

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [IPCN Enquiries Mailbox](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Comment on proposed condition dated 2 August 2019  
**Date:** Wednesday, 7 August 2019 11:13:12 AM

---

This is my comment on your proposed condition issued on 2 Aug 2019.

I object to the condition as being futile, duplicitous and misleading. It must be altered to reflect the incontrovertible fact that burning coal anywhere will have the same impacts on the atmosphere because there is no effective way to minimise emissions.

The only thing that currently alters the amount of emissions is the quality of the coal. But that issue is not relevant to this proposed condition.

Coal mined anywhere and burned anywhere on earth produces the same mass of Scope 3 greenhouse gas emissions and is thus equally damaging in its climate impact. Only the hypothetical process of CO2 capture and storage could modify that outcome. No economically practical example of such a process exists.

This proposed condition is a sham. Intended to confuse people into thinking the government is doing something to minimise emissions when in fact it will do nothing at all.

Please, follow the Rocky Hill coalmine precedent rather than attempting to prop up an industry in inevitable decline. Don't cave in to a government controlled by mining lobbyists and corporate self interest.

Gillian Reffell  
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone