

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:35:05 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely

Elisabeth Britton
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:56:25 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely

Denise de Vries
Denise de Vries
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:03:10 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely

Cheryl Bawhey

Cheryl Bawhey



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:58:15 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely
Judith Ewer

Judith Ewer
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:46:11 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely

Bianca wolff
Bianca Wolff

[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:35:28 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely
Kathy Csokas

Kathy Csokas



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 4:27:11 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely,

Louise Upshall

Louise Upshall



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Invincible Mine Objection
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:44:27 PM

Dear Mr Forward

The Gardens of Stone area, near Lithgow, is an incredible landscape that has been in the making for 250 million years. Home to fragile pagoda rock formations, ancient Aboriginal culture and rare and threatened plants and animals, this area has been recognised for its international geological significance and fragile eco-systems.

I object to the proposed extension of the Invincible Coal mine, now owned by the Manildra Group (Castlereagh Coal). The extension is located within the former Coalpac expansion projects which were rejected by two independent Planning Assessment Commissions (PACs) in 2013 and 2014. I strongly object to open-cut mining being approved in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone when the 2014 Commission concluded that "the highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes". The Commission should uphold its previous decisions.

I object to the Invincible Extension Project (IEP) for the reasons set out below, in summary:

- The IEP ignores the importance of the pagoda land system and only refers to the pagodas. This serious omission demonstrates no understanding of the conservation values of the western part of Ben Bullen State Forest, as recognised by two PACs, Department of Planning and the Office of Environment.
- Both PACs recognised the area was part of the unique pagoda land system comprising the pagodas and cliffs, steep slopes and woodlands. The IEP is part of the woodlands adjoining the pagodas - an integral part of the pagoda land system that supports the plants and animals
- The IEP would allow destructive open cut mining in part of the Gardens of Stone region. Twice the independent Planning Assessment Commission (PAC) has comprehensively rejected open cut mining for parts of this region, including the IEP land, finding that the area should have "the highest level of protection".
- Once open cut mined, the land and its plants and animals are gone. The land cannot restore to its former values and it cannot be rehabilitated
- Approval of the IEP will lead to applications for more open-cut mining in the pagoda land system. Shoalhaven Coal, another company associated with the Manildra group, was granted two new exploration licences around the mine in 2016 (Exploration Licences 8168 and 8169) covering 1368 hectares.
- The IEP would have a big permanent impact for a small amount of nut coal, the primary objective for the modification.
- The IEP would add ugly open cut mining right beside the Castlereagh highway which is the main road to Gardens of Stone area and the tourism destination of Mudgee area. This will make a particularly uninviting impression on tourists to the area.
- DPE's recommendation to approve the IEP will endanger eight threatened species including allowing mining of the habitat for the Squirrel glider and the broad-headed snake.

We call on the Planning and Assessment Commission to recognise the high conservation values of the Gardens of Stone area and reject the Invincible Extension Project.

Yours Sincerely

Nikki Pitkethley
Nikki Pitkethley
[REDACTED]



From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:53:31 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

I agree with everything below, but just stop and think and you will protect this place because it's the right thing to do.

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation - Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Fiona

Fiona Baker

[Redacted signature]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:53

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:53:15 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
K Benson

Kerry Benson

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:53

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:48:01 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Mandy Stern

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Mandy Stern.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:47

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:47:34 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Shayne Higson

Shayne Higson

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:47

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:45:52 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Charles McClymont

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:45

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:45:45 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Robyn Frazer

Robyn Frazer



Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:45

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:38:17 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Tess Dry

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:31:33 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Daiay Barham

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:31

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:29:12 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Tina Barlow

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:29

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:12:04 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Tony Williams

Tony Williams



Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:11

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:11:30 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Catherine Pirrie

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Catherine Pirrie.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:11

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:11:28 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Catherine Pirrie

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Catherine Pirrie.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:11

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:06:53 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kirste Wilmot

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 5:54:56 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Eric Cash

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 05:54

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 4:17:25 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

Coal mining needs to cease being an economic option. There are so many alternative energy options now.

This Ben Pullen landscape does not belong to you, the Dept of Planning or Manildra. It is not yours to sell off and destroy. It belongs to everyone, and it belongs to the future.

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small

area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Jenny Whitlock

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 04:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 1:46:03 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Chris Bates

Chris Bates

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Chris Bates.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 01:45

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 1:38:51 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Susan Cox

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 01:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 1:20:38 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Glo Habkouk

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 01:20

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 1:11:25 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Penny McIntyre

Penny McIntyre

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Penny McIntyre.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 01:11

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:55:50 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Vicki Jamieson

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:55

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:25:44 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Susan Stibbard

Susan Stibbard

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:25

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:24:56 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, never destroy a thing of beauty

Loraine Goodman

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:24

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:24:56 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, never destroy a thing of beauty

Loraine Goodman

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:24

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:24:52 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, never destroy a thing of beauty

Loraine Goodman

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:24

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:24:51 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, never destroy a thing of beauty

Loraine Goodman

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Loraine Goodman.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:24

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:08:18 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal. I also reside in Lithgow.

Yours sincerely,

MERRIDY CAIRN-DUFF

[REDACTED]

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:08

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 12:04:47 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Brandon Biddle

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Brandon Biddle.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 00:04

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:49:46 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Pugh

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:49

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:45:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Sharnie Stewart-Hendra

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:45

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:34:39 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, E.Overson

Erica Overson

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:34

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:24:12 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

andy andrews

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:24

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:23:23 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

I am pleased to go on record in opposing this horrific proposal which is just wrong. Destroying such sublime geological features and the flora and fauna which live there is a backward step too far, the mining proposal should be permanently vetoed to allow the present ecosystem to survive and thrive. The last thing we need is more coal mined and further unnecessary desecration in Australia!

Gar Mac Leman

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:23

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:23:18 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
James Lindner

James Lindner

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:23

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:17:33 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

This prepared submission expresses my personal opinion and can't be earned and should be accepted as an individual visual personal submission in my name.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Anna Keohan

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:11:46 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Alessandra Smith

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:11

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:06:42 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Genevieve Scase

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:01:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Dr Sarah Waddell

Sarah Waddell

[Redacted signature]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:01

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 11:00:34 PM

For Australia to expand fossil fuel mining on any area is to put at risk our environment and even ourselves, through climate change effects of burning such fuels.

Please do not allow the expansion of the Zmanildra coal mine into the unique and beautiful Gardens of Stone.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Jennie Dell

Jennie Dell

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 23:00

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:51:19 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Carolyn Hay

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:51

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:49:04 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Torkel

Torkel Cronholm



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:48

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:48:27 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Serene Paul

Serene Paul

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:48

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:47:09 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location ***BUT NOT MY ADDRESS*** and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Samnatha Wisteria

Samantha Wisteria

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:47

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:32:12 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Morgan Blaschke-broad

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:32

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:31:30 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Dayna S

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:31

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:29:59 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Gina Svolos

Gina Svolos

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:29

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:28:04 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Jacy Karmen

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:27

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:26:28 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward

Too late once it's destroyed. What a legacy to leave!

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Chris

Christine Mckinnon

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:25

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:23:03 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Valerie Curtis

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:22

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:16:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Ross Jeffery

Rosslyn Jeffery



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:15

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:07:01 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Andrea Franke

Andrea Franke

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:06:55 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Donni Hakanson

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:06:25 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Ann

Ann Hofmeyr

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Ann Hofmeyr.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:04:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,'

Cornelia Mandicos

Cornelia Mandicos

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:04

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 10:04:35 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kathryn Saunders

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 22:04

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:56:31 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

I have camped and walked many tracks in this area and it would be a huge lose to future generations to miss this opportunity due to short sighted approach by this current generation....once it's gone it's gone forever

Yours sincerely,

Richard emery

Richard Emery

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:53:01 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Davinia Prabakaran

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:52

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:51:44 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Victoria Falconer

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:51

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:51:12 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

nick waayer

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:51

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:48:11 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

As a Sydney-based user of the Gardens of Stone National Park, I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Angela Michaelis

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:48

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:44:52 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Miranda Gladman

miranda gladman

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:44

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:44:21 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Please remember once you takeaway the landscape you can replace it, please leave nature alone for future generations to enjoy.

Yours sincerely,

Emma Strickland

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:44

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:41:31 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission.

Any mining in any area that affects irreplaceable natural systems is an assault on the entire country. Like a human body, there is a limit to how much of it you can cut out and cut away without killing the entire. The natural environment is a continuous and contiguous whole. We must stop whittling away at it.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Shirley Goodbar

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:41

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:41:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Steve Bennett

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:41

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:39:32 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

C Pyman

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:39

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:39:30 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

This is a global issue and the world is watching, so please ensure the protection of the landscape over short-term, unsustainable, financial greed!

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Jay Brightwater

Jay Brightwater

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:39

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:37:10 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Kimberly Spragg

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:36

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:36:09 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Sus Pierce

[Redacted signature]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:35

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:35:31 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Natasha Jansz

Natasha Jansz

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:35

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:33:00 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Boyd Mockridge

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:32

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:32:18 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Kelly

Kelly Holmes

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:32

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:31:35 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Alev Saracoglu

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:31

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:28:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Vicki Bosisto

Vicki Bosisto

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:28

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:21:58 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Coal mining as an industry is living on borrowed time. To commit future generations to more of the same damaging environmental practices as have now been agreed to be largely accountable for the present adverse climate changes would be irresponsible in the extreme.

I urge you to reject this proposal.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

David Lindsey

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:17:56 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Gayle Veava

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:12:58 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Annette Mansfield

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:12

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:10:22 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Peter O'Neill

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Peter O'Neill.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:10

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:09:12 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Patricia Bryant

Patricia Bryant

[Redacted signature]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:08

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:09:01 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Lilli Barto

Lilli Barto



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:08

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:03:24 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Leanne Hanvey

Leanne Hanvey



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 21:03

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 9:00:03 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Vicki Barclay

Vicki Barclay

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:59

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:49:58 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Jane Morgan

Jane Morgan

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:49

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:43:34 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Nadia Colautti

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:43

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:42:33 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Leonie Stanfield

Leonie's Stanfield

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:42

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:39:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Tina Taylor

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:39

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:29:18 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Armstrong

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:29

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:22:20 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Jeremy White

[Redacted signature]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:22

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:16:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Terry Cane

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:16

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:07:11 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Johanna Law

Johanna Law

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Johanna Law.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:07

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:06:08 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed

biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape.
The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Thank you for allowing this comment.

Sheryl Johnson

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 8:00:29 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or

Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Melinda Mills

Melinda Mills

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 20:00

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:38:29 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Susanne Quelch

Susanne Quelch

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Susanne Quelch.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 19:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:37:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Heather Webster

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 19:37

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:28:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Mark Moskvitch

Mark Moskvitch

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 19:28

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:15:53 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Dianne Regtop

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 19:15

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:13:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Michael Ward

Michael Ward



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 19:13

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:06:29 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Please leave the dirty coal in the ground and save this Beautiful country site for our grandchildren and their grandchildren

Yours sincerely,

Bob Sack

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 19:06

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 7:01:26 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Mrs Diana Pryde

Diana Pryde

[Redacted signature block]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 19:01

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:59:50 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Kerryann Peat

Kerryann Peat



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:59

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:56:23 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Rod Cook

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Rod Cook.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:56

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:53:07 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Lucy Brady

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:52

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:47:10 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Jennifer Pooley

The next thing they will want to do is mine the Bungle Bungles! This has to stop now.

Jennifer Pooley

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:47

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:45:22 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

I

This can never be replaced, please think of future generations.

Janet Donald

Janet Donald

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of Janet Donald.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:45

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:40:38 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

J. Haines

Joanne Haines

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:40

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:38:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Angela Burgess

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:35:07 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

We need to protect of unique landscape and wildlife. If we have no environment left - we have no jobs and quality of life left anyway because there is more to being a human being and having life than the 'economy' and jobs. Without quality of life we are no longer human. The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Julie Brunt

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:34

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 6:21:30 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Robert Skappel

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 18:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:59:41 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Francesco Diana

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:59

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:50:02 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Juliette Bradley

Juliette Bradley

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:49

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:49:45 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Juliette Bradley

Juliette Bradley

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:49

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:45:10 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Please can we leave areas like this alone for our future generations. Once spoilt & gone they can never be replaced. The once beautiful Hunter Valley in NSW is a perfect example of this & the NSW government should be mortified & ashamed

Yours sincerely,
Diana Nichols

Diana Nichols

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:44

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:40:06 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Mel Weston

Emlinda Weston



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:39

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:31:50 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Olga Teran

Olga Teran

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:31

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:27:06 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Lloyd Brand

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:26

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:21:53 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely, Geoff Stephens

Geoff Stephens

[Redacted signature]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:21

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:17:59 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I strongly object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Jo-Anne Jones

Jo-Anne Jones

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:17

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 5:03:36 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

mavis WALSH

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 17:03

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 4:38:35 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Kathy donnelly

Kathy Donnelly



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 16:38

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 4:34:49 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Sylvia Constantindis

Sylvia Constantinidis

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 16:34

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 4:29:55 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

I enjoy the area for the many natural wonders of the area, and hope that it will be maintained and kept untouched. It's doubtful that there are any real long-term benefits to the mine although it's certain to create massive short and long term costs.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland

is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal. The submission may be published although I would prefer name to be withheld.

Yours sincerely,
Neil

Neil Pedley



Monday, December 4, 2017 - 16:29

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 4:28:57 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Chrissy Holland

A black rectangular redaction box covering the contact information of the sender.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 16:28

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 4:25:14 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Michael Connolly

[REDACTED]

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 16:25

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Monday, 4 December 2017 4:22:38 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Charlie Aarons

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Charlie Aarons.

Charlie Aarons

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of Charlie Aarons.

Monday, December 4, 2017 - 16:22

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Tuesday, 5 December 2017 6:56:33 AM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,

Mary Moody

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

Tuesday, December 5, 2017 - 06:55

From: [REDACTED]
To: [PAC Enquiries Mailbox](#)
Subject: [SPAM DETECTED BY EXO] Submission opposing the Department of Planning and Environment's assessment of Invincible Colliery 07_0127 Mod 5 (D490/17)
Date: Sunday, 3 December 2017 3:57:09 PM

Mr Paul Forward
Chairperson
Planning Assessment Commission
Level 3, 201 Elizabeth Street
Sydney NSW 2000

Dear Mr Forward,

Submission Opposing the Department of Planning and Environment recommendation
- Invincible Extension Project 07_0127 MOD 5 (D490/17)

I object to the proposed modification of the Invincible coal mine. Open-cut coal mining should not recommence in this sensitive part of the Gardens of Stone region. In October 2014 the Commission said that the "highest and best use of the area is for conservation purposes" and that ruling is not changed by the Department of Planning and Environment's (the Department's) recommendation.

It would be inappropriate to reverse the Commission's 2013 and 2014 decisions that had rejected open-cut mining in this 50 hectare area, as well as other areas of public forest. I strongly oppose this Department's recommendation to expand the open-cut area and continue coal production for eight years. As Commissioners' would appreciate, approval of this modification is likely to lead to incremental mine development, and the Department of Planning and Environment is opposed to a series of incremental increases in open-cut coal mining in the Ben Bullen State Forest.

Manildra (Castlereagh Coal) has made the open-cut proposal small (50ha) while at the same time it has applied for access to coal resources in 1,368 hectares around the proposed mine site. Manildra has been granted coal exploration licences Nos. 8618 and 8619 over much of the Ben Bullen State Forest that is of high conservation value. When the modification is read in this context it is obvious that it is not a different proposal from the proposals previously rejected for this mine site.

Coal from Manildra's open-cut Invincible mine will be more expensive in terms of overall costs, when the environment is taken into account, than coal from existing operating mines that can meet the requirements of Manildra's Bomaderry plant.

Approving Manildra's 50 hectare open-cut proposal will impose adverse environmental outcomes upon this outstanding natural area. The true cost of damage to the natural environment cannot be compensated by offsets, contrary to the assertions made by the Department.

The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape is unique, irreplaceable and covers a small area of which the woodlands affected by the proposed Invincible open-cut mining operation is a key part. The modification proposal is a key part of the only area where grassy tableland woodlands adjoin pagodas. This woodland is an integral part of this pagoda landscape and its loss will compromise this beautiful pagoda landscape.

The Planning Assessment Commission correctly observed in 2012 and confirmed 2014 that the pagoda landscape consists of the pagodas and woodlands below

them. The pagoda rock features cannot be considered in isolation from the surrounding woodlands that are proposed to be mined. The buffer debate was part of the previous PAC review and determination that refused consent.

Further, ecosystems cannot be replanted after open-cut mining. The proposed biodiversity offsets do not replace the unique Ben Bullen pagoda landscape. The proposed offsets are irrelevant to conservation of this pagoda landscape.

Please uphold the previous decisions of the PAC. No new evidence or Departmental analysis has come forward that could overturn the last PAC determination. Refuse consent for the proposed Invincible mine, modification 5 as the applicant and Department of Planning and Environment have not provided any basis to overturn the past decision regarding these matters.

I cannot understand why the Department of Planning & Environment would even be considering this expansion proposal by the Castlereagh Coal mine. The Ben Bullen pagoda landscape and its surrounding buffer woodland area is ancient, fragile and beautiful. Its true value to us all would be better recognised by preserving and conserving this unique, incredible environment, not only for future generations of people to experience, but at the very least for the protected habitat and biosphere it provides for flora and fauna, some of which are endangered. This kind of visionary investment will be much more significant in the long-term (including in monetary terms), than any short-lived economic gains to be had by the mine, and which we know in reality, will only benefit a limited few for limited period.

The very idea of encroaching on and potentially compromising such a sensitive landscape to irreversible damage by furthering the life of an out-moded, highly polluting industry, (particularly in this era when we are coming to grips with the devastating effects of human-induced global environmental degradation, destruction and the consequences of climate change), is ultimately selfish, very short-sighted and is simply a risk we shouldn't be taking. Surely we can do better than this?

Let's not kid ourselves, once these places are gone they are lost forever. No amount of rehabilitation can restore them. Now, more than ever, we must apply real wisdom and integrity in the decision making process and stop repeating dumb mistakes.

The Commission may publish my name, location and this submission. Thank you for the opportunity to comment on this mining proposal.

Yours sincerely,
Joh Waller

Joh Waller

[REDACTED]

Sunday, December 3, 2017 - 15:57