

From: [Damien Pfeiffer](#)
To: [Matthew Todd-Jones](#)
Cc: [Tim Collins](#); [Steve Murray](#)
Subject: RE: O'Connell Road, O'Connell - Gateway Review
Date: Wednesday, 17 October 2018 11:06:39 AM
Attachments: [image006.png](#)
[image007.png](#)
[image008.png](#)
[image009.png](#)

Hi Matthew,

A timeline is below of events around the Release of the Central West and Orana Regional Plan (CWO) in relation to the planning proposal.

- Draft CWO RP on exhibition April - July 2016
- CWO launched 14 June 2017
- Planning proposal submitted to Council in May 2017
- Oct 2017 Further information from Geolyse to Council
- Dec 2017 Council meeting
- Feb 2018 Council meeting
- 28 Feb 2018 Council letter submitted planning proposal
- 30 May 2018 – gateway determination issued

As discussed in the meeting yesterday, condition 1 allows for a full picture that will address action 28.2 and other issues as outlined in my memo, page 1.

Please call if you need any clarity.

Regards

Damien Pfeiffer
Director Regions, Western
NSW Department of Planning & Environment
188 Macquarie Street | Dubbo NSW 2830
T 02 6841 2186 M 0400 978 105 E damien.pfeiffer@planning.nsw.gov.au



Subscribe to the Department's e-news at www.planning.nsw.gov.au/enews
Please consider the environment before printing this email.

From: Matthew Todd-Jones
Sent: Wednesday, 17 October 2018 9:57 AM
To: Damien Pfeiffer <Damien.Pfeiffer@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Cc: Tim Collins <timothy.collins@planning.nsw.gov.au>
Subject: O'Connell Road, O'Connell - Gateway Review

Good morning Damien,

Further to the Department's meeting with the Commission yesterday in relation to the above Gateway Review, the Commission would be grateful if the Department could provide an answer to the following question:

- Action 28.2 of the Central West and Orana Regional Plan 2016 requires that support only be given to rural residential development where it is supported strategically in a local housing strategy. It is noted that this requirement came into force after the planning proposal had been lodged with Council. Was the planning proposal's inconsistency with this requirement the primary reason for the Department imposing condition 1 requiring the update/addendum to the Oberon Strategy prior to the planning proposal progressing? If not, what were the key issues of concern relating to the strategic merit of the proposal which underpinned this condition?

I would be grateful for this information by close of business Friday 19 October 2018.

Regards,
Matthew

Matthew Todd-Jones | Team Leader

Independent Planning Commission NSW
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street SYDNEY NSW 2000
e: Matthew.Todd-Jones@ipcn.nsw.gov.au | p: +61 2 9383 2108 | f: 9383 2133 | www.ipcn.nsw.gov.au



New South Wales Government
Independent Planning Commission

FOLLOW US ON:



Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail.