubtedly be flown over. I draw attention to the report prepared by the Blue Mountains Conservation Society – The Impact of Helicopters on Blue Mountains Wildlife and other World Heritage Areas – which researched and highlighted the extensive impacts of helicopters on habitats. It is therefore quite shocking that wildlife impacts are completely overlooked in this proposal given the richness of the biodiversity of the local area. For instance, Emu Plains is home to an extremely important colony of endangered grey headed flying foxes, which can be seen just on dusk – within proposed flight times – flying up the Nepean Valley, and yet no mention is made of them in these reports? Nor is any mention made of the impact on the locally important wildlife corridors which are home to koalas, wedge tailed eagles, glossy black cockatoos and other threatened species that have been sighted within the vicinity of the Nepean River. | | The proponent aims support its case for the use of the site on page 16 on the grounds that the proponent undertakes water-bombing activities, and cites its holding of aerial firefighting contracts as a reason to ensure the hasty construction of this facility. This is not a persuasive argument particularly given the reason for relocating is due to land acquisition and for principally commercial operational purposes. If it was necessary to be located near a bushfire prone area this company would not have been awarded a firefighting contract in the first place. Moreover, the Blue Mountains is not the only bushfire prone area in Sydney, and there are well established mechanisms in that support and facilitate the mobility of aerial firefighting bases as and where they are needed. Aerial firefighting infrastructure does not need to be fixed. | | This is a substandard proposal that looks more like a heliport than a “helipad” and does not even consider let alone address major noise and environmental impacts on the local area – it is completely inappropriate to the proposed site. The development should instead co-locate at existing sites with established infrastructure such as Bankstown or Camden airports. |

203116 Wed, 01/12/2021 I am making a personal submission MOUNT RI 2774 New South No

I do not support the proposal for a commercial heliport in the Penrith Lakes District as it will have a significant impact on local residential communities, wildlife and is not in keeping with the surrounding environment. | | This development proposes a “heliport” rather than a “helipad” – it is a significant air transport facility not a small landing place as suggested by the use of the term “helipad”. | | The impact of the noise generated from what is a significant commercial development will be noisy and intrusive. There are several communities in the vicinity which will be impacted, including Yellow Rock, Cranebrook, Emu Plains, Emu Heights and the lower Blue Mountains residential areas of East Blaxland and Mt Riverview in particular. The acoustics report is highly limited in that it does not model noise impacts of flight paths (indeed the photos included in the proposal tend to conveniently focus on the immediate area rather than the local context), it does not model the cumulative impact of the noise of the operation of more than one helicopter, the effect of different atmospheric conditions including wind on the local area, and it does not consider or model the amphitheatre effect of noise on the escarpment. The latter is a major deficiency and oversight – high level noise reverberates on the unique topography of this area, and will amplify the noise impact. Local residents know this from the events held in the Penrith Lakes precinct which can be very clearly heard throughout the Nepean Valley. | | This is not a highly urban, industrial area. This is true particularly to the north and the west of the proposed development site, which is a largely peaceful, natural environment notably the Yellowmunde Regional Park and other local areas which are zoned for conservation. The flight paths will also have a major impact on the World Heritage Blue Mountains National Park, but this is not acknowledged in the proposal. There has been no attempt to undertake community consultation on this proposal in the Blue Mountains Council (BMCC) area as can be seen on page 62 of the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) – given the proximity of this proposal to the BMCC this oversight is inexcusable. It is also quite concerning that the National Parks and Wildlife Service have not been listed here either, nor has the local Aboriginal been consulted as part of the broader proposal – which they should be as significant local land custodians – nor on the Aboriginal Object Due Diligence report on the significance of the subject area undertaken. It is insulting that these stakeholders have not been consulted. | | The proposal does not take into account the noise impact on the local wildlife in nearby bushland (separated only by the river), which will be disturbed. Very little is known about the broader impact of the development as the EIS is focused on the immediate surrounds of the development, rather than the areas that will undoubtedly be flown over. I draw attention to the report prepared by the Blue Mountains Conservation Society – The Impact of Helicopters on Blue Mountains Wildlife and other World Heritage Areas – which researched and highlighted the extensive impacts of helicopters on habitats. It is therefore quite shocking that wildlife impacts are completely overlooked in this proposal given the richness of the biodiversity of the local area. For instance, Emu Plains is home to an extremely important colony of endangered grey headed flying foxes, which can be seen just on dusk – within proposed flight times – flying up the Nepean Valley, and yet no mention is made of them in these reports? Nor is any mention made of the impact on the locally important wildlife corridors which are home to koalas, wedge tailed eagles, glossy black cockatoos and other threatened species that have been sighted within the vicinity of the Nepean River. | | The proponent aims support its case for the use of the site on page 16 on the grounds that the proponent undertakes water-bombing activities, and cites its holding of aerial firefighting contracts as a reason to ensure the hasty construction of this facility. This is not a persuasive argument particularly given the reason for relocating is due to land acquisition and for principally commercial operational purposes. Moreover, the Blue Mountains is not the only bushfire prone area in Sydney, and there are well established mechanisms in that support and facilitate the mobility of aerial firefighting bases as and where they are needed. Aerial firefighting infrastructure does not need to be fixed. | | This is a substandard proposal that looks more like a heliport than a “helipad” and does not even consider let alone address major noise and environmental impacts on the local area – it is completely inappropriate to the proposed site. The development should

203106 Wed, 01/12/2021 I am making a personal submission MOUNT RI 2774 New South No

I object to instead co-locate at existing sites with established infrastructure such as Bankstown or Camden airports. | |

203091 Wed, 01/12/2021 I am making a personal submission CRANEBC 2749 New South No

I object to process. | | Thanks.

203081 Wed, 01/12/2021 I am making a personal submission Werrington 2747 New South No

I object to I wish the penrith Lakes to remain the same for the peace and serenity it offers currently and to add this helipad will disrupt that

203041 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I am just p landing and take off. | Just think running to 10pm 7 nights a week will cause angst

203031 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am submitting on behalf of my org Castlereag 2749 New South No

I support i Penrith, Western Sydney and the Blue Mountains.

203006 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to It will making too much noise, too much pollution.

202996 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am submitting on behalf of my org Brunswick 3057 Victoria No

I support i pilots plus support staff that the business has nurtured over many years as it has naturally expanded due to its unparalleled service.

202951 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to Noise from a constant flow of air traffic is not why I purchased a house in a quiet area. This will have a big impact on the quality of life for all residents in Waterside and surrounding areas.

202916 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission JORDAN SI 2747 New South No

I object to Submission attached.

202911 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to I don't think this is a good idea to have a heliport operating near the community. |

202896 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to This proposal threatens the beautiful natural environment around Cranebrook & will diversely affect the bird life & wildlife. We choose to live here because of the peaceful surroundings & the closeness of nature. Mountain View Reserve is very close to this proposed development, this was gifted to the people of Penrith as a protected area for unique plants, birds & other animals, what will this do them? 25 flights per day running between 5:30am & 10pm every single day is going to ruin this area & will drive away a lot of the bird life & wildlife around, not to mention the disruption it will cause to families with children, people who work shifts, and the general public who will have no peace to sleep. | What happened to the vision of a green and peaceful parkland

202891 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to at the Regatta Centre for bushwalking and birdwatching? What impact will this have on the environment and carbon emissions having all these helicopters in the air constantly day in and day out?

202886 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to We love our area and don't want it destroyed by noise of helicopters

202881 Tue, 30/11/2021 I am making a personal submission CRANEBC 2749 New South No

I object to The proposed helipad is within 2km of residential housing. The proposed east-west glide slope runs almost along Andrews Road which borders the Cranebrook residential area. The plan glide slop has provisions which allow it to be modified over the residential areas. The residential area of Waterside will be negatively impacted by aircraft noise and flight movements. The Federal Government and NSW State Government are spending \$5 billion dollars on a new airport approximately 20km away from the proposed helipad location. The helipad should be built in this area, which is already designated for aircraft.

To whom it may concer, | | I take objection for the proposed helipad operation. I thought that this Penrith lakes scheme was going to be getting back to nature and enjoying the scenery in peace and quite, adding value to the area. | I am concerned about noise levels impacting my area. I like the peace and quiet of living out here, not to mention the value of our properties being impacted because of more flights in the area. If this was purely for emergency services, I would not object, however I do object to commercial and private use. Why do they need to operate till 10pm? Dont we have enough noise pollution as it is, why are you trying to add to it. People have enough problem sleeping as it is. You have to consider amending to to at least a business hours 5pm cut off. Not approving this expansion would be even better. I am so sick and tired of business's and people with money coming in and doing what ever they like. | Please consider the impact this will have on public health and happiness for the residents in Cranebrook and sourrounding the penrith lakes area.

202871 Mon, 29/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
 202866 Mon, 29/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
 202856 Mon, 29/11/2021 I am making a personal submission 2749 2749 New South No

When we purchased our home in Cranebrook we did not buy near an airport/heliport. | It looked at the blue mountains, it was on the out skirts of Penrith, towards the Hawkesbury and surrounded by bushland and the river. | \*\*Penrith was gifted \$1 8 million from the government to assist, acknowledge, preserve and enhance Mountain View Reserve, along with designated bird hideouts. | Mountain View Reserve bushland and wetlands are home to some rare and unique plants, animals and birds. | There are many birds of the wetlands and having helicopters flying daily is going to threaten their current, peaceful habitat. | Having flights from 5am to 10pm directly opposite a rare and unique haven for our wildlife is unacceptable. | Is the government body that I object to gifted the \$1.8 million aware of councils intentions? | I have attached the Mountains View Reserve flyer so you can see what is at risk, it shows all of the rare, unique animals and birds, including an eagle, that are threatened by this. | Thank you.  
 I object to The noise from the helicopters is not needed in the area as we already have enough from the helicopters that fly over now | Also the roads are already congested and penrith infrastructure is not up to the task of handling any extra flow  
 I object to It will be noisier than stated - find somewhere else to put it

I would like to lodge a formal submission which is strongly against the DA to build a helipad/heliport at Penrith Lakes. | | We purchased land at Castlereagh around 20 years ago, so we could enjoy the peace and serenity of the area. A major factor in our decision was so we could be close to Penrith Lakes and all that it promised to offer. It was always our belief that the lakes area would be opened to the public and we would be allowed to use the open spaces and parklands for recreation. | | It has been the community expectation that Penrith Lakes will be a diverse open space parkland which includes waterway facilities around the lakes, with pedestrian and cycle pathways. A plan on display by the PLDC that I saw many years ago showed some lakes as having beach frontages, residential homes as well as small acreage, a Wet and Wild type theme park, nature areas and lakes, movie theatre and shops as well as numerous activities for people to enjoy. What happened to all of that? Why are we not getting what has been promised? | | The thought of potentially having helicopters flying nearby from first light to 10 00pm every day is just abhorrent. 25 flights a day means 50 movements a day. The peace and privacy of this beautiful area will be shattered. | | Every time a helicopter flies over our place, I can hear it loud and clear inside our double brick house and it is extremely annoying. Helicopter noise is very different to regular noise, the monotonous throbbing can be heard from very far away and I just cannot come to terms with the thought of that happening up to 50 times a day, every day, forever. | | What about the effects this decision will have on the Penrith International Regatta Centre? This is a local venue that is used by many. I walk there often and the thought of having a helicopter base on the edge of the rowing course is just plain crazy. The beauty of walking, picnicking or having a fun day out with the family at this venue is the peace, quiet and the amazing view. All of this beauty will be at risk from having a helipad located on its doorstep. The noise and pollution associated with this heliport should not be in a place that has been promised to the people. | | Residents of Cranebrook, Waterside and Penrith will be the main ones to be affected. The residents of Waterside pay a premium to live in that area, now they will be subjected to up to 50 helicopter flights a day and all that that entails. It's not just the noise, it's the vibrations too. Waterside is a pre-existing noise sensitive residential area. It has large noise barriers at its boundaries, and also internally, integral to the development. These appear to be designed to cut road and industrial noise emitted at ground level. These will be ineffective against helicopters as they fly much higher than the barriers. The barriers work by reflecting noise. This heliport could double the noise for the unlucky residents living on the wrong side. | | Why is this business not being located in closer proximity to the new airport? | | Just because the government have acquired the land that the Sydney Helicopters have operated out of until now, doesn't mean that this business should just be dumped at Penrith Lakes because they are on a tight time frame and the government wants to appease them because they took their land. | | The NSW Govt just recently closed community consultation on the amendments to the Penrith Lakes SEPP. One of those amendments was to rezone 11 hectares, the current PLDC offices, to permit a heliport. The old SEPP allows a helipad not a heliport. The Department of Planning hasn't yet approved the SEPP amendments to allow a heliport. So why is it now exhibiting a DA to build a helipad? | | It seems like Sydney Helicopters is not waiting around for the heliport rezoning so they are taking a shortcut to get their business quickly relocated at Penrith Lakes by lodging a DA for a helipad. | | There is a legal difference between a helipad and a heliport. A helipad restricts public access. A heliport allows public access. Any helicopter business flying the public around is obviously operating a heliport or operating out of one. This seems like it's a planning trick that is being used to fast track the approval. | | The fact that the SEPP amendments haven't yet been approved shows what a sham the planning process is. The DPIE have completely disregarded the people that sent in submissions opposing the heliport. | | How can you be assessing community submissions opposing a heliport whilst at the same time exhibiting a DA to build a helipad? It just doesn't make sense. | | If this heliport is already a 'done deal' as I suspect it is, then the following should happen: | | 1) The hours of operation need to be severely limited as flights from 5.30am to 10.00pm, 7 days a week are just way too intrusive. | 2) A competent day and night time noise study should be provided with full consideration given to the extremely disturbing nature of helicopter noise. | 3) A noise management plan needs to be provided clearly limiting the number of flights per day, the hours of operation, the mode of operation, the flight path instructions to the helicopter pilots, the monitoring and reporting process. | 4) There needs to be a reduction of the number of flights per day and/or reduced flights on weekends when residents are at home. | 5) All take offs and landings should be to the western side of the site. | 6) Flight path approach and departures corridors to the north, south and west only, over the industrial, river and forested areas, and nowhere near Waterside and greater Cranebrook. | 7) No helicopter to fly under 1500 ft within 1km radius of a residential area | 8) The operators and council to provide noise mitigation such as install acoustic windows and doors for residents along Castlereagh Road closest to the Helipad site. | | I appreciate you taking the time

202806 Mon, 29/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Castlereagh 2749 New South No  
 202796 Mon, 29/11/2021 I am submitting on behalf of my org Londonderry 2753 New South No  
 202766 Sun, 28/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Penrith 2750 New South No

I object to read my submission. If you require any further information from me, please let me know. | | On behalf of Nepean Rowing Club, I, Daniel Waddell, put forward our support for Sydney Helicopters, Penrith Lakes Helipad DA. | Sydney Helicopters provide services that will be of benefit to residents both recreationally and also in emergencies, with their extensive work with bushfires. | Sydney Helicopters have a history of supporting local sporting clubs including ourselves and this is a credit to them and exhibits their care for the Western Sydney community. | The proposed Helipad will provide a service that I support it is of benefit to the area but it also brings a company that supports the area. We support Sydney Helicopters and their application for this DA.  
 I strongly object to this proposal, | | As a home owner and shift worker living in a nearby quiet street for the last 21 years the last thing I need is 25 helicopter movements per day over me. | | This doc shows flight paths directly over my house, I object to file:///D:/Appendix%20C%20-%20Noise%20Impact%20Assessment\_V1.pdf | |

DA21/15298 | 100 Old Castlereagh Road Castlereagh 2749 | | Minister for Planning and Public Spaces | | I am a resident of the Blue Mountains and very concerned about the impact of the use of this heliport as a base for helicopters flying over the Blue Mountains World Heritage Area. | | I do not believe adequate assessments have been undertaken on: | •Biodiversity impact under flying zones | •Impact on Migratory Birds | •Impact on the values of Greater Blue Mountains World Heritage Area | •Impact on Climate Change | •Impact on lower Blue Mountains residents | | I also strongly object to the development application on the grounds of: | | 1.Potential for helicopter flights over the Greater Blue Mountains World Heritage Area (GBMWWHA). | A helipad at the base of the Blue Mountains will inevitably attract ideas for the flying over the GBMWWHA. Helicopter noise travels far along the Grose Valley and has well researched impacts on native fauna as well as people. No further noise should be added to this area on top of essential services and that created from the Richmond RAAF base. This should not be used as a base for commercial or tourist flights over the Blue Mountains, which are "non essential". | | 2.Climate Change | In keeping with the NSW government's commitments on climate change, we should be seriously reducing the burning of aviation fuel for activities which are non essential - such as tourism and some commercial activities. If some helicopter use is permitted for commercial activities, these should be severely restricted – both the number of flights and the hours of operation must be reduced by half. | | 3.Threat to birds | Extensive scientific research has been done on the disruption caused by helicopters on not only the migration of birds, but also their feeding and breeding. This will be a direct impact on birds around the Penrith Lakes area and also along any flight paths. Birds are also killed by collision. None of this research has been cited in the EIS | | 4.Inadequate assessments | As outlined above | | I request that the conditions of consent: | •prohibit the use of the heliport for tourist flights and joy flights | •restrict the number of joy flights per day to 10 unless being contracted by emergency services agencies |

202756 Sun, 28/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Katoomba 2780 New South No

I object to restrict the use of helipad to between 8am and 5pm unless being contracted by emergency services agencies | | | thanking you for considering this submission | Lyndal Sullivan | Attention: Deputy Secretary, Greater Sydney Place and Infrastructure | NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment | | I would like to voice my concerns in regards to the introduction of a helipad within my local area, given its significance and impact to the area and it's residents, I would have thought there would be a consultation prior to its introduction. | | My understanding is that the plan proposes a heliport with hangers to house ten helicopters, repairs, servicing and refueling, which appears to be a substantial operation with upwards of 25 inbound and outbound flights from 5.30am through until 10 00pm. You have to appreciate that this size and volume of operation will significantly impact the local area and it's residents, from noise pollution to increased local traffic, neither of which are welcomed by the residents. | | As the location of the helipad is within close proximity to the RAF base and the new airport, the flight path options are limited, with the greatest impact been felt by the local residents of Cranebrook for a substantial period of each day. | | There is a reason that noise cancelling PPE is issued and worn around helicopter operations and I don't feel that I should have to be issued the same within my own home or to enjoy my local area and it's surrounds. | | I object the plan for the Helipad Penrith Lakes which I am sure is a sentiment felt by the residents of Cranebrook and the local area. | | Regards | | Ronald

202736 Sat, 27/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
 202731 Sat, 27/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South Wales  
 202726 Sat, 27/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South Wales  
 202721 Sat, 27/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South Wales  
 202716 Sat, 27/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to I object to this due to the noise it will make around my area at all hours of the day from 5:30am  
 I object to I object to this due to the noise it will make around my area at all hours of the day from 5:30am  
 I object to I object to this due to the noise it will make around my area at all hours of the day from 5:30am  
 I object to I object to this due to the noise it will make around my area at all hours of the day from 5:30am

This document is not my own work, and I have adapted it from the concerns of other members of the community and added my own statements to this as it was well written and articulated my points more eloquently than I ever could. | | | I write in objection to the DA application (DA21/1529) for the Helipad in Penrith | Lakes. I am not opposed to the other parts of this DA, I am only opposed to the Heliport amendment and proposals as they stand today. I have NO objection to a helipad for use by emergency services or rescue helicopters. | | My family live at Waterside Estate, Cranebrook which is the nearest residential | estate to Penrith Lakes and the proposed helipad. My house backs onto Andrews Road industrial estate Cranebrook. The proposed Helipad site is only | 1km from my family house. Therefore, if this proposal is approved, we will be directly affected. We purchased our house to be away from any airport and flight paths. We wanted to live in a quiet suburban community to raise our family and not to be exposed to aircraft noise. Even though this DA has provided a noise assessment report, it lacks crucial information, highlighting its true impact for residents. In my view, this report is bias, inadequate, and misleading. | | It serves the developer and disadvantages the residents. | | • The report doesn't consider or mention the wind direction on the said day of testing, and how this affected the resultant noise levels. | • The report does not consider the local wind conditions, and factor this into the | noise levels estimated at the various locations. | • The report states "" Sound power levels and spectrums for the helicopters have been taken from data obtained by Acoustic Logic." No validation or comparison of this data has been provided and so puts in doubt the entire assessment process. Nor does the sound power data separate the overflight noise with the hovering noise. | • The report does not give any detail on the assumed time profile for the helicopter on arrival or departure. | • The measured noise levels in Table 4 give no detail on the noise levels from the different parts of the flight, ie approaching, departing, hovering. | • The report doesn't mention helicopter's altitude for the noise level measurement and its noise impact at various levels of altitude. | • The report doesn't mention who will monitor and regulate the altitude of the helicopters. | • No noise measurement levels were collected at any of the nearest residential homes or in their backyard e.g. the backyard of the houses on Kittiwake Place, Gannet Drive, Wader St, Pipet Way, Cranebrook (Waterside Estate). | • The report does not consider the impact intermittent noise on residents' health and wellbeing. It is widely reported in the scientific literature that intermittent noise is more harmful to individuals' physical and psychological health, than constant noise. Hence, selectively reporting Leq15 hides this fact, and neglects its impact. | • 25 flights per day from 5:30am to 10pm equals to 1 flight per 40 mins. This frequency of interment noise is unacceptable for any residential area with young children. Also children typically go to bed from 7pm to 7am. Any noise impact must not be tolerated for the health of children, both physically and psychologically. | • Sydney airport has a curfew between the hours of 0600 and 2300. Why are residents in Western Sydney treated as second class citizens and are expected to tolerate noise from 0530? | • Residents newly exposed to aircraft noise had their houses insulated as part of the Sydney Airport Noise Amelioration Program to reduce the adverse impact of aircraft noise. Again, Western Sydney residents are being treated like second class citizens as there is no mention of such a program for local residents in this DA application. | | I suggest: | | • The Helipad to move at least 1km west of the proposed site. | | • No helicopter to fly under 1500 ft within 1km radius of the residential area | | • Flying operations hours limited from 7am to 7pm, Mon-Fri only. No flying on | weekends/public holidays. – exception for emergency use of the heliport | | • A noise management plan be provided clearly limiting the number of flights | per day, the hours of operation, the mode of operation, the flight path | instructions to the helicopter pilots, the monitoring and reporting process. | | • Should the noise from the helicopter operations be shown to be in excess of acceptable noise levels, then the operators be required to provide mitigation such as install acoustic windows and doors for residents along Castlereagh Road, Andrews Road closest to the Helipad site.

202706 Sat, 27/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to Road, Andrews Road closest to the Helipad site.

202691	Fri, 26/11/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Leura	2790	New South No	I object to	Scenic flights into the World Heritage listed Blue Mountains will enrich private individuals at the expense of the tens of thousands of residents of the Blue Mountains. The compensation theoretically required to offset the noise costs of up to 25 flights per day is obviously far greater than the privatised benefits of such flights. For economic efficiency the flights must be banned. A cost benefit analysis would also need to add the costs of native flora and fauna disruption, which would likely also be great. As an economist, as well as a resident of the Blue Mountains, I strongly object to any helicopter tourism in the Blue Mountains.   Thank you.
202631	Fri, 26/11/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Springwoo	2777	New South No	I am just p	My concern and comment is that the nearby Blue Mountains National Park may be adversely effected by this project. Helicopter tourist or joy flights over the National Park should not be permitted due to the excessive noise that helicopters produce. Such activities would unacceptably degrade this World Heritage National Park.
202626	Fri, 26/11/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Jindera	2642	New South No	I object to	The development of a Helipad within the Penrith Lakes scheme could spell disaster for the Blue Mountains' World Heritage National Parks. The Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is focused on the immediate surrounds of the development, rather than the areas being flown over. There needs to be wider consideration of the residents of the Blue Mountains
202621	Fri, 26/11/2021	- I am making a personal submission	Wentwort	2782	New South No	I object to	The Blue Mountains World Heritage must be protected.   A helipad in the Penrith will attract tourists wanting to whizz over the wilderness area just for a hands off look. This will disturb wildlife, as well as the tranquility the wilderness is known for.     Please do not let this crazy, greedy environmental vandalism go ahead.
202606	Fri, 26/11/2021	- I am making a personal submission	WINMALE	2777	New South No	I object to	I object to this development as it will clearly impact on the amenity of Blue Mountains residents with joy flights operating as early as 5:30am and as late as 10pm. The proposed operating times should be reconsidered.     With the development of the Western Sydney Airport the last thing we need is more air traffic and noise pollution.
202586	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Blackheat	2785	New South No	I am just p	There is insufficient information re flight paths to make an appropriate comment or objection. My objection would be to any flight path that impacted the world heritage blue mountain region
202581	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Leura	2780	New South No	I object to	My concerns:   - Potential flights over the Blue Mountains World Heritage area.   - Flights from first light to 10pm.     - Impacting residents and businesses in the Blue Mountains World Heritage area for the benefit of one business is not appropriate.   - UNESCO world heritage status may be impacted.   - It is not reasonable that residents and businesses would have their lives, homes and potentially their ability to run businesses severely impacted.

202571	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	CRANEBCR	2749	New South No	I object to	I write in objection to the DA application (DA21/1529) for the Helipad in Penrith Lakes.     My family live at Waterside Estate, Cranebrook which is the nearest residential estate to Penrith Lakes and the proposed helipad. My house is on Kittiwake Place Cranebrook and backs onto Castlereagh Road. The proposed Helipad site is only 1km from my family house (see below map). Therefore, if this proposal is approved, we will be directly affected. We purchased our house 13 years ago to be away from any airport and flight paths. We wanted to live in a quiet suburban community to raise our family and not to be exposed to aircraft noise. Even though this DA has provided a noise assessment report, it lacks crucial information, highlighting its true impact for residents. In my view, this report is bias, inadequate, and misleading. It serves the developer and disadvantages the residents.     •The report doesn't consider or mention the wind direction on the said day of testing, and how this affected the resultant noise levels.   •The report does not consider the local wind conditions, and factor this into the noise levels estimated at the various locations.   •The report states " Sound power levels and spectrums for the helicopters have been taken from data obtained by Acoustic Logic." No validation or comparison of this data has been provided and so puts in doubt the entire assessment process. Nor does the sound power data separate the overflight noise with the hovering noise.   •The report does not give any detail on the assumed time profile for the helicopter on arrival or departure.   •The measured noise levels in Table 4 give no detail on the noise levels from the different parts of the flight, ie approaching, departing, hovering.   •The report doesn't mention helicopter's altitude for the noise level measurement and its noise impact at various levels of altitude.   •The report doesn't mention who will monitor and regulate the altitude of the helicopters.   •No noise measurement levels were collected at any of the nearest residential homes or in their backyard e.g. the backyard of the houses on Kittiwake Place, Cranebrook (Waterside Estate).   •The report does not consider the impact intermittent noise on residents' health and wellbeing. It is widely reported in the scientific literature that intermittent noise is more harmfully to individuals' physical and psychological health, than constant noise. Hence, selectively reporting Leq15 hides this fact, and neglects its impact.   •25 flights per day from 5:30am to 10pm equals to 1 flight per 40 mins. This frequency of interment noise is unacceptable for any residential area with young children. Also children typically go to bed from 7pm to 7am. Any noise impact must not be tolerated for the health of children, both physically and psychologically.   •Sydney airport has a curfew between the hours of 0600 and 2300. Why are residents in Western Sydney treated as second class citizens and are expected to tolerate noise from 0530?   •Residents newly exposed to aircraft noise had their houses insulated as part of the Sydney Airport Noise Amelioration Program to reduce the adverse impact of aircraft noise. Again, Western Sydney residents are being treated like second class citizens as there is no mention of such a program for local residents in this DA application.     I suggest:   •The Helipad to move at least 1km west of the proposed site.   •No helicopter to fly under 1500 ft within 1km radius of the residential area   •Flying operations hours limited from 7am to 7pm, Mon-Fri only. No flying on weekends/public holidays. — exception for emergency use of the heliport   •A noise management plan be provided clearly limiting the number of flights per day, the hours of operation, the mode of operation, the flight path instructions to the helicopter pilots, the monitoring and reporting process.   •Should the noise from the helicopter operations be shown to be in excess of acceptable noise levels, then the operators be required to provide mitigation such as install acoustic windows and doors
202566	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am submitting on behalf of my org	Liverpool	1871	New South No	I am just p	Submission attached.
202556	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Lawson	2783	New South No	I object to	This is likely to be used for joy flights over the Blue Mountains. I fear there will be intrusive noise impacts on the invaluable peace of the wilderness. There will be negative impacts on migratory birds and other wildlife.   There has so far been no assessment of environmental impacts on the Blue Mountains and no consultation with residents and other interested parties.   For me the value of our peaceful environment is immense and far outweighs any financial gain for joy flights.
202541	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I object to	I have already made a submission, but I would like to report that it was very very hard to find the place in the portal to have an objection. And I have heard that many were unable make an objection due to this. Why is made so hard to do this.

202536	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I object to	Dear Sirs     Re: Heliport Development Penrith DA 21/15298     We wish to object to the above proposed application on the following:-   1. We note that the Acoustic Logic report-grid line map shows the flight path will be 300m from our home and at an altitude of 330m (1000ft)that is unacceptable.   2. We also note that your application states that there will be 25 flights a day between 5:30am and 10:00pm. The Acoustic Logic assessment states that the flights should not start until after 7:00am   3. We also note that. There will be 25 flights a day, which could possible means 25 flights out and 25 flights returning to base. That could mean 50 movements along the flightpath. This will mean IF they spread them out evenly from 7:00am there could be approximately one movement every 20 min until 10am. But even if it was only 25 movements it is far from acceptable   4. We also note that according to the acoustic logs, that every 20min (or 40min if only 25 movements) the noise level for us could be 65-70 dB at the flight path and 52dB directly above and around us.   5. We would like to point out that approximately 10 years ago when we bought this land we were delayed building on the block for nearly 12 mths because the council wanted a 10m by 850m long acoustic wall built along the southern end of the estate to stop the noise from the industrial area. This cost was built into our land purchase, WAS THIS ALL IN VAIN?     We would like to stress that we are retired people in our mid seventies like many others in this small estate who are home most of the day and enjoy working in our gardens etc, and this noise level is going to severely affect our lifestyle and we do not deserve this at our time in life.   Regards
202526	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	CRANEBCR	2749	New South No	I object to	I write in objection to the DA application (DA21/15298) for the Helipad in Penrith Lakes.     My family live at Waterside Estate, Cranebrook which is the nearest residential estate to Penrith Lakes and the proposed helipad. My house is on Kittiwake Place Cranebrook and backs onto Castlereagh Road. The proposed Helipad site is only 1km from my family house (see attached). Therefore, if this proposal is approved, we will be directly affected. We purchased our house 13 years ago to be away from any airport and flight paths. We wanted to live in a quiet suburban community to raise our family and not to be exposed to aircraft noise. Even though this DA has provided a noise assessment report, it lacks crucial information, highlighting its true impact for residents. In my view, this report is bias, inadequate, and misleading. It serves the developer and disadvantages the residents. •The report doesn't consider or mention the wind direction on the said day of testing, and how this affected the resultant noise levels.   •The report does not consider the local wind conditions, and factor this into the noise levels estimated at the various locations.   •The report states " Sound power levels and spectrums for the helicopters have been taken from data obtained by Acoustic Logic." No validation or comparison of this data has been provided and so puts in doubt the entire assessment process. Nor does the sound power data separate the overflight noise with the hovering noise.   •The report does not give any detail on the assumed time profile for the helicopter on arrival or departure.   •The measured noise levels in Table 4 give no detail on the noise levels from the different parts of the flight, ie approaching, departing, hovering.   •The report doesn't mention helicopter's altitude for the noise level measurement and its noise impact at various levels of altitude.   •The report doesn't mention who will monitor and regulate the altitude of the helicopters.   •No noise measurement levels were collected at any of the nearest residential homes or in their backyard e.g. the backyard of the houses on Kittiwake Place, Cranebrook (Waterside Estate).   •The report does not consider the impact intermittent noise on residents' health and wellbeing. It is widely reported in the scientific literature that intermittent noise is more harmfully to individuals' physical and psychological health, than constant noise. Hence, selectively reporting Leq15 hides this fact, and neglects its impact.   •25 flights per day from 5:30am to 10pm equals to 1 flight per 40 mins. This frequency of interment noise is unacceptable for any residential area with young children. Also children typically go to bed from 7pm to 7am. Any noise impact must not be tolerated for the health of children, both physically and psychologically.   •Sydney airport has a curfew between the hours of 0600 and 2300. Why are residents in Western Sydney treated as second class citizens and are expected to tolerate noise from 0530?   •Residents newly exposed to aircraft noise had their houses insulated as part of the Sydney Airport Noise Amelioration Program to reduce the adverse impact of aircraft noise. Again, Western Sydney residents are being treated like second class citizens as there is no mention of such a program for local residents in this DA application.     I suggest:   •The Helipad to move at least 1km west of the proposed site.   •No helicopter to fly under 1500 ft within 1km radius of the residential area   •Flying operations hours limited from 7am to 7pm, Mon-Fri only. No flying on weekends/public holidays. — exception for emergency use of the heliport   •A noise management plan be provided clearly limiting the number of flights per day, the hours of operation, the mode of operation, the flight path instructions to the helicopter pilots, the monitoring and reporting process.   •Should the noise from the helicopter operations be shown to be in excess of acceptable noise levels, then the operators be required to provide mitigation such as install acoustic windows and doors
202506	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am submitting on behalf of my org	Penrith	2750	New South No	I support i	As per attached letter of support

202491	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am submittir	WaterNSW Catchmen Warragam	2752	New South No	I support i	Sydney Helicopter for a number of years has been providing Helicopters Services to WaterNSW. Services include remote Helicopter location Water Quality monitoring flights within Catchment Special Areas and provision of helicopter lifting services of equipment to remote locations where vehicle access is not available.   Sydney Helicopters is an Inter-agency contracted Company for the provision of aerial firefighting services to agencies including WaterNSW through NSW Rural Fire Service contracts. The provision and location of base equipment within close proximity to Catchment Special Areas can only be beneficial to assist the initial attack of wildfire ignitions within Catchment Special Areas that hold the Sydney Drinking Water supply.
202486	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I object to	Living in this region I do not believe that this proposal should be allow to proceed. The are is close to the Blue Mountains and currently enjoys the serenity of semi rural live.     The frequency and usable timing is totally untenable.     We have occasionally have low flying RAAF air craft already impacting this area including helicopters.     There will be adequate locations available on the southern side of Penrith without effecting the well established residential area around this proposal.     I oppose this proposal strongly as this site is total unsuitable for this development.
202476	Thu, 25/11/2021	I am submittir	NSW Rura Commissic Sydney Oh	2127	New South No	I support i	As attached.
202431	Wed, 24/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I object to	I am fully opposed to this helipad being proposed at the lakes. This is right close to where I live. I do NOT want to be woken at 5.30am by helicopter's coming and going or late at night. They are extremely loud. We had the police chopper every day during covid lockdown and that was bad enough let alone 25 choppers per day. We don't want it.
202331	Tue, 23/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I support i	Excellent facility to assist in fire fighting, emergency rescues in the Nepean/ Blue Mountains.   A wonderful opportunity for tourism in our region.   Looking forward to this facility being granted approval.
202326	Tue, 23/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I object to	I live in the waterside estate just meters away from Penrith Lakes. It's outrageous to think one can allow such a proposal to even be debated. It's in an area which is not only residential on one side but has beautifully developed into a ecological haven for flora and fauna after the end of quarry work few years ago. When will we ever stop our lust for prosperity and wealth and let Mother Earth recover for a bit even if it's as small an area as Penrith Lakes? We have to STOP leading us into an abyss of irreversible global destruction of ecology. I beg you to let Penrith Lakes recover and thrive into its former glory before the quarry businesses devoured it.



I am a resident of Winmalee in the lower Blue Mountains; my house lies under a flight path of helicopters from Bankstown and the RAAF base at Richmond. I am very familiar with the lands surrounding the Penrith Lakes. I do not consider that adequate assessments and consultations have been carried out. There has, for example, been: | | •No Community Consultation with residents of the Blue Mountains | •No consultation with the National Parks and Wildlife Service NSW (NPWS) | •No Biodiversity impact assessment for flying zones | •No assessment of Migratory bird impact | •No evidence of consultation with traditional Aboriginal owners of the land (the Darug) | •No assessment of climate change impacts | | I personally object to the Helipad because: | | 1.Twenty-five daily flights will markedly increase the noise in our neighbourhood and directly over our house. We understand the need for long operation hours for fire/emergency craft but object to such hours for scenic trips. There is further no information on scenic flight paths. | | 2.Substantial noise will impact on surrounding properties and activities that need quiet for their operations. The sound will travel far over car and caravan sales areas, recreational users on the Lakes, and the proposed film production precinct, golf course and tourist accommodation. A helipad will surely be an incompatible use of this site. | | 3.There will be a complete loss of tranquillity out on the lakes. | | 4.There will be a direct threat to the birds, some endangered, of the Penrith Lakes. Noise and high air vortices generated by helicopters disrupt feeding, breeding and migration. Even worse will be death from direct impacts with helicopters – large birds that regularly circle the Lakes district including Pelicans, Sea Eagles and Wedge-tailed Eagles will be particularly affected. A helipad is completely incompatible with wildlife conservation on the Lakes. | | 5.There has been inadequate assessment of water pollution issue both directly and indirectly from base and helicopter activities. Quality water maintenance is essential for the viability of the Lakes and the biodiversity they support. | | 6.I don't consider that the assessment of impacts on Aboriginal heritage have been adequately or even competently carried out. An archaeological dig at the Castlereagh end of the terrace a number of years ago clearly indicated that Aboriginal artefacts were few on the surface but abundant a metre or more below the level of flood silts. The assessment for this application was a desktop one that missed the results of this study. There has been no digging or, it seems, consultation with Darug (not Deerubbin) people who are the traditional owners of this country. | | 7.Weather conditions of the western Cumberland Plain where it abuts the Blue Mountains escarpment frequently present dangers to helicopter flights. Issues include: | | •Turbulent air conditions at the plains/escarpment interface especially when strong southerly, westerly, or north-westerly winds coming over the Mountains sweep over the Penrith/Castlereagh area. Wind gusts of over 100 km/hr are common here. Severe turbulence generated can tip over helicopters in flight. | | •Extremely hot summer temperatures approaching 50oC are increasingly common at this site. Thermal turbulence will impact on the helipad operation | | •In winter cold air drainage brings thick fog (and smog) to the area impacting on visibility and on health. The associated temperature inversion traps in air pollutants and air quality is often very poor in the immediate area of the proposal. An industry that may add further pollutants is totally undesirable. | | 8.Should any of the issues raised in point 7 above result in a helicopter crash into the escarpment or into the grassed areas of the Lakes, there is a real possibility under the prevailing conditions of a rapidly spreading fire through bushland and across the lake surrounds, threatening properties in both the Blue Mountains and on the surrounding suburbs including north Penrith, Cranebrook, I object to Castlereagh and Londonderry. | | Thank you for your consideration | | M.J. Baker

202321 Tue, 23/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Winmalee 2777 New South No

I'm a resident of the Waterside residential area which is located approx 1.5 km from the proposed helipad operation. Despite the "acceptable" figures shown on the noise survey for this development our personal experience is that the noise from the small number of flights currently operating from this site is quite noticeable and loud. Despite the proposed flight path being over the adjacent industrial area these aircraft have also operated closer to our estate and sound like they are going overhead. At the current one or two flights a day this is tolerably infrequent. At the expected frequency of up to 25 flights a day and 5 night flights I believe that this level of noise would be disruptive and affect the quality of life of all the people living in this residential area. | I believe that this location is too close to residential areas and is therefore unsuitable for the proposed development. A site further west on the Penrith Lakes development, closer to the river might be more suitable. | | Thanks, I would like to have the Helipad moved a greater distance to the west or north/west and away from all the larger residential areas. Surely with all the land available within the Lakes Development, there is a better location for it. This would lessen the noise I object to impact to the residents of Cranebrook and Waterside in particular. As local business operator located next door at the Sydney International Regatta Centre and as a local resident of the area for over 40 years I believe this to be a brilliant proposal. | | The benefits to the region and the additional exposure will totally benefit I support it Penrith.

202281 Tue, 23/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

202246 Tue, 23/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

202226 Tue, 23/11/2021 I am submitting on behalf of my org penrith 2750 New South No

Attention: Deputy Secretary, Greater Sydney Place and Infrastructure | NSW Department of Planning, Industry and Environment | Locked Bag 5022, Parramatta 2124 | | I have concerns over the Significant Noise Pollution. A heliport, of the size and usage proposed, will generate a significant amount of noise pollution on the surrounding residential area. A pollutant of this scale was never proposed to the residents of the area or included in any of the numerous previous consultations conducted on the area. The committee is aware of a proposed site plan showing pads and hangers for over ten helicopters along with ancillary services such as repairs, cleaning, servicing, and refueling. This plan also shows the main approach and departure path being due east over the homes less than 1.5 km away. Given the proximity of the mountain range to the west and the proximity to the estate is does not appear as if any noise restriction guidelines could ever be complied with. | | If correct and up to 25 take off and landings per day or more starting at 5.30am to 10pm a low flight path near my house. The flight path if correct will sit directly between the proposed site and any flightpath to the city. Flights from the proposed location will be unable to travel north due to the restricted airspace around the RAF base at Richmond and unable to travel south due to restrictions proposed by the new airport. | | The helipad will also see an increase in Traffic through the area and there seems to be no future work for a traffic control system to abate the Traffic Pollution, this site is not adjacent to any part of the Sydney motorway. | | When I purchased this property there was no mention of a helipad to be built in the future it is a nice quiet area and the helicopter flights will make it unbearable with the noise and vibration to the area. This area of Cranebrook will be the most impacted by the Helipad. | | There is a reason that when near helicopters everyone wears hearing protection, I am worried I will have to sit in my house and wear hearing protection as the noise from the helicopters and increase in traffic will cancel out any conversation we are having or will upset the health of my wife and myself as it may with all the other residents of the area. | | I do not support the helipad being constructed in the Penrith Lakes area, at present a helicopter is flying over now 10.38am the 23 Nov 2021 and you know it has just flown over. There is another copter or the same one at 10.42am again at 10.45 still at 10.48am dont know if police, army or I object to from the helipad due to the cloud cover can not see them but you know they are there due to the rotors thumping the air. | | Living right across from the lakes in the Waterside estate I do not want constant air traffic flying over our estate from helicopters. On top of that the start and end times for the operation of this airport are unreasonable when positioned right near residential areas. We purchased here because there was nothing of that nature right near our home and it is a highly sought after location due to its positioning as well as how nice and quiet it is. | | The construction of a Helicopter airport would have a significant I object to impact on our estate and I submit that it should not go ahead.

202216 Tue, 23/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

202181 Tue, 23/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

The Proposed Development is a wonderful opportunity to secure in Penrith a part of the vital air support network that has so ably assisted our local and broader communities during critical times of fire and flood. This ideal location chosen at the foot of the Blue Mountains and alongside the Nepean River will only enhance this company's ability to respond to these time critical life and property saving emergency events. | The tourism benefits of housing a major operator here in Penrith allows a far wider circle of our State to access and support the many wonderful attractions our community works so hard to promote. The proposed Helipad also opens up the opportunity to access a further selection of tourist and transport options, providing Penrith with a viable connection to the rural communities to the North, South and West as well as a realistic alternative as a fast dependable access to Sydney. These advantages will only become more vital with the Western Sydney Airport coming on line. | The Proposed Development's plans suggest it has also managed to secure a piece of land that enables the business to operate through repurposing all of the major buildings on site whilst also retaining the larger open spaces this particular area of Penrith has long promised. The Proposed development also adheres to the precinct requirement of providing true tourism benefits to the whole community. | The proposed Helipad will not only serve Penrith and the greater area in times of great peril but will also enhance I support it access to all of our existing attractions whilst providing a tourist attraction of its own. | I object to I object to the hours of operation. And also the number of flights.

202176 Tue, 23/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Penrith 2750 New South No

202161 Mon, 22/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

Very little is known about the broader impact of the development as the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) is focused on the immediate surrounds of the development, rather than the areas being flown over. | | Helicopter joy flights of up to 25 flights per day; | | Noise impact on residents will be significant; | | No consultation with Blue Mountains residents; | | Flights from first light until 10pm - that is 17 hours per day; | | Unpublished flights paths; | | Part of tourism development; | | This will potentially have a devastating impact on the peace and tranquility of the Mountains. | | Main concern: | | Helicopters flying over the Blue Mountains World Heritage Area Grose, Jamison and Kedumba Valleys at risk, as well as Kanangra-Boyd and Wollemi.; | | No Community Consultation with residents of the Blue Mountains; | | National Parks and Wildlife Service NSW (NPWS) has not been consulted; | | Biodiversity impact has not been assessed for flying zones; | | Migratory bird impact has not been I object to assessed for flying zones - we have the annual honeyeater migration flight path over the mountains twice yearly. | The Blue Mountains are a special place. Where people try to live quieter, greener lives than do most. We treasure the natural beauty and peace and quiet the surrounding Blue Mountains National Park provides. Anything that will bring noise to the area is I object to not acceptable. There must not be helicopters buzzing over our heads destroying the life style that Blue Mountains residents have fought so hard to protect.

202061 Mon, 22/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Blaxland 2774 New South No

202006 Mon, 22/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Winmalee 2777 New South No

201976 Mon, 22/11/2021 I am submitting on behalf of my org Erskine Pa 2759 New South No

I believe that this development will attract people/Tourists to Western Sydney which in turn flows on to local investment for our business community. | I believe that it is a wonderful site/location that is easily accessed. | the additional services that are I support it provided like fire fighting assistance is all too often needed in the Penrith/Western Sydney LGA's so again will be a wonderful service to be at call close by. | I object to the construction of a commercial helipad with the estimated Movement of 25 aircraft vehicle movements per day between the hours of 5:30am and 10:00pm so close to residential properties. Added the environmental impact of fuel storage, I object to traffic congestion and noise pollution to the beautiful Penrith lakes area. | | I support it Will be good for the economy

201931 Sun, 21/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

201916 Sun, 21/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I disagree with the proposal due to the noise factor in surrounding suburbs. Although the helicopter takeoff noise will not effect residential areas, the subsequent flight path will, and in an area with high air traffic already this is extremely inconvenient. I object to For the frequency of flights the proposed heliport is situated too close to the Waterside estate. Regular flights will have significant impacts on people living in the estate. | | More consultation with the Waterside community is required. I object to As a resident of Mt Riverview that sits just west of the proposed heliport. I am against having 25 helicopters flying over my home daily.

201911 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

201906 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

201901 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Mount Riv 2774 New South No

I completely support this application in the hopes it attracts future business to the Penrith region and helps support other local businesses | | Sydney Helicopters have been avid supporters of local sporting groups and this venture can only be a positive way to inject tourism into our wonderful city. | | With the Wester Sydney Airport on its way, and the area attracting other major businesses, I believe there is an essential need for this service, both as an experiential business catering to events and tourists, and I support it the ability to locate rapid transport for emergencies, such as bushfires and medical services, in the area to support our growing city.

201896 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission GLENMOR 2745 New South No

201891 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cambridge 2747 New South No

If it was just going to be a helipad for the careflight helicopter, there would be no issue, in fact, I'd support it. But, this is going to be a free-for-all, including joy flights. The West cops enough crap and now we have to deal with helicopters from the crack of I object to dawn to well into the evening? On top of the airport? No, enough is enough.

201886 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I completely object to the "Helipad Penrith Lakes" development in the location described in the DA. Definitely to close to housing as well as no way for flight paths not to interfere with the surrounding housing of the district. Given the growth of family homes in the whole area, I see no reason that if such a Helipad Facility was required, that it could not be moved further north where there is plenty of land. Or even better if it was moved all the way along towards Agnes banks where there is lots of open I object to space and far fewer houses would be affected. | It is hard to fathom why something like this has to be so close to housing developments.

201881 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

201871 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

This will disrupt the tranquility of the Waterside estate and surrounding homes and create too much noise and commotion that will effect the people, their family pets and the local wildlife that reside in the wetlands components of this estate, not to I object to mention the adjoining areas. | The helicopters will also effect the wildlife in Wianamatta and Castlereagh Nature Reserves and the surrounding farms in Llandilo, Castlereagh, Shanes Park and Londonderry. I object to I suffer health issues and the added noise at the hours statd will impact myhealth and well being, te extra noise will be intolerable, my property will be devalued | NO HELIPAD. Put it in one of the pollitans back yard.

201866 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Penrth 2749 New South No

201846 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I do not want to have the noise and disruption of helicopters, my wife has medical issues , and has trouble sleeping and helicopters will impact on her health and also my health and devalue my propert. I will join protest groups to block construction. I object to I object to the helipad and the nuisance noise it is likely to create

201836 Sat, 20/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	<p>The proposed location of the flight path and concrete pad come within proximity of residential buildings, and given the "low flying" aspect of these trips it has possible safety implications for said residents.   Will the proprietors or share holders of this company plan to offer every resident and their property an adequate level of insurance in the event of a fault or emergency/crash landing?   Will there be sound deflection put in place so that the closest residents won't be exposed to high levels or sound during the time any aircraft are on the ground?   Will there be any employment for local residents to be made available for the operation and/or maintenance of facilities and aircraft?   This is an ill proposed plan to bring tourism to the area.</p> <p>I absolutely stand against this development, as it will negatively impact the peace and environmental appeal of our estate, where my children and I live. We do not want to be hearing multiple excessively noisy helicopters take off multiple time a day, especially early morning and late evening when trying to sleep or relax/rest. The sound will carry easily and disturb the serenity. Not to mention the pollution risk of low flying aircraft over our waterways and properties.   This plan is being masked as a single helipad but will be more like a heliport with multiple aircraft taking off and landing all through the day, at least twice an hour. The impact on our property value and the quiet area we bought into years ago should not be compromised by something that can be put elsewhere.</p> <p>I live within the local area and object to the application as it would create a disturbance and noise level. Will cause more traffic and was not what the area was planned for</p> <p>The Blue Mountains is a beautiful area and would look great from the air. I am an aviation enthusiast and love low flying aircraft. Build as many helipads as you need.</p> <p>I think it's a great idea</p> <p>Please refrain from putting a helicopter pad in   Cranebrook and the Penrith area does NOT need this attraction     The only helicopters in this area should be police or rescue/paramedics</p> <p>Concerned with the noise of helicopters in the area. At an event at the Regatta Centre in 2020 (Long Lunch, from memory)there were helicopter joy flights and there was constant noise throughout the day. This is the same location as the proposal. My home is in Waterside estate and not proofed for such sound.</p> <p>I object to the helipad as it will have noise and environmental impacts on the local area not to mention scare off a lot of our local bird and wildlife that we have finally built back up.</p> <p>I have a young family and I am in constant teams meeting working from home. This noise from helicopters will negatively impact my professional and personal life.</p> <p>I object to the building of this commercially used helipad, we are an area with a high number of shift workers. This happening for the most part of the day and evening will be noise affecting those who are needing respite to continue with their job.   This is also a densely populated area and in no way would it be deemed safe to have that many flights per day overhead.</p> <p>This space should be developed as a passive community space. The foothills of the Blue Mountains is no place for a helipad, warehouses or house of developments.     There is already enough air traffic with the RAAF base and constant visits from PoAir.</p> <p>Not to mention the pollution this would cause to the local national parks.</p> <p>This will be extremely disruptive to the local area. It may also interfere with police helicopters (who are in the area extremely frequently).</p> <p>I am worried that 25 flights is alot.</p> <p>I support it I support this submission.   It will assist in providing growth, jobs and attract new people to the Penrith region</p> <p>Sound Polution and disturbance to lives of local residents.</p> <p>Impacts peace and live ability of local residents. Sound Polution.</p> <p>Waterside estate is very close and this development will   Impact the lives of local people. I oppose it.</p> <p>Too close to homes, noise pollution, very poor hours far too long to be running 'fun' flights 5.30am - 10pm</p>
201826 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201821 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201816 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	3337 New South No	
201811 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	2747	2747 New South No	
201801 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201796 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201791 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201786 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2141 New South No	
201781 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201776 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201771 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Penrith	2750 New South No	
201766 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2748 New South No	
201761 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201751 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201746 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201736 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	2749	2749 New South No	
201721 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201716 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	<p>I strongly object to the helipad/heliport proposal for Penrith Lakes.   Being a nearby resident in Waterside i do not want to hear up to 25 flights per day between the hours of 5.30am -10.00pm, that equates to 50take off/landings per day.   Currently Waterside/Cranebrook/Castlereagh has a vast variety of both birdlife and wildlife who reside in the surrounding bushlands and wetlands areas. The local wildlife will disappear and be displaced, taking with them a large part of the attraction and serenity of this area.   The whole Lake Scheme originally was proposed as a leisure and recreational area for the greater of the community.   With parks, bbq areas, childrens play areas, walks alongside lakes, non motorised boating and swimming, picnic areas with shelters.   For the greater of the community, for the greening of Penrith!!   We are in a time when our local governments should be concentrating on greening and cooling of Penrith that currently holds the record of some of the hottest temperatures on earth.   Being positioned at the base of the mountains where heat is trapped, your proposed helipad/heliport with it's up to 50 movements per day is certainly going to be further detrimental to the temperature of our environment.   With waterways and the great Nepean River close by myself, my family and local residents have a real concern of the adverse impact of particulates polluting the water and airborne pollution being a detrimental concern to our health.   We do not want to be breathing in the exuberant co2 emissions that helicopters produce, nor do we want to increase tenfold the aerosol emissions compared to cars.   "The greater of the community" will not benefit from the helipad/heliport, rather a smaller select group who can afford commercial chartered flight or scenic rides will be the only ones who benefit.   I can assure you, that select group will not be from our community! Yet it will be us that is impacted the most in a very negative way.   During the construction we will have to endure more road traffic, noise of construction equipment, never ending dust.   You're proposal says our community will benefit as it will increase employment during construction, yet nothing is stated that these jobs will be for local/surrounding community people. You're proposal says after construction 40 full time jobs will be gained, but you fail to state if these positions within a specific field of employment would be filled by local/surrounding community.   Fourty 40 jobs is hardly a winning outcome for the sacrifice of peace, tranquility, pollution, congested travel on roads, increase ambient air temperature, the loss of much loved flora and fauna.   It's a big NO from myself, my family and friends and "the greater of the community"</p> <p>I strongly object to the helipad/heliport proposal for Penrith Lakes.   Being a nearby resident in Waterside i do not want to hear up to 25 flights per day between the hours of 5.30am -10.00pm, that equates to 50take off/landings per day.   Currently Waterside/Cranebrook/Castlereagh has a vast variety of both birdlife and wildlife who reside in the surrounding bushlands and wetlands areas. The local wildlife will disappear and be displaced, taking with them a large part of the attraction and serenity of this area.   The whole Lake Scheme originally was proposed as a leisure and recreational area for the greater of the community.   With parks, bbq areas, childrens play areas, walks alongside lakes, non motorised boating and swimming, picnic areas with shelters.   For the greater of the community, for the greening of Penrith!!   We are in a time when our local governments should be concentrating on greening and cooling of Penrith that currently holds the record of some of the hottest temperatures on earth.   Being positioned at the base of the mountains where heat is trapped, your proposed helipad/heliport with it's up to 50 movements per day is certainly going to be further detrimental to the temperature of our environment.   With waterways and the great Nepean River close by myself, my family and local residents have a real concern of the adverse impact of particulates polluting the water and airborne pollution being a detrimental concern to our health.   We do not want to be breathing in the exuberant co2 emissions that helicopters produce, nor do we want to increase tenfold the aerosol emissions compared to cars.   "The greater of the community" will not benefit from the helipad/heliport, rather a smaller select group who can afford commercial chartered flight or scenic rides will be the only ones who benefit.   I can assure you, that select group will not be from our community! Yet it will be us that is impacted the most in a very negative way.   During the construction we will have to endure more road traffic, noise of construction equipment, never ending dust.   You're proposal says our community will benefit as it will increase employment during construction, yet nothing is stated that these jobs will be for local/surrounding community people. You're proposal says after construction 40 full time jobs will be gained, but you fail to state if these positions within a specific field of employment would be filled by local/surrounding community.   Fourty 40 jobs is hardly a winning outcome for the sacrifice of peace, tranquility, pollution, congested travel on roads, increase ambient air temperature, the loss of much loved flora and fauna.   It's a big NO from myself, my family and friends and "the greater of the community"</p> <p>I support it opportunity.      </p> <p>I am objecting to this application for the following major reasons: -   a) this will impact my health with the operation hours expecting to start from 5.30am which means my sleep will be interrupted and thus will lead to long term health impact with lack and disrupted sleep;   b) with 25 aircraft movements expected during the day will continuously disrupt and disturb working from home with the constant noises which will reduce productivity and impact to work meetings;   c) reduce the value of the property significantly as no buyer (e.g. families) would like to live in a place with this constant noise and operation hours  </p> <p>I understand that the application includes permission for up to 25 helicopter flights per day, but provides no information on where these flights will be.   I am concerned that such flights will impact the Blue Mountains World Heritage Area, and the nearby settlements, resulting in disturbance to the natural environment and inhabitants of this area. Further no consultation with Blue Mountains residents and NPWS has been undertaken.   No flights for Tourism purposes should be permitted to the Blue Mountains area.</p> <p>Helicopter joy flights impose on everyone on the ground for the pleasure of few. More than anything the imposition is to the detriment of the many who live and visit the mountains because they are seeking a quiet experience in nature. The extreme noise generated by helicopters imposes on people, birds and animals and it does not sit well with the declared World Heritage Listing that is supposed to be protecting wildlife and nature for all to enjoy. Keep helicopters away as they benefit few to the detriment of many, to the detriment of nature and importantly to the fragile world heritage listing.</p> <p>Objection based on noise impact on local community, impact on wildlife and amenity for all to enjoy the Blue Mountains on the ground in peaceful activities such as bushwalking, rock climbing, swimming and admiring the views of the valleys and waterfalls. Wildlife are stressed and flee the noise and would likely seek to relocate leaving the mountains devoid of some of the most beautiful birds and butterflies. The impact on residents cannot be understated either the beating and thudding of helicopters is heard well before you see them and long after they have passed by - we already have a significant amount pass us daily and they generally fly lower. The Blue Mountains should be maintained as a foot destination not a joy flight destination which stands to negatively impact all of those on the ground enjoying the world heritage listed Blue Mountains. Please rethink this in terms of the contradiction to the world heritage listing and the environmental and social impact.</p> <p>Excessive Noise - our windows are not double glazed.     Excessive Hours - starting way too early, finishing way too late. Unrealistic.     Excessive Traffic - Castlereagh Road traffic is at a crawl at the best of times.     Polution, environmental impact.     Dear NSW Planning team,   I formally object to the proposed operation of helicopter flights from the Penrith Lakes area.   I live near the Hawkesbury Lookout, which overlooks the northern end of the Lakes. I enjoy the tranquility of the area and the local bushland helps to deaden traffic noise from Hawkesbury Road. Should helicopter flights be conducted in this area, this tranquility will be compromised as the sound of engines will be above, and not deadened by the bush. This issue will not be confined to Hawkesbury Heights, but to all areas along the escarpment where flights may be proposed.   Additionally, along the river live unique wildlife. If you quietly kayak along the Nepean, adjacent to the Lakes Scheme, you will see White Breasted Sea Eagles and wild ducks. Helicopter flights will surely follow the river, with predictable impacts on our natural heritage.   And what about the residential and recreational users of the Lakes area - many will not be aware of the proposal, however if they were, can it be imagined that they would be supportive?   I urge NSW Planning to reject this proposal, on the grounds that the benefit of a few is far outweighed by the negative impacts on the many, and of the native wildlife of the Blue Mountains World Heritage Area.  </p> <p>Thank you team.</p>
201711 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	
201701 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Faulconbri	2776 New South No	
201666 Fri, 19/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	CRANEBRC	2749 New South No	
201621 Thu, 18/11/2021 I am making a personal submission	Blackheatf	2785 New South No	
201601 Thu, 18/11/2021 I am making a personal submission	Wentwort	2782 New South No	
201596 Thu, 18/11/2021 I am making a personal submission	Wentwort	2782 New South No	
201576 Thu, 18/11/2021 I am making a personal submission	2749	2749 New South No	
201566 Thu, 18/11/2021 I am making a personal submission	Hawkesbu	2777 New South No	



201551	Thu, 18/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	KATOOMB	2780 New South No	I object to	There is no information available on where the flight paths will be for the tourism helicopter flights. This proposal needs to be more widely consulted on including either NPWS and with all of the residents of the Blue Mountains. Helicopter use in the Blue Mountains is a significant concern with high noise levels already evident from existing helicopter use.
201536	Thu, 18/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Hazelbroo	2779 New South No	I object to	the application in question as it provides no details concerning flight paths, the number of flights requested each day is excessive, and the requested operating hours are also excessive. Of particular concern is the threat of joy flights operating over the Blue Mountains, its National Parks and the Greater World Heritage area.
201441	Thu, 18/11/2021	I am submitting on behalf of my org	Berowra V	2082 New South No	I support it	To Whom it May Concern     As the owners of Peats Bite Restaurant on the Hawkesbury River, we have known Mark Harrold for over 10 years. We have also dealt with him, and Sydney Helicopters, in a professional capacity for the last 3-4 years. During this time Mark & his team have always been efficient, professional and accommodating. Our Reservations Manager (who has been in the tourism industry for over 28 years) has always been impressed with all her dealings with them on our behalf. They are professional, reliable, easy to contact & very accommodating.     Also - the feedback we get from all their clients, who visit us at Peats Bite as part of their tour with Sydney Helicopters, is always outstanding.     They have been non-invasive to our business, and the operation of Peats Bite - far from it. They have been great ambassadors for our business, and very pro-active in supporting other venues & encouraging visitors to the Hawkesbury & it's surrounds.     It's great to see a long-established tourism operator of this calibre base itself at Penrith - especially with their critical fire-fighting and emergency services. It will also allow a fantastic connection between regions and towns like the Hawkesbury, Bathurst, Mudgee, Hunter Valley and Sydney.     Now - more than ever - Tourism is vitally important for the recovery of our regions after Covid. Travel & tourism was one of the hardest hit during lock-down, and it is a great opportunity for Penrith, and the employment opportunities that it will then offer, especially for people also interested in aviation.     We support them in their application & hope they will be successful in their future endeavours.     Best regards,   Geoff Milner & Tanya Miljoen
201421	Thu, 18/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	it will be too noisy, especially at the bookends of the noted operating hours I've read all the supporting documentation especially the noise, vibration and air quality impact assessments and whilst they all state that the respective results are within allowable limits, I believe the noise levels mentioned will still be a nuisance bordering on unbearable.     More concerning is the exceptions for emergencies, specifically the definition of an emergency and who gets to decide and exercise what constitutes an emergency.     We've all seen drastic measures brought into place and laws changed under the guise of an emergency over the last two years and given there are no clear references to this process or a decision hierarchy in the application, it gives little confidence that the proposed volume of traffic and subsequent noise wont be subject to change without an avenue for recourse.
201416	Thu, 18/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	I live less than one kilometre away from the proposed site.   I feel the noise level will be too much with up to 25 take offs and landings per day.   The time frame from 5:30am to 10pm is way too long.   There will be noise all day every day. I am frightened by the noise as we live so close and they have such a low flight path.   There will also be increased traffic in the area every day. Castlereagh Road is a very busy road already it will be near impossible to get out of the Waterside estate without a set of traffic lights on the corner of Castlereagh Road and Waterside Boulevard.
201076	Thu, 18/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	CRANEBR	2749 New South No	I object to	this helipad as I believe it will impact the value of my property. I also work from home full-time and I believe the noise of helicoters flying overhead all day will have a huge impact on my ability to work.   We have had PoAir fly over from time to time and the noise is very disruptive (in emergency situations this is understandable).   My husband and I built and chose to live in this estate under a prevailing set of environmental conditions present at the time and still present today.   I believe building this helipad will impact the entire population of the estate and change the environment significantly.   We have the new airport being built, so why not build it there?
200791	Thu, 18/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	Please consider the effects of your actions in constructing this helipad. The effects of pollution are not to be taken lightly.
200716	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	this, this helipad would cause enormous amounts of pollution. Not only would it release copious amounts of air pollution, it would also release extreme amounts of sound pollution, especially to the surrounding environments and estates such as that of Waterside. Furthermore, the pollution that would be released into the environment would also have dramatic effects on the surrounding environment. Nitrates and Phosphates would inevitably leak into surrounding water bodies and result in algae blooms and the death of aquatic wildlife. Please construct your helipad somewhere else. The Inner West region is already facing incredible stress in relation to environmental safety, and there is no need for another burden on our already-suffering ecosystem. Thank you for your time and consideration in reading this submission.
200711	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	There is already a high volume of helicopter traffic in the local area from the RAAF base etc. This helipad should be built in an alternative location to ensure the local wildlife is not under even greater stress. Local Police, Fire fighters, Rescue and Emergency flights have sufficient landing areas available
200706	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Emu Heigh	2750 New South No	I object to	this submission to build and operate a proposed heliport at the lakes development.   I live in the waterside estate across the road from the lakes and the flying of helicopters from 5.30 am to 10pm, 7 days a week will significantly change my way of life.   There a number of impacts and these include but are not limited to the potential de-valuing of my property, the impact on the salability of my house.   Importantly for me I work from home and will be severely impacted as I need to be on conference calls for much of the day and do not want to have to have doors and windows shut all day every day and if chose to do so I did not build my house with the sound proofing necessary to accommodate helicopters flying over head.   We have experienced the noise from helicopters when the emergency services run drills at the lakes.   The proposal has the heliport being built towards the eastern end of the lakes with an east west take off and landing. This will directly impact me and the housing estate.   I built and chose to live in this estate under a prevailing set of environmental conditions present at the time and still present today.   This is a quite estate, the perfect place to live and work.   The approval and subsequent operation of a heliport will change this environment significantly.
200696	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South Wales	I object to	environment significantly.
200676	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am submitting on behalf of my org	Penrith	2750 New South No	I support it	See attachment
200661	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	I am opposed to the noise and air pollution associated with having helicopter flight path and landing pad so close to my residence.
200656	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	the abovementioned proposal due to its proximity to residential houses. The noise will be unbearable to all the local residents especially those with young children trying to sleep or those with children trying to study and those residents that are shift workers.
200651	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	The proposed site is way too close to a residential estate (only 1 km away from Waterside estate). Having loud helicopters operating from 5.30am till 10pm in such a close proximity to residential houses is unacceptable. It seems like the convenience of the commercial helicopter operator has been put in front of the needs of local residents. Why don't you convert it to a park area or something that the local residents could enjoy? By going ahead with this development you will do the exact opposite. To whom it may concern,     I write with intent to support the submitted Development application for Sydney Helicopters and the construction and operation of a Helipad within the Penrith Lakes Scheme.     Aussie Ark is a wildlife conservation organisation based in the Barrington Tops region of New South Wales, Mark and the Sydney Helicopters team have been long-time supporters of the Ark and its values and mission. Sydney helicopters have gifted time and helicopters to allow us as an organisation to undertake our critical conservation work and remain avid spokespersons for our organisation. Our long standing partnership is one that will continue into the future.     Their community support is felt past Aussie Ark, through their incredible work in critical firefighting and emergency services. This was felt none more so than during the 2019-2020 bushfire disaster. The team at Sydney Helicopters were among critical personnel fighting the blazes from our skies. Their efforts joined a long list of firefighters and communities, who together helped stopped one of NSW's worst bushfire seasons and natural disasters.     It is great to see a long established tourism operation of this calibre with associated film and critical firefighting and emergency services base its self at Penrith. The development of this helipad comes with a plethora of benefits for the local community and surrounding regions. It will provide fantastic connection between regions and towns like Bathurst, Mudgee, Hunter Valley and Sydney. Whilst also providing more employment opportunities for Western Sydney residents with an interest in aviation.     It would be important to note the connection the newly developed Helipad would have with the Western Sydney Airport once it is delivered. The two would create an aviation hub in western Sydney.
200636	Wed, 17/11/2021	I am submitting on behalf of my org	Somersby	2250 New South No	I support it	I do not want a helipad in Penrith. The sob story about the bush fire brigade indorse this idea. There are 4 or more large car parks at the regatta centre that can be used during bush fires. Don't muddy the water with bush fire bull. This is a private noisy business too close to my home
200626	Tue, 16/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	I live in the residential area east of the proposed helipad. I am very concerned about the impact that a helipad so close to our area will have on our quality of life. We have small children that sleep throughout the day that will be woken by the noise. I am also concerned that during summers like the last the noise will be relentless as there is no cap on emergency helicopter noise. We recently endured scenic flights that were permitted from the same area for the Lakeside long lunch and the noise was unbearable.
200616	Tue, 16/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749 New South No	I object to	Please, please consider residents of this area in your decision. 11 November 2021     Dear Sir/Madam,   My name is . I have resided at , Penrith, since 1956.   During my time residing at the property, I have endured noise disruptions, as well as significant quantities of dust resulting from the many developments in close proximity to my residence. These disruptions are as follows;   1.Birds Eye Corner Quarry – Creates significant traffic noise from the large trucks that pass by sometimes 24 hours a day.   2.Gravel Mining – We were pressured into selling a significant proportion of our land to make way for gravel mining in the 1980's.   3.The gravel mining that followed the sale of my land resulted in our water supply from dramatically decreasing, forcing us to have water trucked in at our own cost. The gravel mining created significant noise and large quantities of dust at my back door.   4.The construction of the Regatta Centre created further noise, dust and traffic.   5.The Regatta Centre has held many large scale events since its construction. Whilst we appreciate the large amounts of tourism these events bring to the area, we were inconvenienced many times by these events, by way of road closures and large amounts of traffic and noise.   6.The rezoning of the area for tourism has more than doubled the expense of our council rates, whilst simultaneously devaluing the property.   Over the years we have not complained about any of these developments or events as we believe they are truly beneficial to the economy and tourism of the area. We do however, strongly object to the proposed flight path for the Heliport that is due to be constructed.   The reason for our objection is due to the fact that the helicopters will fly directly over our house and property, from first light until 10:00pm, 7 days a week. This flight path will have severe impacts on our sleep quality and overall wellbeing.   We have been informed that the flight path has been selected as to not impact the Regatta Centre, or the future business park.   We are upset, frustrated and disappointed that our wellbeing has failed to have been considered in these circumstances. We are long standing residents and believe our wellbeing should be more important than the small inconvenience altering the flight path may create.   We kindly request your support in these times.         Warm regards,
200391	Fri, 12/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	CASTLERE	2749 New South No	I object to	these times.         Warm regards,
200346	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Woodford	2778 New South No	I object to	We do not need this many charter flights or senic flights over the Blue Mountains. Certainly not in the hours requested.   We already have enough noise from. Current aircraft ,highway and freight trains along with new airport flight plans going over us. We moved to wot I thought was peaceful location which is slowly having or peace eroded. This application has the potential to ruin the Blue Mountains World Heritage area. The present noise from helicopters is already disruptive and a cause of major concern across many local community groups.     Environmental concern:   The noise will disrupt the local environment in a world heritage area including affecting native wildlife habitat.     Scope concern:   The DA does not present any as to what the helipad may be used for. This allows for commercial use that will likely be unchecked and push the boundaries of what is acceptable for profit. The community would likely support emergency and support usage as this would occur when necessary only. The time frame suggests that this is not for emergency use but for future commercial gain.     Community concern:   The times suggested are well before most will wake up and will disrupt regular activities. A simple search of some local Facebook group returns numerous concerns with the existing amount of helicopters. They fly low and people hear every one.     This reeks of some minister's mate who owns a helicopter trying to start a new business.
200336	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Blaxland	2774 New South No	I object to	every one.     This reeks of some minister's mate who owns a helicopter trying to start a new business.

200331	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Wentwort	2782	New South No	I object to life, on wildlife and on people engaging in quiet recreational pursuits, this proposal to add to the already unwelcome aerial traffic over the mountains should be emphatically denied.     Yours Sincerely,     I live in the Blue Mountains adjacent to the Penrith Lakes where the background noise is very low. I can recall the noise that the sky diving planes used to make as they flew over the lakes to make their drops. I also recall the noise created by the Defcon (or Deafcon) even though we live quite a distance away. Defcon actually letter boxed our street to notify us of the noise. It is only once a year so that's quite tolerable.     Flights of helicopters continually will definitely impact on us. We had many flying over during the fires so are fully aware of the noise they make flying at low levels. We also hear the frequent trips of rescue helicopters. These are to be expected and necessary but charter flights for other purposes, up to 25 per day and until 10pm in the evening, is a step too far. No-one needs more unnecessary noise pollution.
200321	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Yellow Roc	2777	New South No	I object to
200296	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Penrith	2750	New South No	I support it Excellent Idea..
200276	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Penrith	2750	New South No	I object to I reject the proposal as it stands at present.     Residing in the area for its general peace and quiet, having 25 helicopter landing / take off per day (50 movements) between 5.30am - 10pm is disruptive to peoples lives. It's also not only for fire related work it's also for joy flights, film and charter work.     Most people would be ok with extended operations during times of bush fire emergency but as a daily occurrence, I strongly reject the proposal.     In the area we already have enough aircraft noise from Blackhawks training late at night and aircraft flying out of Richmond overhead. This we can accept as they were in the area prior to living here.     People need to have relative peace and quiet in their homes, this is too much of a threat to that as it stands.     People's homes are a place of retreat.     It is not as though people moved to the area and there was an existing heliport in the area and then started complaining.     People moved here for the peace and quiet and the proposal significantly threatens people's way of life.     The proposal is essentially for a heliport in the middle of suburbia.     The number of aircraft movements and hours of operations would need to be reduced significantly before having my personal support. I object to this proposal. There is already a increasingly significant amount of noise and air pollution due to aircraft in the Blue Mountains, particularly with the upcoming opening of the WS Airport slated for 2026. The 5:30am proposed start time for flights is particularly objectionable; local noise restrictions broadly disallow the use of machinery loud enough to be heard inside a residential premises prior to 7am on weekdays, and 8am on weekends/public holidays; construction companies are required to abide by this, why should it be any different for a tourism business operating in the same area? Not only does this impact residents in the area, but the Blue Mountains is renowned for the tranquility and beauty of its surrounds, which would be disrupted significantly by the proposed helicopter flights proposed to occur for up to 16.5 hours out of a day. Already, I have friends overseas who have said that they'd much rather visit the Blue Mountains prior to the airport opening as the thought of planes screaming overhead whilst trying to peacefully enjoy nature is less than appealing. This would only be further exacerbated by additional helicopter traffic overhead. The principle purpose of Sydney Helicopters operation is a commercial facility open to the public for hire and recreational flights.   As a resident of the Blue Mountains who, along with much of my community, has already spent time making submissions against one such business wishing to exploit the natural environment of the Blue Mountains with its noise and air pollution purely for personal gain, with no benefit to the community whatsoever, along comes another such application. The Environmental Plan is there for good reason, uphold it. While the argument for jobs in Western Sydney will be made, I'm sure, the creation of these jobs will result in the loss of the same when you destroy the whole motivation for tourists coming to spend time in what government wants to describe as a pristine, peaceful World Heritage area when it suits but wants to favour only developers property when the money calls. It appears the destinations (the flight paths) relating to tourism have not been defined. Will these be made available at all? Specifically, whether flights into the valleys of the Blue Mountains will be allowed and, if so, what restrictions will/may apply. The recent rejection of a DA for Fly Blue Pty Ltd at Medlow Bath will incorporate issues that may, or may not, affect this application. The report on the noise impact of the proposed helipad location at Penrith Lakes did not take into account that the area is zoned for Parklands and Lakes which means animals and residential are not considered when it comes to the consistent intrusive noise from Helicopters. We already have helicopters hovering over our residential area be it for rescue, training or fire and the disturbance it causes by the low flying and loud noise they make will be relentless over Emu Plains as we are used as a flight path moving north and south. I strongly urge you to reconsider and use an existing area such as Richmond base or the new airport surrounds to relocate the helipad. As a person who works from home Helicopters already affect my ability to work, concentration and hearing on the phone from the noise of a low flying Helicopter and this greatly impacts on my home & work life and it will have the highest single noise impact on the wildlife and residents in the area. Noise pollution (and visual pollution) will be overwhelmingly what the many residents, visitors and businesses of the Blue Mountains will be forced to endure if this proposal is approved. It will destroy the Blue Mountains brand of a peaceful and serene environment to the detriment of our tourism industry. A very few stand to benefit from it at the expense of the very many.
200271	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Blaxland	2774	New South No	I object to
200256	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Leura	2780	New South No	I object to
200246	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	BLACKHEA	2785	New South No	I object to
200241	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Emu Plain:	2750	New South No	I object to
200236	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Katoomba	2780	New South No	I object to
200231	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Medlow B:	2780	New South No	I object to
200226	Thu, 11/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Mt Rivervi	2774	New South No	I object to
200221	Wed, 10/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Penrith	2749	New South No	I object to
200211	Wed, 10/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Blaxland	2774	New South No	I object to
200206	Wed, 10/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Leura	2780	New South No	I object to
200201	Wed, 10/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I object to
200186	Wed, 10/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Mt Rivervi	2774	New South No	I object to
200181	Wed, 10/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Blaxland	2774	New South No	I object to
200176	Wed, 10/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Bayview	2104	New South No	I support it
200161	Tue, 09/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Cranebroo	2749	New South No	I object to
200156	Tue, 09/11/2021	I am making a personal submission	Emu heigh	2750	New South No	I object to

As a local resident it is my opinion that: | | This land was used for commercial purposes (the quarry) and then converted into a public recreation space as per the "social licence to operate" that is common when corporate entities (the quarry operators) profit from publicly owned natural resources. | | Today our community has a wonderful recreation space to use which is appropriate and part of the deal struck with the quarry operator. | | I find it totally absurd to think that this space is now potentially going to be significantly negatively impacted by implanting a commercial helipad inside of it. | | Should this development be allowed to take place, it will be a complete betrayal of the social contract that was put in place with our community when this land was first leased to the quarry company who used its natural resources for commercial purposes. The proposed site of the helipad will render the recreation area unusable due to the wind and noise disturbance and with all the residential development surrounding this proposed site, it is incomprehensible to think this is a good idea. | | If this proposal is allowed to proceed, I will no longer be able to take my kids to the lakes scheme to ride their bikes on the weekend because of the noise of up to 25 helicopters taking off everyday. It will render the space not suitable for community events including park run and music festivals because of the noise. | | These weekend activities and large social events are critically important for our community and they represent a significant asset to the economy and social fabric of our community. As more high density residential developments take place in the surround areas, having large recreation areas where gatherings can take place is vital to promote social cohesion. The festivals, concerts and corporate events provide local businesses with income and once a helipad is inserted into this space all outdoor events will cease. | | I find this proposal especially absurd considering there is an international airport being built not far from the proposed site and wonder why the helipad can't be co-located with the new Western Sydney airport as they are all around the world including in Sydney and Bankstown. Another absurd element to this proposal is that fact that there is a functioning RAFF base at Richmond which is also not far from the proposed site. | | Living in the Blue Mountains LGA, I certainly appreciate the fact that the proposed site is co-located with a large water source for emergency service aircraft, but there must be other sites that are near water and: | | 1. were not only recently just given back to the community after many years of commercial use (in fact remedial works either not yet or only just complete - so the dust hasn't even settled and another corporate want's to take it again) | 2. are already used for aviation purposes (i.e. Richmond RAAF and Badgerys Creek Airport) | 3. are not currently being used for public recreation and community events | 4. are not surrounded by residential developments and adjacent to a city within a world heritage listed area | | The proposed site is already cleared with services connected, roads, parking and is well developed. The reason it is like this is because it was part of a contract with the community who allowed a corporate entity to use their natural resources in return for the promise of a recreation space for future generations. This promise will be broken should this proposal be approved. | | This proposal makes a farce of a social contract and, apart from that, makes no sense from a resource planning point of view given the significant aviation resources located/soon to be located in close proximity. | | This proposal only makes sense for the corporate entity who needs to relocate and is looking for a "ready made site" to establish their new base. Our community were owed this site, we paid for it with the trucks, the dust, the noise and the depletion of natural resources. No corporate has the right to now come in and take it away, again. | | I quote the document from 2016 from the NSW Govt. Agency, "The Office of Penrith Lakes" which states, | | "The Penrith Lakes Scheme would never have become this place | of vast lakes and open spaces for public recreation without the | enthusiasm and vision of Boral, Holcim and Hanson in | rehabilitating all of the degraded quarry areas and in generously | contributing up to 80 percent of this private land for recreational | benefit to the Penrith community and Western Sydney." | | A helipad in a designated recreation area will not benefit the Penrith or Western Sydney community. It will only benefit the operator. | | A quote from Minister Stuart Ayres from 2014, | | "With its rare and special location, nestled on the banks of the Nepean River and in the shadows of the Blue Mountains, Penrith Lakes can evolve into the jewel in the crown for western Sydney," he stated. | | (https://www.quarrymagazine.com/2014/12/12/state-government-releases-vision-for-quarry-rehabilitation/) | | A privately owned helipad within this space of public recreation |

200141 Tue, 09/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Mount Riv 2774 New South No

I object to will be of detriment to Penrith community and Western Sydney and is not consistent with the implementation of the Penrith Lakes Scheme and the structure plan. | The Australian Helicopter Industry Association (AHIA) supports strongly the Sydney Helicopter's DA to provide new services, including emergency services, from a site within the Penrith LGA. | | Sydney Helicopters, with 36 years experience operating within the Sydney Basin as well as across NSW, is well respected nationally by the helicopter industry. The wide range of services it is offering would enhance and strengthen the rapidly growing Penrith community. | | Helicopter operations have a small environment footprint compared to fixed-wing operations and can take on an extensive range of tasks as outlined in Sydney Helicopter's DA. | | The AHIA views this DA as a great opportunity for the Penrith LGA to have professional and very experienced helicopter services close at hand. | | Particularly in times of emergencies, such capabilities should not be underestimated in their value to the local and surrounding communities. | | regards | | Paul Tyrrell | CEO | Australian Helicopter Industry Association |

200131 Tue, 09/11/2021 I am submitting on behalf of my org Bruce 2617 Australian No  
200126 Tue, 09/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Emu Plains: 2750 New South No

I support it | | | |  
I object to I strongly Object to the DA for a Helipad at Penrith lakes.I am concerned of excessive noise in my neighbourhood.We already have occasional helicopters flying over and they are exceptionally noisy. This is a great thing for Penrith and the blue mountains, it will enable shorter lower cost flights over our picture perfect blue mountains and access to western NSW for in a hurry day trippers. Great to see a local owned business supplying to the emergency services as well, being local they have a bit more loyalty to the Australian public, at least the money stays in Australia and pays taxes here. | To often we give this sort of work to overseas based companies. | Its a great opportunity for local people who wish

200086 Mon, 08/11/2021I am making a personal submission PENRITH 2750 New South No  
200051 Mon, 08/11/2021I am making a personal submission Emu Plains: 2750 New South No

I support it to work in the highly skilled aviation industry and to avoid the travel to Mascot or Bankstown. |  
I object to Due to helicopter noise.

200016 Mon, 08/11/2021I am making a personal submission Penrith 2750 New South No  
200011 Sun, 07/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I support it As a local surveyor that has been in Penrith for all my life | It is great to see such an application | Great for the area, employment and Western Sydney | great location not near housing | and a proven operator with a good history | Great use of land that would otherwise be wasted. | | Please give it your stamp of approval  
I object to I'm opposed to the helicopters creating noise to the already loud environment that I live at

200006 Sun, 07/11/2021 I am making a personal submission cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object on the grounds that this whole consultation with the community is a sham. the operators have already moved aircraft and company branded vehicles to the site (before any community consultation was open) and works on the site are clearly visible from the roadway as well as the walking paths of the regatta centre. | | What is the point in consultation when works are being done in front of people's eyes? Not even an attempt to make it look like proper process and actual community consultation is being observed. | | Snouts in the trough everywhere. Zero care for local community because it clearly has already been approved or else they wouldn't be spending money working on the site! So much corruption in government these days, in plain sight!  
I object to I object to the application on the grounds of the noise impact to users of the Regatta Centre and nearby residential. | The Regatta Centre is an important recreational area for residents of Penrith and others for walking, cycling, and rowing. The location of

199991 Sun, 07/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199986 Sun, 07/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 27499 New South No  
199966 Sun, 07/11/2021 I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to the proposed helipad is immediately adjacent to the Regatta Centre and will therefore directly impact on these users.  
I object to We live directly across the road from this potential development and I strongly object to the noise, the intrusion, pollution and increase in traffic. Suggest Badgerys Creek is a mores suitable location with ample facilities.  
I support it It would be good for the community

199961 Sat, 06/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199956 Sat, 06/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199951 Sat, 06/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to The interruption to my working day due to noise levels will be a huge impact as I work from home and have many online meetings so I can not have this background noise. This will be a direct impact on my way of living and is not acceptable on any level.  
I object to The noise of helicopters taking off and landing all day will cause significant abs harmful levels of noise and cause disruption to the neighbourhood. With constant noise it is likely that there will be an increase in mental health issues.  
I object to Flight paths would be too close to the Cranebrook community. Sound pollution is the main concern especially with the hours of operation proposed.

199946 Sat, 06/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission 2749 2749 New South No

I object to I'm a shift worker I do not need some rich bastard flying over my house 25 times a day | Promises were made that the lakes would become a public space, it appears that that was nothing more than political spin and pork barrelling by both local and state governments. | We have seen plans for a movie lot a private golf course and now a private helipad, quite clearly Penrith city council is not about its local residents who slog away to try to make ends meet, but clearly pandering to the fat cats na their cheque books. | | This is a very poor decision it will make home prices plummet just so YOU can line your pockets | | SHAME ! ! ! ! ! | | YOU WILL LOSE THE NEXT ELECTION IF YOU PUSH FORWARD WITH THIS DISGRACEFUL ACT.

199941 Sat, 06/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199936 Sat, 06/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199896 Sat, 06/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Glenmore 2745 New South No

I object to We don't need more helicopters overhead, or more traffic on Andrews Road. I think the hours of operation should be shortened if this proceeds. I've been in houses near the hospital when the helicopter lands there, you can't even have a conversation it's so loud and disruptive. If something goes wrong you have jet fuel in a high fire danger area, and close to local suburbs and schools. I don't think this is a good idea or mix of development. The infrastructure around here doesn't cope with current demands,  
I object to adding this will not make it better. It will just reduce the value of our houses and the quality of life for local residents.  
I object to I object on the grounds of the operating hours and noise. If the operating hours were moved to business hours of 9am-5pm (excluding emergency services) I would support it  
I support it Brilliant for the area and has multiple uses.

199886 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I object to I believe it won't benefit our local community but will cause a dramatic increase in noise pollution, an increase in traffic, and an increase in pollution due to the use of fuel for the helicopters. | I believe it should be based at the proposed new airport.

199861 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199856 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199846 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Penrith 2750 New South No

I object to The flightpath is over established homes with estimates of up to 50 take offs and landings per day until 10pm, which I feel is unacceptable and destroys the comfort and utility of my home. Why not upgrade or expand Bankstown, it's already there.  
I object to The flightpath is over established homes with estimates of up to 50 take offs and landings per day until 10pm, which I feel is unacceptable and destroys the comfort and utility of my home.  
I object to I oppose the helipad

199841 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Jamisonto 2750 New South No

I know the owner of Sydney Helicopters through the Rural Fire Service. The owner is a local resident to Penrith, the company is a good reputable company. They have provided time and Helicopters many times for RFS open days at no cost. It would be an asset to the Penrith area having fire capable aircraft so close during the fire seasons and also for flood rescue. I see only benefits for Penrith and the surrounding areas and the fact I personally know the owner I know he is good honest business person who  
I support it runs a good company.

199831 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

I believe the proposed site will disrupt the quiet and calm of our local community by adding excessive flight noise to the area. We purchased in the area because of the rural style outlook and peacefulness of the region and believe this development will  
I object to definitely negatively impact this.  
We already have locations designated for flight vehicles - one existing (Richmond RAAF) and Badgerys Creek (in development). To cut down on air traffic and noise pollution, of which there already is an abundance, why not further develop either existing site with a helipad. The proposed development of a helipad at the lakes scheme at penrith would purely be a beurecratic benefit, and would further impede on the health, wellbeing and everyday lives of the general public in the surrounding suburbs with the

199826 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Jordan Spr 2747 New South No  
199816 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission 2750 2750 New South No

I object to increase in noise and environmental pollution.  
I object to This will be an eyesore and contribute to noise pollution. This will debase the values of Penrith.

199806 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2747 New South No  
199801 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No  
199796 Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission Cranebrook 2749 New South No

Hello, I object to this as there are 2 schools (The Lakes Christian Collage and Castlereagh Primary) just outside of the 1km radius of this helipad proposal. I believe it will be a massive disturbance to the community of Castlereagh. Especially, as most of the houses/schools are above the helipad the noise will be echoed between the mountain on the other side of the Nepean River and and the suburb of Castlereagh. Castlereagh road will also need to be upgraded due to the extra traffic. This stretch of road  
I object to between Andrews road round-a-bout through to Springwood Road is quite accident prone already without introducing more traffic to Castlereagh Road. | Thank you for your time.  
I object to Cranebrook is a residential area with young families. I oppose the operation of a heliport as it will negatively affect the lifestyle of residents.  
I object to Its already too noisy.make the land more usable like camping areas,walking tracks and recreational

199786	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranbrook	2749 New South No	I object to the helipad due to the noise pollution and impact to the residential community.   I have only recently over the past 6 years dealt with the EPA regarding industrial operational noise pollution and violations of operating licenses from the industrial factories on Andrews rd Penrith.   I have only in the past 12 months been able to live peacefully within my home without the constant noise pollution that impacted my life, health and well-being.   I object to this helipad site as I have a right to live in a healthy environment that is free from noise and air pollution.     I found it invasive and loud during the noise pollution testing period with the constant air traffic over and around my residence   If this was a small example, the impact to myself and the residential community within the area, it will be unliveable.     The helipad should be located at the new western Sydney Airport which has the capacity to cater for air traffic.     Not on the recreational land for the people of the community to enjoy.   Please move this closer to the new airport being built at Badgery's Creek. This will limit the increase in trucks and air traffic in the area. There is also no need to clear out the trees in the area near the lake which is near the park where families gather.     The noise from the helicopters will take away from the scenery and become a place where families won't want to be near because of the noise.
199781	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to noise from the helicopters will take away from the scenery and become a place where families won't want to be near because of the noise.
199766	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to I object on the basis of the potential for noise pollution, especially in the evenings. Things will be bad enough once the western Sydney airport is up and running. These helicopters will make matters worse. We so not need any more noise pollution in this area.     We have trucks, police helicopters and emergency services producing noise all day and night. We do not need a y more added to it via recreational helicopter flights.     The benefits of helicopter flights is drastically outweighed by the continued impact on an entire community which was well established before this was even planned for.
199746	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to This will ruin Penrith's lovely environment and will cause noise pollution for all of Penrith.
199741	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Jamisonto	2750 New South No	I object to Although my neighbours are opposed for personal personal future property pricing reasons, I understand a noise survey could be conducted to show actual impact. Plus outcry could be alleviated by restricting the flight paths from overflying the residential areas below a certain altitude.     I support bringing more general aviation opportunities to the outer western suburbs.
199736	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I support This is going to disrupt the peaceful area of Castlereagh and surrounding areas. It will cause more traffic to the northern end of penrth including Castlereagh and Andrew's rds which are already badly affected during weekend traffic. Which already is a nightmare! This land was promised to go back to the people of Penrith when the Lakes Scheme was first announced. Where are our picnic facilities, places for our local families to go? It's not for tourism tours! It's land for the people of the city of Penrith!!
199696	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Castlreagh	2749 New South No	I object to Give it to the community and make our city greener! We don't need air pollution so close to our river our beautiful mountains. Not to mention the noise pollution it will cause. I am a commercially rated helicopter pilot and love all things aviation related but I totally object to this proposal on the grounds of the noise that it will create being so close to my place of residence and the waterside estate with the noise generated with the take off and landings as well as the ground running for maintenance. The noise generated now when the likes of the emergency services doing training in that area is very loud and travels far being a flat open area. People do make allowances for emergency services as it's occasional noise but to have an active heliport so close to a residential area is not acceptable. It needs to be based at Bankstown or the new western Sydney airport when constructed.
199686	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	2749	2749 New South No	I object to Way too many take offs and landings. Do not appreciate the additional noise over my property and peaceful walking surroundings
199676	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Werrington	2747 New South No	I object to The noise and Increase in Traffic will devalue our house prices, also make our lives miserable.   It should be built in an area without high density housing and won't directly affect people's lives.
199666	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to We already have enough air traffic over Cranebrook.
199661	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to I object to a heliport so close to a residential area
199656	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to My concern is the flight path and noise. I live in the waterside estate where we pay community levies as well as council rates. I'm concerned that not only our peace and quality of life will be reduced but also the possibility of negative impact on the value of I am just p properties within the effected area.
199651	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I am just p
199646	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	1. There needs to be more information provided about the environmental impact of noise on the area. An airport noise impact study should be completed and maximum decibel limits should be set to avoid the noise impact to the cranebrook community, specifically focusing on the waterside estate area as it is the most likely area to be affected by this plan.     2. As the Penrith lakes scheme is situated on a water plain, what risk mitigation is being implemented to prevent possible release of Jet A1 (avtur) and other petrol, oil and lubricants into the local area. Sufficient bunding should be installed under the tank and drainage should be installed with a water separator on the hard stand to minimise the risk of contamination to the environment from petrols, oils and lubricants.     3. Risk mitigation should also be carried out on fire equipment installed at the airfield to eliminate the use of AFFF containing chlorofluorocarbons as these have potential to impact the water tablelands. I am a resident of the Waterside estate and often visit the Regatta Centre for recreational purposes. I oppose the proposal to build a Helipad, believing it would be detrimental to the continuing provision of a high quality leisure venue such as the Regatta Centre and Penrith Lakes as a whole. The noise of the helicopters overhead increasing noise pollution until 10pm would be intrusive to quiet recreation, not to mention an intrusion on our peaceful home environment. The increased lighting would also impact on the local environs and the tree felling and concreting would destroy green space whereas the current tend is to increase it. In addition, the felling of established trees would be in contravention of the urgency with which we should be increasing our shade canopy to mitigate against ever increasing summer temperatures.   The provision of the Helipad would benefit a privileged few to the detriment of the quiet and peaceful lifestyles of a large established and growing North Penrith residential community.
199641	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to I live in an estate DIRECTLY OPPOSITE this proposed site.   It is unbelievable that you would try to allow this idea to go ahead with the flight path DIRECTLY over residential areas surrounding the site.   Unless the flight paths are going to be North/South along the river away from the houses this proposal should be either reassessed or denied.
199636	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to I'm happy for the redevelopment however I'm so worried about the noise of helicopters coming over until 10pm daily, how is this fair to Cranebrook residents, this will be very noisy and could impact sales in the area, we didn't expect this when we bought a house here!
199631	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to Build it at Bageries Creek we don't want it in Penrith. Put it at the new air Port we are already going to have increased plane noise.Enough is enough.We already have enough noise with all the factories and double boggy trucks constantly on our roads all hours of the day and night. Police and emergency helicopters day and night going to Nepean hospital. The area is already so busy and over built. The air quality and air pollution has increased.The amount of traffic will increase roads are already clogged in the area. Our house prices will drop.
199626	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to Provided any charter/movie/flim flights are done with locals in mind - Get to the choppa.
199621	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I support The choppers that fly over the area now are annoying & noisy enough...we don't need any more. Why does the helipad need to be here when there is a new airport being built not that far away. There will be existing emergency services at the Badgery's Ck airport in case of crashes or other emergencies. Penrith Lakes is only a stones throw from residential & business areas...what if there is a crash? What about privacy? Why do we need a heliport? Who needs to come there by helicopter? Is this going to be for scenic flights & if so what about residents privacy? Stupid bloody idea. Hopefully Libs will be turfed out & this won't go ahead....test for Labor if they come into power.
199616	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to It's really close to my house and I feel if the helipad does go ahead it'll devalue my property which I won't be compensated for
199581	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to Penrith, and North Penrith in particular, already has a lot of helicopter traffic. The car and bike hoons cause a lot of disruption at all hours, nothing is getting done about stopping them. Having the extra aerial traffic disrupting our little bit of peace will not be appreciated. If Polair and the police were actually stopping the road hoons, it would be of use. Having the occassional helicopter flying over is one thing, any extra helicopter traffic is unwelcome. Polair do an amazing job, but are they actually doing anything flying over us at all hours of the night or are they just doing training / observation?   A helipad so close to a growing community is only going to create more traffic and more noise.
199571	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Penrith	2750 New South No	I object to The application for a heliport close to residential homes is not supported. In the past year, at an event at the Regatta Centre, there were helicopter joy flights offered. There was constant flights throughout the day and was noisy. There is currently only minimal traffic noise in the area which is acceptable. My home has not been proofed for such constant noise.
199551	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to I totally object to building a helipad at Penrith Lakes. The sound of the helicopter will disturb my family. The location is not suitable, being so close to residential areas. Early morning and night flights would most certainly disrupt our sleep. The whole idea is preposterous and shows total disregard for local residents.
199546	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to Penrith Lakes was meant to be for recreational use and the helipad doesn't suit this area. The increased noise and traffic created by this is unacceptable.   Are local road upgrades going to happen to meet the needs of this development?   Are local homes affected by the noise going to purchased or owners compensated ?   What measures are in place in the event of a chemical spill to prevent fuel's etc making it to the river?   How are the greenhouse gasses going to be offset to ensure the build and ongoing emissions are minimal?
199496	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Glenmore	2745 New South No	I object to I object to the development of the Heliport.   The noise traffic of start ups, take offs, landings and flight paths will impose on us nearby residents.   The maximum of 25 flights equates to 50 take offs and landings up to 10pm at night   STOP THE CHOPPERS
199416	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	Cranebrook	2749 New South No	I object to ☺☺     To Whom It May Concern,     My name is , I have resided at , Castlereagh since 1956. During that time I have had to endure noise from trucks that came from a Quarry that opened at Birds Eye Corner. In the late 1980s we were forced to sell the majority of our land for the Penrith Lakes Scheme.   Then we had to put up with noise and dust from gravel mining at our back door.   Then traffic, noise and dust from construction of the international Rowing Course.   While I am not against development in the area, I find it hard that they have to build a Heliport next door to me.     Over all these years I have put up with Noise, Traffic and dust from construction.     I must object to helicopters running from 5.30am-10pm at night.     What do they say about the chicken I am just p and the egg?     We were here first     Kind Regards
199391	Fri, 05/11/2021 - I am making a personal submission	CASTLEREAGH	2749 New South No	I am just p