James Musey



Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009

NOTICE OF DECISION

Applicant:	Wallacia Progress Association Inc	
File Ref:	19-74	
Decision-maker: Annmaree Sheridan, R/Manager Information F and Privacy		
Date of decision:	22 March 2019	

Table of Contents

1.	Summary of access application	. 2
2.	Decision	. 2
2.1	Searches for information	2
3.	The public interest test	. 3
3.1	Public interest considerations in favour of disclosure	3
3.2	Public interest considerations against disclosure	3
4.1	Consultation	4
4.2	Balancing the public interest test	5
5	Access	6
5.1	Irrelevant information	6
5.2	Third party review rights	6
6	Disclosure log	6
7	Review rights	6
8	Further information	7
0	Oakadula of Dagumanta	Ω

1. Summary of access application

On the 5 November 2018 NSW Department of Industry (the Department) received your access application under the *Government Information (Public Access) Act 2009* (GIPA Act).

On Monday 17 December 2018 the scope of your request was revised to the following;

<u>Scope:</u> Correspondence, briefing notes, meeting minutes, applications, submissions and title information held by Crown Lands and Cemeteries & Crematoria NSW (CCNSW) regarding the sale of Wallacia Golf Course (WGC) from Cabe21 to Catholic Metropolitan Cemetery Trust (CMCT) and its transfer to Crown Lands

<u>Type of Correspondence</u>: Correspondence sent and received between Catholic Metropolitan Cemetery Trust (CMCT) to and from Minister Anthony Roberts, and/or Catholic Cemetery - and NSW Crown Lands

Date Range: May 2017 to 1/10/2018

2. Decision

I am authorised by the principal officer, for the purposes of section 9(3) of the GIPA Act, to decide your access application. I have today decided;

Under section 58(1)(a) of the GIPA Act, to provide access to some of the information; and Under section 58(1)(d) of the GIPA Act, refuse access to some of the information because there is an overrising public interest against disclosure.

I have today decided to release information to you, as follows:

- Release in full documents 15, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54 and 55
- Release in part documents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19, 23, 24, 25, 37, 39 and 48
- Refuse you access to document 40

Please refer to the attached Schedule of Documents.

In this notice of decision I will explain the reasons for my decision. Please refer to the section about review rights for further details about how you can ask for a review of this decision.

2.1 Searches for information

A search by the relevant officers of Crown Lands and Cemeteries and Crematoria NSW (CCNSW) has been undertaken, to identify all government information falling within the scope of your application. All information located as a result of this search is listed in the attached schedule of documents.

The Executive Director Crown Lands and the Former CEO Cemeteries and Crematoria have certified that reasonable searches have been undertaken. I am satisfied that the reasonable search requirement has been met.

3. The public interest test

Under section 9(1) of the GIPA Act, you have a legally enforceable right to access the information you asked for, unless there is an overriding public interest against its disclosure.

Further, under section 5 of the GIPA Act, there is a presumption in favour of disclosing government information unless there is an overriding public interest against its disclosure.

To decide whether or not there is an overriding public interest against disclosure of the information you asked for, I applied the public interest test, which is set out in section 13 of the GIPA Act.

I applied the public interest test by:

- (a) identifying any public interest considerations in favour of disclosure
- (b) identifying any relevant public interest considerations against disclosure, and
- (c) deciding where the balance between them lies.

I did this in the way required by section 15 of the GIPA Act, which is:

- (a) in a way that promotes the objects of the GIPA Act
- (b) with regard to any relevant guidelines issued by the Information Commissioner
- (c) without taking into account the fact that disclosure of information may cause embarrassment to, or a loss of confidence in, the Government (as that fact is irrelevant)
- (d) without taking into account the fact that disclosure of information might be misinterpreted or misunderstood by any person (as that fact is irrelevant), and
- (e) with regard to the fact that disclosure cannot be made subject to any conditions on the use or disclosure of information.

3.1 Public interest considerations in favour of disclosure

Under section 12(1) of the GIPA Act, there is a general public interest in favour of disclosing government information. Section 12(2) of the GIPA Act sets out some examples of other public interest considerations in favour of disclosure. However, I am not limited to those considerations in deciding your application.

I find the following considerations in favour of disclosure are relevant to your application;

- Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to promote open discussion of public affairs, enhance Government accountability or contribute to positive and informed debate on issues of public importance.
- Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to inform the public about the operations of agencies and, in particular, their policies and practices for dealing with members of the public.
- There is a high level of public and media interest regarding the sale of Wallacia Golf Course to Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust and the proposal to use the land for a cemetery.
- Disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to reveal the reason for a government decision and any background or contextual information that informed the decision.

3.2 Public interest considerations against disclosure

When applying the public interest test, the only public interest considerations against disclosure that I can take into account are those set out in the table to section 14 of the GIPA Act. To show that they are relevant to the information you asked for, I need to consider whether they could reasonably be expected to have the effect outlined in the table.

The words "could reasonably be expected to" should be given their ordinary meaning. This requires a judgment to be made by the decision-maker as to whether it is reasonable, as distinct from irrational, absurd or ridiculous, to expect the effect outlined.

Public Interest considerations against disclosure:

1 Responsible and effective government

There is a public interest consideration against disclosure of information if disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to have one or more of the following effects (whether in a particular case or generally):

e) reveal a deliberation or consultation conducted, or an opinion, advice or recommendation given, in such a way as to prejudice a deliberative process of government or an agency,

Documents, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19, 23, 24, 25, 37, 39, 40 and 48 outline the Departments decision making process and what it looks for when deciding whether to proceed with a sale.

It is a function of CCNSW to purchase land or recommend to the Minister strategies for the purchase of land for the purpose of cemeteries. The above documents contain information detailing how CCNSW evaluates and deliberates over proposed purchases of land for cemeteries including pricing.

Disclosure of the information may give an advantage to a third party negotiating with the Government for a future similar commercial transaction and prejudice the adoption of different strategies by Government in assessing land and set standards whereby speculators in the market set their prices.

3 Individual rights, judicial processes and natural justice

There is a public interest consideration against disclosure of information if disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to have one or more of the following effects

a) reveal an individual's personal information

Document 55 contains the personal email address of individuals that if disclosed would reveal their personal information

4 Business interests of agencies and other persons

There is a public interest consideration against disclosure of information if disclosure of the information could reasonably be expected to have one or more of the following effects

- c) diminish the competitive commercial value of any information to any person
- d) prejudice any person's legitimate business, commercial, professional or financial interests,

Documents 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 12, 13, 14, 16, 19, 23, 24, 25, 37, 39, 40 and 48 contain references to land value and deliberations about reasonable values. This disclosure of this information will diminish the Government's ability to be competitive in future negotiations.

The release of information within the above documents will also reveal the commercial and financial information of the Catholic Metropolitan Cemetery Trust placing them at a disadvantage in future negotiations with vendors in the market.

Information regarding CMCT's internal funding sources, cash flow and risks to reserves / perpetual maintenance is commercial-in-confidence and its release could prejudice their future commercial activities.

4.1 Consultation

Section 54 of the GIPA Act provides for the Department to consult with third parties to obtain their views before releasing their information, where it is reasonably practicable to do so, especially if it appears the person may reasonably be expected to have concerns about the disclosure of the information.

I was required to consult the following third parties I have consulted with the Chairman of the Cemeteries and Crematoria NSW Board (CCNSW Board), Minister Toole and Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust (CMCT). This is because the information you have requested directly concerns the affairs of the above third parties.

I have received the following objections;

James Murisy

Morning IPC Chair and panel members.

I am a resident of Wallacia Village since 1999. My family has been raised here and we love our Village. I comment on the Assessment Report, regarding DA 17/1092 and have many concerns and objections. I'm allowed 8 mins, I could speak for hrs.

1. I note all reports, CMCT, Urbis, Urbis appointed consultants; Government Departments and "Supplementing technical reports" are prefaced or conclude with a disclaimer, being

A statement that denies something, especially responsibility. LAW

2.

0

An act of repudiating a claim, warranty, or bequest.

I refer to current proposal which is disingenuous, burial plots in NSW under the Act, now have an internment rite of 25 years, you can apply for up to 99. This proposal under the act allows for we believe 1 plot of 3 deceased, thus making this proposal in grand scale of 264000 burials to which many can be re-used every 25 years.

I note that 66% of populace are cremated and 34% buried under statistics by numerous reports.

I refer to permissibility, being the statement that the CMCT has taken of the application DA, for two-x crematoriums. This is disingenuous and it does not stop the CMCT in away applying for this after and if, this DA is approved. I also note under the current Act, cemeteries and crematorium's allow for pets.

Landscape and visual amenities, numerous world reports have stated the adverse impact to cemeteries and crematoriums being placed at, within, near water ways, run offs, catchments, swales, creeks etc etc. The topography of Wallacia Village is a valley, which is, defined as a "low area of land between hills or mountains, typically with a river or stream flowing through it." After living in the "Mulgoa Valley" I would describe Wallacia Village as a lower "bowl" of Mulgoa Valley, all which would be backed by Australian Height Datum. To which AHD for Luddenham east, Mulgoa North, Silverdale west and Greendale support.

Permissibility and summary, states that Wallacia Golf Course, utilises a "Functions Facility" as a resident of 20 years, I do not believe that as truth. It also states regarding the need and its "additional cemetery space" we believe four sites, Greendale Rd, and Elizabeth Drive have recently been approved, this negating any stated burial space shortages for at least 25 to 30 years. We also believe the CMCT has been offered a Penrith site that would more than adequately cope with Sydney's future needs. However the CMCT has refused this.

Mention is made of the PLEP2010, the truth is Cemeteries and Crematoriums by error and omission, when drafting these document-Act-Criteria, were excluded, and recently a strategic review has been requested by Premier Berejiklian to address this issue.

- 3.1 I cannot see how this deficient proposal meets the criteria dotpoints of the Greater Sydney Region. Regarding lifestyle, green space etc etc. To suggest a cemetery, crematorium, is an open space, for "recreation" defies plausibility.
- 3.3 Does not take into account recently approve sites, and the offer to CMCT by the Government to a site in northern side of Penrith?
- 6.2 Floodwater and storm water management. As stated Wallacia Village sits in a bowl, which has a unique eco-system, this system interconnects WGC-surrounding acreages, swales, dams, Jerry's Creek, and Crossman reserve. Perusing GRC Hydro, document, which I believe was prepared for CMCT and Urbis, and utilised for approvals, I see many flaws. In red refers to "High Hazard" and refers to Crossman Reserve" This red just stops adjacent with Lark Place, to its west. This High Hazard area should include all properties to the south (Matingara Way) my property borders Crossman reserve, with a 1-100 flood line. Whereby I have numerous building restrictions, I believe a video recording has been shown to the panel after a 20-minute super storm, on 13/12/2018 hit our village. These are not uncommon.

Crossman reserve is also predominantly home to flora, animals, reptiles, wombats, kangaroo, etc etc and as recently as December 2018, reports were filed with WIRES after and my family and I have seen Echidna sitting on our veranda for 24 hrs. We were advised to leave them they will find their way home. That is the Crossman Reserve.

Local Wallacia Village residents have experience numerous flooding whereby boats have been used to row in and out of the Village. Now all this runs into Nepean Catchment area, and into Nepean River.

Concluding, this proposal has been approved-supported by the NSW Planning Department within, on the grounds of the "Public Interest" being defined as, **Public interest** is a common concern among citizens in the management and affairs of local, state, and national government. It does not mean mere curiosity but is a broad term that refers to the body politic and the **public** weal. Most of the "public we speak to has no idea what is happening-proposed for Wallacia Village, the gateway to Warragamba Dam.

I believe our "Community wellbeing" out ways "public Interest, which is, the combination of social, economic, environmental, cultural, and political conditions identified by individuals and their communities as essential for them to flourish and fulfil their potential."

Wallacia Village awaits your decision.

- (a) Disclosure of the information will prejudice CMCT's legitimate business, commercial, professional and financial interests through the release of confidential information relating to the off market acquisition of WGC, including but not limited to, information related to the consideration of the value of WGC, the purchase price, terms of the agreement including Commercial-in-confidence Heads of Agreement, discussions on the acquisition and negotiations between the parties and other stakeholders;
- (b) Disclosure of the documents will reveal commercial-in-confidence provisions of the agreement with Government for the CMCT to acquire the WGC for use as a cemetery;
- (c) Disclosure of the documents will prejudice the legitimate business, commercial and financial interests of CMCT revealing CMCT operation and financial details relating to the operation of the cemetery proposed for the WGC and other CMCT cemeteries.
- (d) Disclosure of the documents would diminish the competitive commercial value of the information contained in the documents, including on CMCT operational and financial details relating to the operation of the cemetery proposed for WGC and other CMCT cemeteries
 - (i) Disclosure of the documents would prejudice the effectiveness and integrity of the research including research undertaken by CMCT, CMCT consultants URBIS and research commissioned by Cemeteries and Crematoria NSW which has been used by CMCT to address planning issues related to the purchase of WGC. Disclosure would reveal the purpose and conduct of this research and its results; and
 - (ii) Disclosure of the emails and letters will prejudice CMCT's legitimate business and commercial interests be revealing CMCT's techniques and approach to negotiating and dealing with government agencies in relation to acquisitions of this type.

CMCT have objected to the release of all information. I consider not enough information has been provided to substantiate withholding all information; this is because a large amount of the information is administrative and not of a commercial nature, specifically email correspondence and some letters to and from the Department.

I agree with CMCT that the financial information and the heads of agreement document is commercially sensitive and would negatively impact their ability to be competitive in any future markets and negotiations.

The above objections do not mean that I cannot release the information. However I must take them into account when making my decision.

4.2 Balancing the public interest test

I have considered the relevant public interest considerations both in favour of and against disclosure of the information you requested. I have also considered the third party objections.

As discussed above I consider there to be a strong public interest against the disclosure of the personal information contained within document 55. This is because the release of this information would reveal the email address of individuals.

I consider there to be a strong public interest against the disclosure of information, formulas and deliberations regarding the Land Value and CMCT's commercial information. This is because the Department and CMCT could be negatively impacted in future commercial negotiations and the Department's ability to make decisions in the public interest could be prejudiced

I do not consider CMCT has provided enough information to substantiate withholding all information.

Having weighed up the considerations, I have decided in accordance with section 58(1)(d) of the GIPA Act that there is a strong public interest against the disclosure of personal information, financial and commercial information. I have also decided in accordance with section 58(1)(a) of the GIPA Act to provide access to all other information.

This is summarised in the attached Schedule of Documents.

5 Access

5.1 Irrelevant information

Section 74 of the GIPA Act allows me to delete information that I consider to be irrelevant to your application so the remainder of the document can be released. I have decided that information relating to matters not covered at all by the scope of your request is not relevant to the scope of your application. The information has been redacted and as marked 'section 74'.

5.2 Third party review rights

As set out earlier in this Notice, I consulted with third parties about your application and they objected to the information being disclosed. Since I have decided to give you access to some of the information, despite the objections, they are entitled to ask for a review of the decision to disclose the information (under section 80(d) of the GIPA Act).

Consequently, I am unable to provide you with the information, until after the third party has had an opportunity to seek a review of my decision.

The third party has 20 working days to ask for an internal review and I cannot release the information to you while their review rights are pending, or while the decision is under review. I will advise you if the third party asks for a review of the decision.

If the third party does not seek a review, I will release the information to you on 23 April 2019.

6 Disclosure log

If information that would be of interest to other members of the public is released in response to a formal access application, an agency must record certain details about the application in its 'disclosure log' (under sections 25 and 26 of the GIPA Act).

In the letter acknowledging receipt of your valid application, you were told about the disclosure log. You were also advised of your right to object to the inclusion of details about your access application in the disclosure log, in certain circumstances (for example, if you seek access to your own personal information).

No such objections were received.

I have today decided that the information would be of interest to other members of the public and will therefore record the following details in our disclosure log, which is publicly available on our website:

- Date application was decided,
- Description and amount of information provided,
- Details of how this information can be accessed, NSW Trade & Investment may publish on its website copies of the information released in response to this GIPA application.

This decision is reviewable under s. 80 of the GIPA Act.

7 Review rights

If you disagree with any of my decisions, you may seek review under Part 5 of the GIPA Act. Before you do so, I would encourage you to speak with me if you have any questions about this notice or require further information on your rights of review.

Notice of Decision

You have three avenues of review:

- internal review by another officer of this agency, who is no less senior than me
- external review by the Information Commissioner or
- external review by the NSW Civil and Administrative Tribunal (NCAT).

You should note that the time for seeking an internal review is 20 working days, or 40 working days for external review, after the date of this notice of decision. That means you have until 18 April 2019 to lodge an internal review, or until 21 May 2019 to lodge an application for an external review.

Further information about your review rights under the GIPA Act is available by contacting the Information and Privacy Commission on free call 1800 472 679, or from their website: www.ipc.nsw.gov.au

Information about NCAT is available on their website at www.ncat.nsw.gov.au or by telephone on 1300 006 228.

8 Further information

If you have any questions about this notice or would like any further information, please contact me by telephone on (02) 9995 0915 or via email annmaree.sheridan@industry.nsw.gov.au

9 Schedule of Documents

DOC NO.	DOCUMENT	DECISION
1	CCNSW Draft Evaluation of CMCT Wallacia land acquisition proposal v3-1 11Jul2017 (6 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Attachment to out of session board meeting 17/7/17	Third Party Review Rights
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	
2	CCNSW Summary evaluation of Wallacia acquisition v4-1 11Jul2017 (2 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Attachment to out of session board meeting 17/7/17	Third Party Review Rights
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	
3	CCNSW Evaluation – additional information provided by CMCT 06Jul2017 (v1-1 11July2017) (2 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Attachment to out of session board meeting 17/7/17	Third Party Review Rights
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	
4	Agenda Item 1.2 CMCT proposed Wallacia acquisition (3 pages)	Partial Release section 14
	Out of session board meeting	(1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
5	CCNSW Draft summary evaluation of Wallacia acquisition proposal v3-1 27Jun2017 (2 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Out of Session Board Meeting 3_7_17	Third Party Review Rights
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	
6	CCNSW DRAFT evaluation of CMCT Wallacia land acquisition proposal v2-1 27Jun2017 (5 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Out of Session Board Meeting 3_7_17	Third Party Review Rights
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	
7	8 June 2017 –Letter from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: proposed purchase of 13 park road Wallacia (4 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d) Third Party Review Rights
8	Out of scope does not mention Wallacia sale	Out of Scope
9	Out of scope – Date range	Out of Scope
10	Out of scope does not mention Wallacia sale	Out of Scope
		<u> </u>

DOC NO.	DOCUMENT	DECISION
11	Out of scope – Date range	Out of Scope
12	Board Meeting 1 June 2017 3.1 Crown cemetery trust acquisition action (5 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights CMCT Review Rights
13	CMCT Wallacia land acquisition proposal - for decision	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Out of Session Board Meeting 3_7_17 _1.1	Third Party Review Rights
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Neview Nights
14	Minutes - Out of Session Board 3 July, 17 July, 24 July and 25 July 2017 SIGNED (9 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
15	Minutes - 1 June 2017 SIGNED (14 pages)	Release
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
16	Letter from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: proposed	Partial Release section 14
	purchase of 13 park road Wallacia (14 pages)	(1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d) Third Party Review Rights
		Third Party Neview Hights
17.	2.3D Wallacia Appendix J Plan of Management p17-19 burial areas	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
18.	27 July 2017 - 3.3 CMCT Wallacia land acquisitions – update (1 page)	Release
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
19.	6 July 2017 – Email & Letter from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: additional information (3 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights
20.	1 February 2018 Meeting Minutes SIGNED (16 pages)	Release
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
21.	3 Aug 2017 Meeting Minutes SIGNED (12 pages)	Release
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
22.	28 April 2017 Extraordinary Board Meeting Minutes - SIGNED (5 pages)	Release
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights

DOC NO.	DOCUMENT	DECISION
23.	11 May 2017 – Ministerial Briefing & letter to Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust (7 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
24.	16 August 2017 – Ministerial Briefing & letter to Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust (7 pages) Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights
25.	8 June 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust (91 pages)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights
26.	30 August 2017 – Press Release - Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries	Publicly available
	Trust (1 page)	https://catholiccemeteries. com.au/sydneys-looming- burial-shortage/
27.	25 July 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re Penrith Council Meeting (2 pages)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
28. 11 July 2017 – Issue or follow-up with CN June (1 page)	11 July 2017 – Issue or follow-up with CMCT per CCNSW paper 1.1 27 June (1 page)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights
29.	13 Park Road, Wallacia, NSW 2745 - realestate.com	Release
30.	About _ Cabe - Cabe Group - Construction and Property Developments_	Release
31.	9 June 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re accepted invitation	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
32.	6 – 21 July 2017 – Email to / from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Letter of response (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
33.	25 July 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re Penrith Council Meeting (2 pages)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
34.	21 June to 5 July 2017 - Email to / from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Wallacia Golf Club (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
35.	9 June 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re invitation	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
36.	21 – 27 June 2017 – Email to/from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Wallacia Gold Club (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
37.	10 May 2017 Draft heads of Agreement - potential purchase Wallacia Golf Course (3 pages)	Partial Release section 14

DOC NO.	DOCUMENT	DECISION
NO.		(1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights
38.	Catch up with URBIS Partners	Third Party Review Rights
39.	30 August 2018 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Details of Cemetery Property Purchased (1 page)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights
40.	Cash Flow Summary Cemetery Operations 13 Park Road, Wallacia	Withhold (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
41.	29 September 2018 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: DA update (1 page)	Release
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with section 74.	Third Party Review Rights
42.	22 December 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries	Release
	Trust re: Submission re: Cemeteries (4 pages)	Third Party Review Rights
43.	17 – 23 May 2017 Email to/from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Proposed purchase of 13 Park Road Wallacia (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
44.	8 August 2017 Email to/from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Some stats for you (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
45.	2 March 018 – Email to Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: CMCT – CCNSW DA letters	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
46.	31 July to 1 August 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Letter from Penrith City Council (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
47.	15 August 2017 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re Ministerial Letter Wallacia Purchase (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
48.	4 April to 1 May 2017 – Email to / from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Purchase of 13 Park Road (1 page)	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights
49.	Duplicate Document 43	
50.	26 February 2018 – Email to / from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries	Release
	Trust re: Insentia Daily Briefing (1 page)	Third Party Review Rights
51.	7 June 2017 – Email to / from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: request for meeting (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
52.	8 – 10 August 2017 – Email - to / from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Some stats for you (1 page)	Release
		Third Party Review Rights
53.	8 – 9 August 2017 – Email - to / from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Some stats for you (1 page)	Release
	Information not within scope has been redacted in accordance with	Third Party Review Rights

Notice of Decision

DOC NO.	DOCUMENT	DECISION
	section 74.	
54.	8 June 2019 – Email from Catholic Metropolitan Cemeteries Trust re: Review Business Case for Purchase (1 page)	Release Third Party Review Rights
55.	19 June 2017 – Email re: Response to Minister for Lands – proposed purchase of 13 Park Road Wallacia	Partial Release section 14 (1)(e) 4(c) (4)(d)
		Third Party Review Rights