

NAME DEDACTED

NAIVIE REDACTED		OBJECT	3001111551011 NO. 103546
Organisation:			
Location:	New South Wales 2354	Key issues:	Biodiversity, Visual impacts, Traffic and transport, Noise and vibration, Heritage, Agricultural impacts and land use, Social and economic, Physiological - infrasound noise / electromagnetic interference / shadow flicker / blade glint
Submitter Type:	I am a member of the local community who would be particularly and directly affected by the proposed development		
Attachment:			

OBJECT

Submission date: 3/23/2024 11:37:10 AM

Submission No. 1653/18

I strongly object to the Thunderbolt energy hub project.

My family and I brought this property over 20 years ago for the peaceful surroundings and country lifestyle.

This potential project will change our way of life and how we utilise our property.

We currently enjoy the dark sky, sunsets and uninterrupted views of the surrounding countryside.

I strongly object to the issues surrounding this windfarm as it will destroy our current lifestyle.

The disruption is a very big concern to me, environmental damage, noise, infrasound visual amenity, rock blasting, habitats, flora and fauna loss.

The use of prime agricultural land will reduce our productive resources and the ability to produce the breeding of sheep, cattle and our cropping.

The small financial befit promised by Neoen is unreliable and insignificant to compared to the destruction of our beautiful Kentucky.

The demands on our community medical system is already under pressure and extra demand will effect the community.

I also object to the lack of information and research on human health, livestock health and their offspring.

Most of these concerns are not addressed in the assessment report or consent document. If this unfortunate project goes ahead I recommend the development consent document must be modified and turbine number 1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11, 21 be removed from the development to remove these listed impacts.

At the end of this project they have said they will remove and decommission but at what cost and to whom. This project must not be approved as it will kill our community as well as our landscape.