
From: Hemant Vaswani [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 20 May 2021 1:58 PM
To: IPCN Enquiries Mailbox
Subject: Mirvac

Hey

Mirvacs updates don't address the need to maintain the historic significance of Pyrmont bridge. The north podium is still too high and should be within the IPCs guidelines or the current shopping centre envelope.

The tower isn't required but I guess Mirvac has to make money on its overpriced purchase of the shopping centre.

There is a lot of rhetoric from Mirvac on the uneconomical nature of IPCs requests and threats not to proceed. I don't think meeting Mirvacs return on investments should be an input into how big a podium or high a tower should allowed. The IPCs requests seemed reasonable in full and at times too loose.

From A concerted resident of Pyrmont

Hemant

Get [Outlook for Android](#)