From: H P

**Sent:** Thursday, 1 April 2021 11:10 PM

**To:** IPCN Enquiries Mailbox; IPCN Enquiries Mailbox

**Subject:** Re: Pitt Street South Over Station Development - SSD 10376 and SSD 8876 MOD 2

- Additional Information

Dear Sir/Madam,

I can respond to the applicant's additional material as follows.

The applicant's Chamfer study is a complete insult. I cannot believe it has wasted the IPC's time by proposing a chamfer to the boundary of its development and not to the actual building. This will obviously not result in any improvement to solar access to Princeton and is obviously not was proposed to them or they were directed to do by the IPC. They should be punished for this total lack of honesty and respect for the planning process. At least the IPC can now see the dishonesty we have been dealing with from the applicant throughout this process.

The proposal continues to have a devastating effect to neighbouring properties and the applicant has shown no effort to improve this position in the additional material. None of the additional options or studies result in any benefit so must be taken to demonstrate the applicant does not intend to meet the conditions of consent. They seem so brazenly confident the application will get approved that they have not even attempted to comply.

I remain strongly opposed to this development application.

Thank you for providing this opportunity for additional comment.

From: Joscelyn Chan < Joscelyn.Chan@ipcn.nsw.gov.au > on behalf of IPCN Enquiries Mailbox

<ipcn@ipcn.nsw.gov.au>

Sent: Monday, 29 March 2021 6:02 PM

**To:** IPCN Enquiries Mailbox <ipcn@ipcn.nsw.gov.au> **Cc:** Casey Joshua <Casey.Joshua@ipcn.nsw.gov.au>

Subject: Pitt Street South Over Station Development - SSD 10376 and SSD 8876 MOD 2 - Additional Information

Dear Sir/Madam

You are receiving this email because our records show you have previously made a submission about the Pitt Street South Over Station Development - SSD 10376 and SSD 8876 MOD 2, either to the Department of Planning, Industry and Environment (**Department**) or the Independent Planning Commission (**Commission**).

The Commission is re-opening public comment in relation to new information it has received from the Applicant on 26 March 2021. The new information includes the proponent's response to questions on notice at the public meeting on Chamfer (to the building envelope), Internal Amenity and Solar Access.

In accordance with the Commission's 'Additional Material' policy, the Panel considers that it would be assisted by public comment, via email only, on the following new material provided to the Commission:

- Applicant's Response to public meeting question on notice on Chamfer, dated 26 March 2021
- Applicant's Response to public meeting question on notice on Internal Amenity, dated 26 March 2021
- Applicant's Response to public meeting question on notice on Solar Access, dated 26 March 2021.

Please find a copy of this information on the Commission's website:

https://www.ipcn.nsw.gov.au/projects/2021/02/pitt-street-south-over-station-development-ssd-10376-and-ssd-8876-mod-2

Public comment must be received via email (<a href="mailto:ipcn@ipcn.nsw.gov.au">ipcn@ipcn.nsw.gov.au</a>) by 5pm AEST on Friday 2 April 2021. This deadline will be strictly enforced, and late submissions will not be considered by the Panel nor uploaded to the Commission's website.

## Yours sincerely,

Office of the Independent Planning Commission NSW Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street Sydney NSW 2000 e: ipcn@ipcn.nsw.gov.au p: +61 2 9383 2100 www.ipcn.nsw.gov.au



## **New South Wales Government**

Independent Planning Commission





Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.

## **Disclaimer**

The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorised to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.