

CWO REZIST INC CWO REZIST INC		OBJECT	Submission No: 196728
Organisation:	CWO Rezist Inc	Key issues:	Social and economic,Land use,Energy transition,Biodiversity,Visual,Traffic and Transport
Location:	New South Wales 2843		
Submitter Type:	a representative of a community group, non- government organisation, business or industry group		
Attachment:			

Submission date: 9/5/2024 6:19:59 PM

CWO REZist Inc objects to the Spicers Creek Wind Farm

Squadron Energy appear to have a conflict of interest. They are installing weather dependent wind turbines which they know are unreliable. They are also building gas power stations in the Central West $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}$ they call these Gas firming stations. Squadron have also built a Gas import terminal at Port Kembla. It appears that the state of NSW will soon be dependent on Squadron energy for both electricity and gas.

CWO REZist Inc Objects to the Spicers Creek Wind Farm on the following basis:

1. There was no consultation with the people of Elong Elong

2. There was no mention of the village of Elong Elong in any Spicers Creek Wind Farm EIS documentation

3. It appears that all those who signed neighbour contracts with Spicers Creek Wind Farm Pty Ltd (a company limited by its shares valued at \$10) are required to publicly support the project $\hat{a} \in \mathcal{C}$ were these associated neighbours fully informed of all the impacts of the project and were they appropriately recompensed for these impacts? Were these neighbours given sufficient time to obtain independent advice prior to signing the agreement.

4. Did the neighbour agreements forbid the individuals from seeking assistance from the Renewable Energy Industry Ombudsman?

5. Squadron will undoubtedly confirm that the contracts with hosts and neighbours are $\hat{a} \in \hat{c}$ commercial in confidence $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Who are they protecting here? Themselves? Because they have listed all the names of the hosts and neighbours bound by their agreements on their submission to the IPC.

6. When will Squadron provide answers to the questions raised at the IPC meeting in Dunedoo? Will these responses be published on the IPC/State Major Projects portal?

7. Does IPC condone the use of DomaCom to obtain funds from the local community to fund this project?

8. Will the residents who live downstream from the development be afforded any protection from flooding and contamination of their land?

9. Will soil sampling be regularly carried out on the site and the neighbouring land to determine any BPA or other contamination?

10. What guarantees can be provided to the community that any conditions of consent will be adhered to?



11. When will projects in this area be assessed against cumulative impact? For example every one of the 54 projects in this area is destroying Box Gum Woodland yet DPE only ever look at one project never the cumulative impact of all the projects.

12. When over 1000 wind turbines are built in this area, who will be taking responsibility for the death of people, stock and wildlife and the destruction of property in a bushfire prone area that can no longer receive assistance from aerial firefighters?

13. As one of the speakers at the IPC meeting indicated the Victorian bush fires that results in killed just under 200 people were largely started by bushfires. It appears the Department is too under resourced to ensure that developers stick to their conditions of consent. Who will be checking that the transmission lines are adequately maintained so as they don $\hat{a} \in \mathbb{M}$ tsart bushfires?

14. The Squadron team indicated at the IPC meeting that they will be installing cameras on all roads to ensure that wind farm traffic only uses the designated roads. Will residents privacy be affected by these cameras? Do local residents agree with this potential invasion of privacy? If they don't agree, what are the other solutions that Squadron offer to this problem? As they are installing cameras on roads, will Squadron also be installing cameras on wind turbines?