# 5.3 Key issues – Government agencies

A total of eight government agency submissions were received in response to the exhibition of the concept modification and SSD application all of which provided comments on the proposal. The key issues raised in submissions are summarised in **Table 9**.

**Table 9** | Government agency submissions to the exhibition of the proposed concept modification and SSD proposal

## Heritage Council

The Heritage Council does not object to the concept modification and SSD application. However, it has raised the following concerns:

- the height of the building would have an adverse visual impact on the setting of Redfern Station
- the design of the building has the effect of appearing closer to the station
- the height of Precinct 3 should be no greater than approved (8-storeys).

The Heritage Council confirmed the site does not have potential to contain non-Aboriginal archaeological relics and recommends archaeological conditions to address any unexpected finds.

#### **TfNSW**

TfNSW does not object to the concept modification and SSD application and provided the following comments:

- detail of the proposed bicycle route between Lawson and Abercrombie Streets is required
- wayfinding strategies and travel access guides should be prepared to increase the mode-share of walking and cycling for students, staff and visitors.

TfNSW recommended conditions requiring the preparation of a Construction Pedestrian and Traffic Management Plan (CPTMP) and the protection of rail infrastructure.

### **UGDC**

UGDC does not object to the concept modification and SSD application, but requested further justification for the proposal to waive contributions under the RWC Plan and the RWAHC Plan. UGDC recommended conditions requiring the payment of contributions towards public domain improvements and affordable housing or works-in-kind to an equivalent value.

#### **RMS**

RMS does not object to the concept modification and SSD application and confirmed the proposal would not have a significant impact on the classified road network.

### **EPA**

The EPA does not object to the concept modification and SSD application and provided the following comments:

- appropriate noise and vibration mitigation and management measures should be implemented to address construction noise impacts
- the development does not require an Environmental Protection Licence.