

From: [REDACTED]
To: [IPCN Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: PITT ST SOUTH OVER STATION DEVELOPMENT
Date: Wednesday, 31 March 2021 12:48:11 PM

Dear IPCN,

I wish to comment on the Solar Access question.

I live in an inner city apartment block under threat from an adjoining development proposal.

I ask that the Commission disregard the Applicant's comments on viability when assessing possible modifications to improve solar access to Princeton units. In my opinion, the Commission should only consider (a) compliance with solar access standards, and (b) whether the solar access benefit is significant. If the Commission were to recognise impacts on the viability (or internal amenity) of the development as being relevant that would have consequences across the city centre. It would embolden developers to cite impacts on viability as a justification for breaching all sorts of variations from development standards. I note that in *The Benevolent Society v Waverley Council* [2010] NSWLEC 1082 the Court expressly did not consider viability.

John Freeman
The Astor
123-125 Macquarie St
Sydney 2000