

NAME REDACTED		OBJECT	Submission ID: 206898
Organisation:	N/A	Key issues:	Social impacts, Visual impacts, design and landscaping, Land use compatibility (surrounding land uses), Traffic, Other issues
Location:	New South Wales 2577		
Attachment:	N/A		

Submission date: 10/22/2024 4:10:06 PM

First and foremost I am outraged at the callous disregard for the community shown by the Department of Planning, which it seems has been instructed to recommend this proposal to further some hidden agenda, regardless of the emotional toll on neighbouring residents and physical threat to the Southern Highlands community at large. This is evidenced by the four years the proponent has been given by Planning to get the their proposal right. They have not got it right and will never get it right because the community is fighting this still!

Secondly, recycling of plastic is the wrong solution to the problem of plastic waste and will do little to curb associated problems while ever global mega business such as Coca Cola continue to churn out more and more new plastic items. The only way to save the environment from the scourge of plastic waste is to reduce the use of plastic for all but essential purposes. Throwing money at the problem will not help very much, if at all. In fact it is making matters worse, because anyone can buy land purely because it is comparatively cheap and then apply for government funding to build a plastic recycling obscenity, which then produces more plastic rubbish which eventually goes to landfill anyway.

Thirdly, even if recycling plastics did the magical wonders for the environment it purports, the site at Moss Vale is WOEFULLY AND WHOLLY INAPPROPRIATE. It is in the Sydney drinking water catchment. It is within Moss Vale town limits. It abutts a residential area. No-one knows the extent of damage any leakages will cause to surrounding waterways, riparian areas, ground water and air quality. If approved, this proposal will set a precedent and more heavy and hazardous industry like it will follow

Fourthly, to access the site during construction and operation the proponent will undoubtedly use residential roads regardless of any conditions of approval disallowing this. Proposed are ONE HUNDRED 100, TWENTY 20 TONNE SEMI-TRAILER movements daily to and from the site when operational. PAST HOMES! How will these heavy haulage trucks stop in a hurry if a child or dog runs out in front of them? How are we to exit our driveways safely when a semi-trailer is using Beaconsfield Road, which is virtually one lane as it goes up the hill and and includes a 3 to 4 metre drop for around 160 metres of the road?

Last of all, the land in question, abutting residential, has been WRONGLY ZONED industrial. Furthermore the proposal itself is WRONGLY CLASSIFIED industrial. Given its reliance on heavy haulage vehicles it should be classified HEAVY INDUSTRY. Given the high risk of contamination of surroundings due to micro plastics and many other unknown chemicals, the ramifications of which are only coming to light, it should be classified Hazardous Industry. God help us in the event of a fire.