Exhibit L



DOCUMENTS REQUESTED IN ECOURT COMMUNICATION DATED 11 JUNE 2014

COURT DETAILS

Court

Land and Environment Court

Class

1

Case number

10350 of 2013

TITLE OF PROCEEDINGS

Applicant **

AUSTRALIAN CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY LIMITED

First Respondent

MINISTER FOR PLANNING AND INFRASTRUCTURE

Second Respondent

STRATHFIELD MUNICIPAL COUNCIL

FILING DETAILS

Filed for

Australian Catholic University Limited, Applicant

Legal representative

Andrew Poulos, Clayton Utz

Legal representative's reference

195/2651/80130119

Contact's name and telephone

John Clayton, (02) 9353 4403

Contact email

jclayton@claytonutz.com

Level 2, Pier 8/9, 23 Hickson Road Sydney NSW Australia 2000 GPO Box 5487 Sydney NSW Australia 2001 T+61 2 9101 2000 W hassellstudio.com Architecture Interior Design Landscape Architecture Planning Urban Design Australia China Hong Kong SAR Singapore Thailand United Kingdom

HASSELL Limited ABN 24 007 711 435

20 June 2014

Mr Tim Blythe Regional Director Urbis Level 23, Darling Park Tower 2 201 Sussex Street Sydney NSW 2000 Australia

Dear Sir

Australian Catholic University, Strathfield - Concept Plan Proposal Drawings

Further to the Land and Environment Court's communication (Document Number D14-006795), we attach the updated drawings containing the required information and detail reflecting the matters requested in the Court communication.

Specifically, in respect to Precinct 1, the following updates to the Concept Plan drawing (pages 32 and 33) have been made:

The basement levels are shown for Section A-A;

_ The four levels are shown in Section A-A and Elevation C-C;

The break between the eastern and western building envelopes is shown in Elevation C-C;

The building envelope plan has been amended in the south-east corner to reflect the recommendations in the Statement of Evidence and further letter dated 5th December 2013 prepared by Tim Blythe of Urbis.

In respect to the building envelopes for all precincts:

The plans have been re-sized to be at 1:500 scale at a plan size of A3;

Dimensions are marked as shown and required;

It is further noted that on page 35, in Section A-A, the adjacent building represented in grey (to the immediate west) has been rescaled to more accurately depict its relative height against the proposed building envelope. The anomaly became apparent as part of the review and updating of the Concept plan drawings as requested by the Court.

The section and elevation drawings are not to scale.

In regard to the question about the calculation of GFA, we offer the following response.

The maximum Gross Floor Area (GFA) for the Precinct 1 to 3 buildings has been determined as being 85% of the Gross Building Area/Envelope. This % allows for common vertical circulation, voids, plant rooms and the like as well as a small degree of flexibility during design development for building articulation and the like. The GFA for the Precinct 4 building is 100% of the Gross Building Area/Envelope.

Regards

Brett Pollard Principal

Mobile 0416147125

Email bpollard@hassellstudio.com

encl.

(sent by email)