## **Tatsiana Bandaruk**

**From:** Rose-Anne Hawkeswood

Sent: Friday, 2 November 2018 8:14 AM
To: Tatsiana Bandaruk; Steve O'Donoghue
Subject: FW: Writing in rejection of MOD 7 Boggabri

## Kind regards

## Rose-Anne Hawkeswood

Senior Environmental Assessment Officer | Resource & Energy Assessments | Planning Services 320 Pitt Street | GPO Box 39 | Sydney NSW 2001 T 02 9274 6324





Subscribe to our newsletter



**To:** Rose-Anne Hawkeswood <Rose-Anne.Hawkeswood@planning.nsw.gov.au>

Subject: Writing in rejection of MOD 7 Boggabri

## Hi Rose-Anne

I strongly object to the Boggabri MOD 7. This is not an admin change, and two aspects are very important aspects of community concern.

- 1) Modification of 47A to give Planning discretion is not up to the community's expectations. To remove the time limit to secure offsets goes against the good faith agreement of the PAC. This could weaken other miners resolve to finalise offsets as outlined by their individual rulings. These miners have all the power and the community have only the PAC and EIS ruling and guidance and the policing of the PAC ruling should be enforced, not forgiven, for not being able to deliver the standards the miner set out from the outset. These Koalas are actually lucky to be still found in pockets on this site, land is being cleared in the state in increasing amount, with other koala habitat being wiped out. Only today information was released to the public domain that koalas could be extinct in NSW in 30 years.
- 2) Regarding the unspecified trucking movements. No. I completely reject this notion. The trucking movements for the community are already causing great concern for nearby resident's health, wellbeing and I fear for manys mental health as a outcome of unfetted amount of truck moments. Again, I refer to the point I made in point 1. Boggabri Coal should have foreseen these things, and PAC and EIS ruling and guidance should stand, and not be watered down in the name of helping the proponent. The movements are already ONLY going to get worse, especially with miners like Whitehaven at the Vickery site looking to vary the amount of coal delivered each year from the site nearby, with the lifespan dropping to 25 years from 30, I can see years when perhaps the coal price is favorable to the mine, ramping up output, and this will be at the community's expense of lifestyle and day to day reality of movements around their community.

I reject this modification, and demand a proper community consultation process for this disadvantaged diminished community.

